Narcotics Anonymous

It Works:
How and Why

Part Two
Traditions Input

UNAPPROVED LITERATURE
NOT FOR USE IN N.A. MEETINGS
For Input Only
Input due October 31, 1989

Please use input forms provided and available from WSO for all of your input.

THE TWELVE TRADITIONS OF NARCOTICS ANONYMOUS.

- 1. Our common welfare should come first; personal recovery depends on N.A. unity.
- 2. For our group purpose there is but one ultimate authority—a loving God as He may express Himself in our group conscience. Our leaders are but trusted servants, they do not govern.
- 3. The only requirement for membership is a desire to stop using.
- 4. Each group should be autonomous except in matters affecting other groups or N.A. as a whole.
- 5. Each group has but one primary purpose—to carry the message to the addict who still suffers.
- 6. An N.A. group ought never endorse, finance, or lend the N.A. name to any related facility or outside enterprise, lest problems of money, property or prestige divert us from our primary purpose.
- 7. Every N.A. group ought to be fully self-supporting, declining outside contributions.
- 8. Narcotics Anonymous should remain forever nonprofessional, but our service centers may employ special workers.
- 9. N.A., as such, ought never be organized, but we may create service boards or committees directly responsible to those they serve.
- 10. Narcotics Anonymous has no opinion on outside issues; hence the N.A. name ought never be drawn into public controversy.
- 11. Our public relations policy is based on attraction rather than promotion; we need always maintain personal anonymity at the level of press, radio, and films.
- 12. Anonymity is the spiritual foundation of all our Traditions, ever reminding us to place principles before personalities.

Reprinted for adaptation by permission of Alcoholics Anonymous World Services, Inc.

VOLUME TWO

It Works: How and Why Traditions Input Input Form, 1988

Narcotics Anonymous It Works: How and Why Traditions Input



eCopyright 1988 by
World Service Office, Inc.
P.O. Box 9999
Van Nuys, CA 91409 USA
All Rights Reserved
ISBN No. 155776-059-4
USO Catalog Item No. 2594
Narcotics Anonymous, ♠, and The N.A. Way
are registered trademarks of
World Service Office, Incorporated

WORLD LITERATURE COMMITTEE TRADITION REVIEW MATERIAL BOOK - 1988

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------------------|------|
| TRADITION ONE (Outline) | 1 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 3 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 7 |
| Newsline Articles | 18 |
| General Input | 23 |
| TRADITION TWO (Outline) | 31 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 33 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 38 |
| Newsline Articles | 53 |
| General Input | 64 |
| TRADITION THREE (Outline) | 71 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 73 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 77 |
| Newsline Articles | 89 |
| General Input | 91 |
| TRADITION FOUR (Outline) | 107 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 109 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 115 |
| General Input | 125 |

WORLD LITERATURE COMMITTEE TRADITION REVIEW MATERIAL BOOK - 1988

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------------------|------|
| TRADITION FIVE (Outline) | 133 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 136 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 140 |
| General Input | 150 |
| TRADITION SIX (Outline) | 157 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 159 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 164 |
| Newsline Articles | 179 |
| General Input | 197 |
| TRADITION SEVEN (Outline) | 202 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 204 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 210 |
| Newsline Articles | 228 |
| General Input | 233 |
| TRADITION EIGHT (Outline) | 250 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 252 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 255 |
| General Input | 263 |
| TRADITION NINE (Outline) | |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 288 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 293 |

WORLD LITERATURE COMMITTEE TRADITION REVIEW MATERIAL BOOK - 1988

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------------------|------|
| Newsline Articles | 303 |
| General Input | 306 |
| TRADITION TEN (Outline) | 313 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 315 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 318 |
| General Input | 327 |
| TRADITION ELEVEN (Outline) | 331 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 333 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 336 |
| Newsline Article | 346 |
| General Input | 347 |
| TRADITION TWELVE (Outline) | 354 |
| Blue Review Book Draft | 356 |
| 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft | 364 |
| General Input | 377 |

WORLD LITERATURE COMMITTEE 1988 TRADITIONS REVIEW MATERIAL BOOK INDEX OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

ITEM NO.

DESCRIPTION

| INTRODUCTORY: | Introductory |
|---|--------------|
| N.A. Way Articles BOT Transcripts N.A. Speaker Meeting - History Transcript | |
| TRADITION ONE: | 1 |
| N.A. Way Articles Member Interviews Transcripts BOT Transcripts | |
| TRADITION TWO: | 2 |
| N.A. Way Articles Member Interviews Transcripts BOT Transcripts | |
| TRADITION THREE: | 3 |
| N.A. Way Articles Member Interviews Transcripts BOT Transcripts | |
| TRADITION FOUR: | 4 |
| N.A. Way Articles BOT Transcripts | |
| TRADITION FIVE: | 5 |
| N.A. Way Articles BOT Transcripts Member Interviews Trancripts | |
| TRADITION SIX: | 6 |
| N.A. Way Articles BOT Transcripts Member Interviews Transcripts | |

INDEX OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

| DESCRIPTION | ITEM NO |
|---|---------|
| | |
| TRADITION SEVEN: | 7 |
| N.A. Way Articles BOT Transcripts Member Interviews Transcripts | |
| TRADITION EIGHT: | 8 |
| BOT Transcripts Member Interviews Transcripts | |
| TRADITION NINE: | 9 |
| BOT Transcripts | |
| TRADITION TEN: | 10 |
| N.A. Way Articles Member Interviews Transcripts BOT Transcripts | |
| TRADITION ELEVEN: | 11 |
| N.A. Way Articles BOT Transcripts Member Interviews Transcripts | |
| TRADITION TWELVE: | 12 |
| N.A. Way Articles BOT Transcripts Member Interviews Transcripts | |

WORLD LITERATURE COMMITTEE TRADITIONS INPUT ORDER FORM

Tear Out And Mail Orders for Additional Traditions Material to: World Service Office Inc.

P.O. Box 9999, Van Nuys, CA 91409

Please include Shipping and Handling Fee

If your order Totals:

| | \$.01-\$50.00 \$50.01-\$150.00 \$150.01-\$500.00 \$500.01-and up | add 8% add 7% add 6% add 5% |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| SHIP TO:_ | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| CONTACT | NAME AND PHONE #_ | |
| | ITEM NO. | TOTAL |
| | Additional Traditions | s Material also available |
| Introductor | ry | \$11.50 |
| Tradition | 1 | 10.25 |
| Tradition | 2 | 6.00 |
| Tradition | 3 | 3.75 |
| Tradition | 4 | 6.25 |
| Tradition | 5 | 6.50 |
| Tradition | 6 | 4.50 |
| Tradition | 7 | 10.25 |
| Tradition | 8 | 5.00 |
| Tradition | 9 | 5.75 |
| Tradition | 10 | 9.25 |
| Tradition | 11 | 6.75 |
| Tradition | 12 | 5.00 |

| 1 2 | | | WORLD LITERATURE COMMITTEE TRADITION REVIEW MATERIAL BOOK - 1988 |
|------------|------|------|--|
| | • | | |
| 3 | | | TRADITION ONE |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | "Our common welfare should come first; personal |
| 6 | | • | recovery depends on N.A. unity." |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | I. | Wha | at Common Welfare Means in NA. |
| LO | | A. | Common Themes. |
| 11 | | | 1. In Our Disease - (personal degradation is one). |
| L 2 | | | 2. In our Personal Recovery. |
| L 3 | | B. | Individual Welfare/Group Welfare. |
| L 4 | | | 1. I can't; we can. |
| L 5 | | C. | Commitment to welfare. |
| L6 | | D. | Selfless service/Selfishness. |
| L 7 | | E. | Freedom from Active Addiction. |
| 18 | II. | Our | need for unity. |
| . 9 | | A. | Recovery goes beyond survival. |
| 20 | | B. | Points of unity. |
| 21 | | C. | Unity is a pivotal principle of recovery. |
| 22 | | D. | Group Unity - (to include concept of spiritual center in every |
| 23 | | | meeting within the Traditions). |
| 24 | | E. | Unity is a practical foundation of Traditions. |
| 25 | | F. | Anonymity. |
| 6 | III. | Lear | ning about Unity. |
| 7 | | A. | Giving up old ideas. |
| 8 | | B. | Recognizing when actions subvert NA unity. |

Cooperation, not competition - (disagreement without 1 C. 2 disunity and without being disagreeable). 3 Willingness to commit to NA unity. D. Building Unity - (By practicing our common purpose we support 4 IV. our common welfare). 5 6 Applying the Steps and Traditions. A. 7 B. Participation. 8 C. Follow through and Consistency. Patience and Tolerance. 9 D. 10 E. Service Efforts. 11 F. Faith and Trust. 12 V. How the Tradition applies to and affects members, group and the NA service structure as a whole. 13 14 VI. Cherishing Unity. VII. Application of Tradition 1 to members, groups, and N.A. as a 15 16 whole. VIII. Conclusion and lead in to Tradition 2. 17

Blue Review Book Draft

Our common welfare is at the top of the list in the Twelve Traditions because as long as the ties that bind us together are stronger than those that would tear us apart, all will be well. If we break down "common welfare" to its simplest form, it means shared happiness, well-being, and spiritual prosperity. Common welfare is that which is best for the group, not just for one individual.

Before deciding on something, we can first think, "would this help the group as a whole, or is it just to fit the needs of one person?" To make sure that there is stability in the group, we should be able to disagree, but not have to be disagreeable. We need to think about the welfare of N.A. first, not just the welfare of ourselves.

Believing that we have a common welfare is not always easy. As suffering addicts, we came to this program thinking only of our personal welfare. Most of us had never experienced the kind of attention and personal care that we found in the N.A. Fellowship. But in N.A. we learn that by helping each other, abstinence and ongoing recovery are possible. There is a spiritual center in the midst of every N.A. meeting held in accord with the Twelve Traditions. It lies in the fact that we are people who literally depend on one another for our lives.

In N.A., we are accepted and loved for who we are. The individual is precious to the group, and the group is precious to the individual. In order to recover, we seek out the people in N.A. who live by spiritual principles. We try to share what we have with newcomers. Some of us get involved with service committees in the Fellowship. When we travel, we visit N.A. members in other places and share with them. We study ourselves through the Steps, our sponsors, and others in

the program. We depend on Narcotics Anonymous for our lives. We know that if N.A. dies, we will die. It is up to us to preserve our Fellowship so that we will have a place for the recovering addict.

Upon reaching the doors of N.A., we found that we were destructive, desperate individuals. Full of anger, fear, self-pity, and self-loathing, we found ourselves in the midst of people who once felt as we did. We asked them how to recover and we were told to give up our old ideas, habits, and ways of life. It is often frightening to take risks and try new ideas such as honesty, trust, and acceptance, but we leaned on those who went before us to share their strength. They gave us hope that if this new way of life worked for them, then it would work for us too.

A great deal of energy comes from the realization that every N.A. member is important. Together, any good thing is possible. Through N.A., we reach out the hand of recovery. We do whatever is called for to stand on our feet and reach out to others who would die without N.A. Today we have the spirit and enthusiasm to help others.

There is much to learn in recovery. In order for an addict to stop using drugs and live clean with any measure of happiness or productivity, a major upheaval in the person's physical, mental, and spiritual make-up is required. The Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions offer the keys we need to make the great change from active addiction to total abstinence and ongoing recovery.

Self-centeredness, a symptom of addiction, impairs our ability to see beyond ourselves. Only by practicing what seems at first "blind faith" can we stay clean long enough to have the scales of selfishness lifted from our minds and eyes. As our personal recovery evolves, it may slowly begin to take on the positive characteristics of the group. For all

of us, it means drastic change, growth, and a new way of life. It is the process which leads to a spiritual awakening, to happiness and serenity.

The N.A. Fellowship is a priceless gift with its seemingly endless supply of answers to our daily living problems. We get these answers in countless forms: the Twelve Steps, sharing at meetings, talking with our sponsors, sharing with newcomers or other addicts, praying, meditating, and reading our N.A. literature. These are all vital parts of the program.

Once we have taken the First Step and admitted to ourselves that we are addicts, we then sense that our very survival depends on the unity and strength of N.A. Unity means we are all working toward a common goal: recovery from addiction. As individual members, we need never compete with one another. We are there to lend support and to help each other. We compare ourselves only to ourselves, never against one another. By combining efforts, our Fellowship thrives and becomes stronger. By working against each other, our Fellowship could be torn apart. "True spiritual principles are never in conflict."

In recovery, we need always remember that we cannot do it alone. But somehow when we help each other, it works. As addicts, we know the truth in the statement, "If we don't hang together, we'll all hang separately." The common welfare of the groups is based upon helping ourselves by helping others. Sharing and caring for each other are some of the tools we use in helping to create unity.

Following the Traditions of the program strengthens the unity of the group and N.A. as a whole. This ensures that the Fellowship stays alive and free. Those who keep coming back notice the bonds that tie us together. If unity is strong and stable, addicts have a chance of recovery. Stability is vitally necessary for us because where we came from, instability was our way of life. We are now able to do things as a group

that we were unable to do alone. We learn the value of the principle of
unity when we consider other Traditions.

If we have no unity, we have no personal recovery. Unity comes from communicating and working together for the common welfare of the group. Without unity, there is no group; without the groups there is no N.A. We must ensure the unity of N.A. for the common good of our Fellowship so that the decisions we make and the actions we take may help all of N.A., not just one member or one group. These are the bonds of N.A. unity which hold us together. Without this unity, none of us could recover from the devastating effects of our addiction.

Unity is the function and the result of the Twelve Traditions of Narcotics Anonymous. The principle of unity is expressed in each of the Traditions.

Our Traditions state the experience of those who come before us, and voice what we should always do and what we ought never do. It is no accident that the words "should," "ought," and "never" reoccur throughout the Twelve Traditions. The fact that unity is the function of the Traditions is an aspect of their design and origin.

The word "we" appears throughout this book and all of the N.A. literature. "We" is the first word of the first Eleven Steps. The "we" that we find in the principles of Narcotics Anonymous is the basis of our recovery, and is an integral part of our unity. Some of us never felt "a part of" anything in our lives before coming to N.A. We felt alone even in a crowd of familiar people. We had built walls of false self-sufficiency and distrust of others that made us feel "apart from" everyone. Gradually, we found that as a part of N.A. we could find an alternative to the misery of our disease. We therefore understand the importance of "common welfare" and "unity." They are two of the ties that bind us together. This is the "we" of our program.

The First Tradition expresses a powerful spiritual principle. The spirituality of the Traditions is the truth behind the statement: "Personal recovery depends on N.A. unity." Another way of saying this is that for us, the Twelve Traditions are a matter of life and death. Living clean would not be possible for us without each other. Because we could never remain clean by ourselves, our individual recovery depends on N.A. unity.

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

It's no accident that the first of the Twelve Traditions emphasizes our common welfare and our unity. They are the most powerful principles our program has, and they fit as hand-in-hand. We need to be united in order to work for our common welfare, and working for our common welfare reinforces our unity.

Unity is literally a matter of life and death. We tried to stop using without N.A. and could not. We need other addicts' help, support and understanding, all of which come from a united Fellowship. We bring about unity by communicating and working together for the common welfare of the group. Without unity there is no group; without the groups there is no N.A.; without N.A. we die. Thus we must always ensure the unity of N.A. for the common good of our Fellowship so that the decisions we make and the actions we take may help all of N.A., not just one member or one group. These are the bonds that hold us together. Without them, none of us could recover from the devastating effects of our addiction. They enable us to change our lives from suffering to happiness and serenity.

As we slowly come to understand such things, they make perfect sense. But when we first stepped through N.A.'s doors, the idea of "unity" hardly grabbed our imaginations. Self-centeredness was one reason. The other had to do with our feelings of alienation. Many of us had basically felt so disconnected from other people for so long, we didn't know how to connect with anybody. We sat at meetings just wanting to bolt. Some of us did bolt right in the middle of a meeting; we couldn't stand it anymore. Unfortunately, a few who bolted never returned. Some kept running but kept returning. Coming back was a powerful expression of our need for each other, our thirst for the benefits of N.A. unity, our strong urge to survive.

Even so, early on many of us felt like outsiders. Even when members smiled warmly at us, and reached out welcoming hands, we kept feeling that way. We held ourselves back. So many relatives and friends had been driven away by our crazy, drug-dominated ways of living, we'd come to pretend we didn't need other people. For a while, then, we also kept on pretending we didn't need N.A. or its members either. We insisted upon telling ourselves that the N.A. group, the N.A. Fellowship, meant nothing much to us.

Yet, no matter how much of an armor we put on, we couldn't keep wearing it forever. How could we take the First Step, and admit to our powerlessness over addiction, without also admitting that we needed N.A.'s fellowship, its strength? That would have been too much of a contradiction for us, who were so prone to lie to ourselves. Yet it was the sense of hope and caring we found in N.A. that got us through those agonizing first weeks and months of recovery. It had been a long time since many of us had experienced the kind of attention we received in N.A. Some of us had never received it.

So, despite ourselves, we began to respond to the spiritual core that's at the heart of every meeting run in accord with the Twelve Traditions. "How do I recover?" was our desperate question to members who once had been as self-destructive as we. They told us how they did it—by giving up their old ideas, habits and ways of life. We heard them discuss topics strange to us in our condition—topics like honesty, trust, acceptance and hope. All this frightened us. We were used to dishonesty, we trusted no one, we'd made a habit of rejecting not accepting others—yet, we admitted, we hadn't fully given up on hope. and members who had fought their addiction successfully gave us hope that if this new way of life worked for them, if would also work for us.

As one older member told a newcomer, "We love you and need you; we know what you're going through; above all, we care." One way or another we were told, "Always remember that none of us can do it alone. In unity, in helping each other, the Program works. 'I can't--we can'"

Such powerful messages, coming from a group, make surrendering to the group a lot easier. Surrendering means more than being a part of, rather than apart from, our N.A. groups. It brings us to a spiritual recognition about our relationship to N.A.: "This is where I belong; this will work for me." Many of us took a long time in coming to that recognition. We edged towards it; retreated; tried to surrender and backed off. Only after a lot of tries did we finally--truly--surrender.

When it happened we found ourselves happier--and much less lonely. We found ourselves beginning to stop thinking in terms of "I," and we began to start thinking in terms of "we." When we use "we" we're thinking or speaking in the spirit of unity. That's why the word "we" appears throughout this book, in all N.A. literature, and is the first word of the first eleven steps. When our thinking changed to "we," our

wall of false self-sufficiency and distrust of others started to crumble.We began to trust.

Having learned that addicts in isolation have a hard time surviving, we even started to believe a little in the importance of a common welfare. This wasn't always easy. One reason had to do with spiritual anonymity. Many older members emphasized the importance of spiritual anonymity in the context of working for our common welfare. They explained that if we tried to get credit for the work we did on behalf of the Fellowship, we were intent on feeding our egos. But if we did our good works anonymously, we escaped the dangers of an ego trip and operated under the principle of spiritual anonymity. Even though we weren't as alienated or self-centered as we'd been, this was unexpectedly tough. We'd done so much we were ashamed of. Now that we were doing things we were proud of, we were told not to expect credit for them!

There was another reason why working for the common welfare was hard for many of us at first. N.A. is made up of many different kinds of members-members who come from radically different kinds of backgrounds. Newcomers may walk into a meeting and rub shoulders with some members who are totally "foreign" to them. These newcomers may wonder: "Do we really share a common welfare?" One long-time member recalls his first N.A. meeting; it was at a time when there were very few such meetings in his part of the United States. He was quiet, gentle, bookish--a teacher. The rest of the group was composed of about fifteen street-hardened men. He quickly discovered that he was the only one there who'd gone to college instead of jail. He was the only one who didn't sport a tattoo. He was the only one who didn't have a needle story.

"They were like something out of Mars to me and I was like something out of Mars to them," he recalled long afterward. "But I stayed around, for reasons I couldn't articulate then but which became clear to me later on. I stayed because the idea of recovery from addiction had become very attractive to me. I also stayed because on some very deep level, despite the differences between us, I was able to relate to these men, and they to me, as addicts. I know I was thinking-and maybe even saying--some hateful things about these men. But at the same time I instinctively identified with them and recognized that they had something to offer. They'd all been clean for a year or so and they offered me a measure of hope."

We learned, as this member did, that it didn't make any difference if others were uptown professionals and we were not. Or living in slum neighborhoods and we were not. We might have used different drugs, might use different language to express ourselves--but, at the bottom, we all say pretty much the same things. We were all addicts under the sun. We were all people with the disease of addiction who hadn't been able to stop using on our own and needed each other for recovery. We were all wanting to be clean or stay clean and seeking N.A.'s help for that.

The upshot of it is that while we might have started out in a group listening for the differences, we wound up listening for the similarities. That's the "we" again. It's why a hip, cool 20-year-old can wind up identifying with a 74-year-old grandmother--herself an addict-at an N.A. meeting. They're there for the same reasons, ones that boil down to becoming clean, staying clean. It's those reasons that unite us in N.A., no matter how different we might seem on the surface. Often the right words, the words of hope or support we need to hear just then, come from a member we least expected to utter them.

Witnessing such scenes, many of us were both attracted and put off by them at first. For so long our self-concern had been monumental. We'd lived, plotted, stolen from everybody we knew and people we didn't know--all for the sake of our drugs. Now here we were, amidst a bunch of recovering addicts who weren't conning anybody--who genuinely wanted other people to stop using and start living. Witnessing those caring, sharing scenes made us uncomfortable, maybe, because we'd behaved so selfishly so long. Maybe we were uncomfortable, too, because we were scared we wouldn't get to where those addicts were in their recovery.

Addicts caring about addicts, addicts helping each other recover, is what the First Tradition's emphasis on unity and common welfare is all about. When addicts drive a couple of hundred miles to offer to support an N.A. group just starting out, it's a measure of that caring. It is, as well, when a member misses a meeting and other members call him up afterwards to make sure he's all right. When we help each other it's an unselfish act. But it's also in our own interests because when we help others we strengthen and grow ourselves. If treatment centers, psychiatrists and hospitals didn't work for us, it was because they lacked the qualities—the Steps and Traditions, the spiritual principles—that make N.A. different.

When we first joined N.A., some of us didn't really know what to expect. We knew we'd be in a group of addicts, but we thought maybe they'd give us a set of instructions for staying clean. A kind of user's manual of recovery. It was one of the reasons we were skeptical about N.A. at first. We'd been through the I'll-tell-you-how-to-stop-using trip with everyone from family to doctors and it hadn't worked. What we found in N.A., however, was an emphasis on example rather than direction. When we thought about it, we could see that it made sense.

- 1 We had never listened to advice or counsel, no matter how wise it was.
- 2 We always knew better. We could always say, "They don't know what
- 3 they're talking about." But when we see suffering addicts stop using and
- 4 lead happy lives, that's different. That gives us no out, because those
- 5 addicts once were like us in one way or another. No example can be
- 6 more shining than that.

With the emphasis on unity as strong as it is, it's open to misinterpretation. Unity doesn't mean uniformity. It doesn't mean every N.A. member has to agree with every other member on everything. It doesn't mean all members have to feel the same way about what's best for N.A., or have exactly the same experiences in their recovery. Some of us have a hard time coming to terms with this. We start off not being at all sure we want anything to do with Narcotics Anonymous. Then we go through a stage of loving N.A. so much we think the slightest hint of controversy threatens N.A.'s existence.

Actually, a lively give-and-take is healthy. It's the springboard for new ideas on how to improve our Program. Even though the N.A. Program is God-inspired, we have been given the responsibility of carrying it out on a day-to-day basis. We can always find more effective ways to reach and help addicts who still suffer.

Differences of opinion are healthy when we disagree with each other without being disagreeable. We do this by stating our opinions calmly and by making an honest effort to consider views contrary to ours. Even people who don't think the way we do often have something valuable to offer. However, for quite a few of us, this is a real learning experience. When we did our moral inventory we discovered that our ways of dealing with the world were marked by stubbornness and rigidity. Ours was a know-it-all attitude; we had all the answers. Or some person we considered a guru had all the answers. This lack of

- 1 open-mindedness made us extremely impatient with people who didn't
- 2 share our views, and we carried this impatience into N.A. Those of us
- 3 who were so impatient shouted at other members, cursed them or
- 4 insulted them. We tried to ram our ideas down other people's throats.
- 5 We threatened them or said ugly things about them behind their backs.
- 6 A few of us even tried to break up the groups we were in when votes
- 7 went against our point of view.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

When we disrupt meetings in any way we do damage to N.A. and its principles of compassion and cooperation. We do great damage to our unity. We drive away newcomers who look to our program for survival-and we lose some long-term members, too, quite possibly placing their recovery at risk. However, only in extreme instances is some kind of action taken against very difficult, disruptive members. Mostly we try to understand them, try to love them, try to help them with their recovery. We remind ourselves that they haven't yet found the serenity we all seek, and we express gratitude that games of manipulation and control are no longer a way of life with us. We do speak up, though, when the actions of very difficult members threaten the stability of our groups. Carrying the message of recovery is our first order of business.

Eruptions can occur over something as important as how most effectively to use a sum of money collected by the group--or about something as relatively trivial as when meetings should start. A group was badly disrupted when some members wanted the meeting to start at 8:00 p.m., while others wanted it to start at 8:30 p.m. Each camp accused the other of not loving N.A. as much as it did.

At times two members fight passionately about which aspect of the N.A. Program is most helpful to recovery, not realizing that all aspects are helpful and important. Different backgrounds and experiences might prompt them to fight about something seemingly

trivial—for instance, whether or not the Fourth Step should be written in ink. Both try to convince a newcomer, "My way is best"—which may only serve to scare off the newcomer and threaten his survival.

It's only natural for us to make a deep commitment to something we credit with our recovery. We have bet our lives on it, and won. So when someone else comes up with a different approach we have a tendency to scoff, "That didn't work for me, so it doesn't work, period!" But what we're really engaged in is an I'm-right-you're-wrong power struggle. We're concentrating on the differences when it's the similarities that bind us together. For the sake of our common welfare and our unity we must strive for a spirit of open-mindedness and cooperation.

Sometimes, too, when we go wild with anger, we aren't fighting about anything that really matters very much to us. What drives us isn't a fight for principle or even power but plain old excitement. Many of us had clung to our addiction at least partly because we loved the excitement of using. Using carries heavy risks--serious health problems, prison sentences, even death. We delighted in the daredevil things we had to do to get our drugs, the dangers associated with buying and selling. For a time, some of us carry that need for excitement into our N.A. meetings. Generating controversy, yelling, screaming, shaking our fists at someone, gives us a tremendous amount of stimulation. It's a real high. But it keeps us from a spiritual awakening and plays havoc with our common welfare.

Upon first hearing about "common welfare" we were confused. Did that mean the groups whose meetings we attended? Other groups in town? In our state? Addicts in N.A. groups everywhere on earth? When we learned that common welfare meant all of the above, we were even more confused. It was hard enough to be concerned about members

in the groups we went to-how could we think of the welfare of addicts in N.A. groups thousands of miles away?

The seeming enormity of it all lessened as we continued to attend meetings. We were like tiny children-tots whose first experience in relating takes place at home, with their folks. Gradually, they see more and more of the world, and form relationships with more and more people. Similarly, the group we regularly attended was "home." It's in our home group that we learned to show caring and concern for our fellow addicts, to work together for common goals, to get acquainted with other members socially. The people we saw at every meeting became "family."

We learned much in our home groups. We learned that we weren't alone. We learned the concept of service, beginning with the emptying of ashtrays and going on from there. We learned that we could count on others to look out for us. They reached out to us even when we thought we wanted to hide. They gave us a sense of continuity, because seeing us week after week they could tell by our faces alone whether or not things were okay with us. In turn, we learned to see the signs of ease or distress in the faces of other members. It's in our home groups that we established roots of any kind--often for the first time in years. Showing up week after week in our home groups, celebrating clean time with other members, thinking about and voting on issues, becoming involved, is what did it.

Once we had established this strong feeling of belongingness we could explore other meetings, other groups. Many of us found it helpful to go to a variety of meetings in our respective areas after a while. Each had something different to offer, each gave us a different perspective of what it means to be an addict and survive. Starting with our home groups and going on to others really helped us to appreciate the

connectedness of all N.A. groups everywhere. We saw the ways 1 members all over the world work together for our unity and our common 3 welfare.

As we went to more and more N.A. meetings of all kinds, we repeatedly saw how important and powerful the principle of unity is. We N.A. members call upon it in all kinds of situations, and it strengthens the bonds between us. It saves us from wrenching conflict. It has a healing influence when clashes between members or among groups do occur.

One area, for instance, was nearly out of funds. A motorcycle club--a subgroup in the area--wanted to throw a fundraiser to help out. But when the club's offer was presented to the various groups, there was great divisiveness. Some loved the idea, others were convinced it involved a violation of the Traditions. Eventually, as the angry debate continued, the area realized that so much conflict could mean just one thing--that the motorcycle club's offer truly wasn't in accord with N.A.'s spiritual principles. If if had been so much heat wouldn't have been generated, for spiritual principles aren't in conflict and don't spawn so much conflict. So, in the interest of unity, the club's offer wasn't Instead, the area became more vigorous in increasing accepted. donations from its groups.

While it's directly mentioned only in the First Tradition, the principle of unity is expressed in all of our Traditions. Only in unity can we give meaning to the Second Tradition, accepting a loving God as our ultimate authority. Only in unity can we open our doors to all suffering addicts regardless of who they are or where they come from, as described in the Third Tradition. Only in unity can we all work together for our shared goal, recovery from addiction.

28 29

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Newsline Articles

This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees in 1984. It represents views at the time of writing.

The Twelve Traditions sections of the White Booklet and our Basic Text contain lines about vigilance and the ties which bind us together. We have been discovering a great deal about these things in the last few years as individuals and as a Fellowship.

We are finding that it is easier to avoid a Tradition violation than it is to do anything much about it once such a violation has occurred. The reason for this lies in the spiritual nature of our program. The Traditions aren't laws with jail sentences or legal penalties for violations. They are every bit as spiritual as our Steps. What happens when we violate the principles of one of our Twelve Steps? We hurt! And so do those around us. Following the principles in our Traditions is a way to stop hurting. Being a part of our local Fellowship and N.A. as a whole, something we say before we address an N.A. meeting, gives us a sense of identity and security we have usually done without for a long time when we get here. As we grow in recovery, live our Steps and increasingly function as a part of the N.A. Fellowship, our unity gives us peace.

The Twelve Traditions could well be called the "Twelve Essentials" because it would be impossible to imagine N.A. without them. We would quickly become involved in issues which have nothing to do with recovery, and in place of our primary purpose being to help the suffering addict, we would have a bureaucratic nightmare. The reason this will never happen is that you can't have the controls on a spiritual Fellowship of recovering addicts they won't stand for that type

- 1 of control. While the parts may suffer, the whole will survive and live to
- 2 better days. To violate a Tradition is to take a step away from N.A.,
- and for any of our members pain and misery follow quickly. The only
- 4 thing we can do is to turn around and come back. There will always be
- 5 those who understand and want to help. We have to stay in touch with
- 6 the whole.

7

8

9

10

11

12

- We're all in this together and each of us is as important as the rest. We need to remember that we are involved with the process of learning to live without drugs. Our difficulties are compounded when we get sidetracked on issues which make us forget our personal need for help or our ability to help others. We addicts are great ones for getting caught up in problems which don't directly concern us, and we seem to have a characteristic fascination with taking sides.
- 14 Our First Step in recovery gives us a chance to get in touch with the fact that we lack the power to manage our lives, and our First 15 16 Tradition points to the fact that our best chance for survival lies with the group and not by going it alone. When we are critical of others or get 17 our feelings hurt, we rebel and forget that we can give our very best to 18 any situation before us by applying the principles of the Twelve Steps of 19 20 N.A. We can surrender, ask for help, take our own inventory, allow defects to be removed, make amends, admit fault, pray, meditate and 21 enjoy a spiritual awakening complete with principles and a renewed 22 interest in the welfare of others who suffer like we do. Our criticisms 23 and hurt feelings make us imagine that we are greater or lesser than 24 25 someone. The pain which comes from these feelings requires us to make peace with our group in some way so that we can get on with our 26 27 recovery.
- Because of the nature of our program, we often have to accept things which seem to go against common sense. Only through conscious

contact with a Power greater than ourselves can we hope to accept some 1 of these things. Many living problems, group problems and problems 2 affecting our unity seem to have no solution until we can accept them as 3 a reality over which we have no control whatsoever. Then, at last, we 4 can truly ask for help with an open mind. Because of the love we have 5 for one another, we sometimes have to let a member who is causing б problems go on in their difficulty in hopes that they will in time come to 7 see their inability to manage the affairs of N.A. at any level. Only in 8 extreme cases is some sort of group action taken. None of us are perfect 9 people so it is generally thought best to stay well back from games of 10 manipulation or control. Some of the changes we go through as groups 11 or members take a while for us to become able to see a remedy. During 12 these periods, our feelings of unity may suffer. We can get so caught up 13 in things that it seems irrelevant to take the simplest viewpoint. We can 14 feel powerful and fall to error that way, or feel that we are the only ones 15 experiencing difficulty coming to terms with things the way they are and 16 fall prey to the same error: namely, we stop communicating our real 17 feelings. We build a house of cards which always falls in on us. Cut off 18 from the relief we should be finding at meetings, we seek to reinforce our 19 own sick position by persuading others to our point of view. We seem to 20 forget the effects we may be having on others. Meanwhile, N.A. goes on. 21 Just as there can be no conflict between spiritual principles, there can be 22 no real conflict between spiritual people. Appearances to the side, all we 23 can engage in as members of N.A. is lovers' quarrels and most of the 24 suffering comes from different viewpoints or differences of information. 25 It is natural to want to side with our friends. We can also, for the sake 26 of unity, examine the other person's point of view and achieve some 27 benefit. We can learn to hold our tongues when we would speak badly of 28 another member. The old saying "love will find a way" seems to apply 29

here, but for our purposes our love must be very real to carry us through.

It is impossible to address unity without addressing feelings. Too often we have seen members shroud themselves in mystery and evasive action, when they could be enjoying themselves. Going against any one of the Traditions affects the way members feel about their program. When any of our members feel that N.A. is not "for real" their surrender is threatened and they may be cut off from the help they need to stay clean, stay happy, and stay alive. These members are often on the sidelines and hear disturbing accusations and justifications which make them question the spiritual nature of our basic message of recovery from addiction. They may not stay around to see the problems resolved. If they are involved with the participants, they may get in over their heads and flounder amid heated debates or waste away during the cold war periods, when members are giving the other side a chance to cool off or growing through a process of self-examination.

We have a right to be happy today and feel good about N.A. Sooner or later we all make mistakes, especially when something we love is at stake. Without spiritual principles to guide us, we will take measures to insure against loss of what we love and this generally leads to a real mess. It is not the purpose or function of our service committees or service structure to keep N.A. alive. Thank God! No service committee in the world can keep even one addict clean. Only another member who cares can do that. The most important and only essential service we can perform is that of personal service, directly filling the need of another addict who needs our help. It has been said that we don't even need a service structure. This might seem to be true for some rugged individualists at times in their recovery, but where would they be if there had been no hotline, no H&I meeting, no

literature, no meeting directory, no meeting or no member willing to talk 1 to them when they first came in. We have needs as members and as 2 groups which we would have to go to some trouble to meet without our 3 N.A. service structure. Through N.A. we each pay a part of the price it 4 takes to get our service needs met. We can all feel good about our 5 service effort. Our trusted servants take the emotional and financial 6 support we give them and transform it into something wonderful. 7 During crises it might help to comment on the fact that without the 8 resources of the Fellowship of N.A., our servants would be on the street 9 again. This makes them truly our servants. It is our trust which makes 10 them trusted. During difficult periods, it can be difficult to figure out 11 who is on the power trip, the group or the individuals. Certainly without 12 the group, the individual doesn't stand a chance but how about the 13 group? A group which doesn't support good servants may have to go a 14 while without the things which help other groups, areas and regions 15 prosper until they figure out how badly they need the individual's talents 16 and energy. The group can't have servants beyond what they are able to 17 18 encourage and support.

This article has dealt with the feelings of our members and some of the interactions which affect our N.A. unity. As long as we can remember who we are, what we are, and where we came from, just that long will we be able to be grateful for what we have today through N.A. We are facing many changes today in N.A. but the Twelve Steps haven't changed and neither have the Traditions. None of us has to face our problems alone. In a sense, we are still doing what we recovering addicts have always done: learning to use the resources available to us in N.A. to help ourselves and others live a better life clean. The Traditions allow us to maintain the spiritual nature of our program and focus our energies on recovery from addiction. They keep us from being

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

troubled by things which have nothing to do with N.A. The source of our unity is the feeling within each of us reflected at the group level. This feeling reflects our gratitude and spiritual growth. It is the knowledge within that everything is going to be okay; no matter what this one does or that one, however any particular item is voted on, who gets elected, whatever trouble we may be having, there will still be some N.A. members to sit around with and say "Let's get on with the meeting." Recovery and the good feelings inside we get to share in meetings and with each other will always be the keys to N.A. and our sense of unity.

Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 1, No. 6A

11

10

1

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

12 13

General Input

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

Imagine what it would be like if N.A. broke into different sects such as orthodox, liberal, new and old, etc. Where would that leave us, and the addicts who are still practicing? How would one choose which sect to join? How would anybody become or stay clean?

The program is based on mutual need, give and take. Personal ambition or stubbornness can easily get in the way of the group's common good and what is best for the group usually works out to be best for the individual members.

For example, picture us all in a row boat out on a lake. There we are and each one of us thinks she or he knows which direction we should be headed in. So each person rows in the direction she or he favours. You know the result. At best we'd end up going in circles. However, if we took a vote and all rowed together in the same direction, we'd get

somewhere. Even if it turned out to be the wrong way, we'd find that 1 out and be able to correct our course accordingly. 2 Ultimately, unity depends on how willing I am to agree with what 3 appears to be the group's best interest. If each one of us tries his/her 4 best, we will have unity. 5 It is worth remembering, too, that to disagree you do not have to 6 7 be disagreeable. Using only N.A. approved literature keeps it simple and ensures 8 that newcomers receive the program in its purest form, undiluted by 9 10 personal prejudice. Meetings require both talking and listening--an overabundance of 11 either by any member may deprive someone of needed help. To listen 12 with an open mind makes for a free and tolerant exchange of ideas. 13 N.A. must stay whole or it will die, and if N.A. dies we will too. 14 15 An addict alone is in bad company. 16 ************* 17 18 19 "Our First Tradition concerns unity and our welfare"(Basic Text p.57), and "unity depends on how well we follow our 20 Traditions" (Basic Text p.56). Direct quotes from our book tell us unity 21 of purpose can only be achieved when we become willing to surrender to 22 the group principle. Personal recovery is what is at stake. 23 Our addiction makes the temptation to go it alone seem very 24 Even with the best of intentions, doing radio talk shows, 25 newspaper interviews, accepting invitations to go back and speak at our 26 old school, or help out an old friend who is a social worker, are not in 27 keeping with our unity. This type of opportunity needs to be taken to 28

our P.I. subcommittees. It strengthens our unity and the surrender

| 1 | benefits our personal recovery. By supporting our subcommittee we |
|----|---|
| 2 | personally assure our common welfare coming first. |
| 3 | This principle is important to our recovery and tells us of it |
| 4 | directly. Our work and our works can be benefitted by the same |
| 5 | approach. They should directly reflect the principles and good works of |
| 6 | N.A. as a whole. |
| 7 | When the Traditions are applied to our subcommittees or |
| 8 | committee, unity of purpose will be achieved with the Fellowship and we |
| 9 | will have a united public relations policy. "Our Traditions are the |
| 10 | guidelines that protect us from ourselves. They are our unity" (Basic |
| 11 | Text p. 58). |
| 12 | Communication is very important. Areas, regions and the WSC |
| 13 | Committee are dependent on it to insure a united effort. "With faith in |
| 14 | a power greater than ourselves, hard work, and unity, we will survive |
| 15 | and continue to carry the message to the addict who still suffers." |
| 16 | |
| 17 | *************** |
| 18 | Example: |
| 19 | Newcomer at an N.A. meeting. The meeting is dominated by |
| 20 | arguments over service structure or literature, etc. Newcomer says, "I |
| 21 | came to see how to stay clean, I don't care about this stuff!" |
| 22 | The point is the "unity" in this case would reflect agreement to |
| 23 | keep the meeting to its purpose (Tradition Five) and keep the arguments |

to their proper setting. The personal recovery of this newcomer

| 1 | depended on this type of unity, and therefore "our common welfare" |
|--------|---|
| 2 | became priority. |
| 3 4 | ************ |
| 5 | |
| | |
| 6 | It is no accident that the term "common welfare" is stated at the |
| 7 | very beginning of our Twelve Traditions. "Common welfare" implies |
| 8 | shared happiness, well-being and spiritual prosperity. Common welfare |
| 9 | is that which is best for the group, not just for one individual. When we |
| 10 | think in terms of common welfare we ask ourselves, "Would this benefit |
| 11 | the group, or the Fellowship as a whole?" |
| 12 | Coming to believe that we have a common welfare is not always |
| 13 | easy. We came to this program with our personal welfare foremost in |
| 14 | our minds. Most of us had not previously experienced the kind of |
| 15 | unconditional love and support that we find in the N.A. Fellowship. |
| 16 | There is a spiritual core to every N.A. meeting which practices the |
| 17 | principles of these Twelve Traditions, the basis of which is the fact that |
| 18 | we are people who literally depend on each other for our lives. |
| 19 | We come to accept and love ourselves for who and what we are. |
| 20 | We find out that the individual is precious to the group, and the group is |
| 21 | precious to the individual. We both seek out the people in N.A. who |
| 22 | have the most to offer to our recovery and growth, and we in turn offer |
| 23 | what we have learned to others. We depend on Narcotics Anonymous |
| 24 | for our lives; if N.A. dies we die. It is up to us to preserve our |
| 25 | Fellowship for the addict seeking recovery. |
| 26 | Many of us reach the doors of N.A. only to discover that we were |
| 27 | destructive, desperate individuals. Although we are filled with anger, |
| 28 | fear, self-pity, and loathing, we find ourselves listening to people who tell |

us that they once felt exactly the same way, and that we don't have to

feel that despair ever again, if we choose not to. We are asked to give up our old ideas, habits, and ways of life. We find support from those who have gone before us, and their experience gives us hope that if this new way of life worked for them, it might work also for us.

As our personal recovery evolves, it may slowly take on the positive characteristics of the group. For each of us recovery means drastic change. It means taking the risk to try new ideas such as honesty, trust, and acceptance. This willingness to change and grow is the process which leads to a spiritual awakening, to a peace and serenity within ourselves. Once we have taken the First Step, admitting to ourselves that we are indeed addicts, we then sense that our very survival depends on the unity of the N.A. Fellowship.

Unity to us means that we are all working toward the same goal: recovery from addiction one day at a time. We need always remember that we cannot do it alone. Somehow when we all help each other, it works. As addicts, we know the painful truth of the statement, "if we don't hang together, we'll all hang separately." The common welfare of the groups is based upon helping ourselves by helping others, which in turn creates unity.

Practicing the Traditions of this program strengthens the unity of the group. It ensures that the Fellowship stays alive. Unity is expressed through the gifts of experience, strength and hope from those who came before us, and from the hope that we can offer to those who come after us.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

2728

26 **********************

This First Tradition embodies a powerful spiritual principle: for us, staying clean would not be possible without the support of each other.

The "we-they" phenomenon in N.A. service is, I believe, between members and administrators. The members have a general knowledge and the administrators have specific knowledge and this is the basis for a variance of perspective.

In the first instance, members have a focus on what they believe to be good and possible in terms of current needs and resources, and empower certain other members to do a job for them. Those entrusted to do the work set about planning, evaluating and initiating actions designed to bring about the desired result. Along the way, they gather information about how best to proceed in carrying out the will of the members, and in time often see other possible and desirable goals. Also, they may see problems which would result from the achievement of the original goals. Their perceptions may or may not be accurate. The important thing here is that they develop a viewpoint separate from the viewpoint which put them in the position of trust to begin with. This is where the fun begins.

If they fail to communicate their views to those who originally set the effort in motion, it is easy for them to proceed on their own recognizance. In this way, they can easily appear to be acting on selfwill and not group conscience, even though their motives may be absolutely true to the N.A. spirit in all respects but one.

To solve this, we need to create within our structural service units a recognized and routine approach designed to bring together the viewpoints of all members concerned with any particular project and keep it together for the duration of the project.

Obviously, the typical report from any administrator has been attempting to do this. All that may be lacking is a special format to present information which is considered important enough to modify the plan of action, and give the members committed to the project an

opportunity to respond with their viewpoints, which are evaluated in as open and interactive a manner as is possible before actually modifying the original plan.

Where this is not done, those who support the effort gradually stop writing, calling or giving any support at all. They may feel betrayed or simply confused by changes which occur beyond their field of vision and often drop out because they can no longer see the plan of action. They may continue to support the effort on major items out of faith or trust, but the further the actual plan of action shifts from what is known to them, the less they can effectively support something they cannot understand.

While all this is going on, the administrators may be going along, imagining everything is fine. It usually comes as a shock to them when they reach for emotional or financial support which is no longer there. In this moment, they feel betrayed and confused, just like the members in the first instance and for the same reason in reverse.

To bring together fragmented elements within the Fellowship is always difficult and usually impossible, except on major projects where the need is so overwhelming that members will go to extraordinary lengths emotionally and spiritually to find a way to achieve the goal and meet the Fellowship's need. Perhaps it is good to emphasize that it is ONLY the Fellowship's need which is strong enough to focus substantial attention, effort and resource on a desired goal, not only in the early stages, but throughout the procession of developments necessary to attain the goal. This is true of our ad-hoc committees but terrifically more important where an ongoing service effort is the goal. Generally, the further from the original stated goal, the less it will appear relevant to the average member who is in a position to support or deny the call for support.

We are going to see the positive results we have gained from our experience in the last several years. A lot of new things have been undertaken which we have never been in a position to even attempt before. A tremendous wave of experience has been gained and shared among the Fellowship which will form the basis for Fellowship consensus to formulate new goals while completing others.

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

Taking this tradition piece by piece we see that "personal recovery depends on N.A. unity" and N.A. unity can only be achieved through the personal recovery of the N.A. members. We achieve the personal recovery necessary, through working the Twelve Steps and applying those principles to our daily lives. The steps provide the purification process that allows a loving God to intercede in our lives and make personal recovery possible. We do this by letting go of selfish motives, ego, and self-concern of how everything affects "me." In Steps One-Three we gain a measure of humility by admitting that "I can't, but WE can." Steps Four-Six show us how much we need to change in order to become ready to surrender to a life of spiritual growth. Steps Seven-Nine begin this process of change and allow us to clear away some of the wreckage of the past so we can focus on how to become a better servant of God's will. Steps Ten-Twelve are the final preparatory steps to our surrender to a greater consience. Having made this surrender, we realize that by placing our common welfare first we open the door to personal recovery.

2526

27

| 1 | | TRADITION TWO | | | | | |
|----|------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | "Fo | "For our group purpose there is but one ultimate authority-a loving God | | | | | |
| 4 | as l | as He may express Himself in our group conscience. Our leaders are but | | | | | |
| 5 | | | trusted servants, they do not govern." | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | Outline | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | I. | Gro | Group Purpose. | | | | |
| 10 | | A. | To carry the message. | | | | |
| 11 | | B. | To help each other recover. | | | | |
| 12 | II. | Ulti | Ultimate Authority/A Loving God. | | | | |
| 13 | | A. | Definitions. | | | | |
| 14 | | | 1. Ultimate Authority. | | | | |
| 15 | | | 2. Loving God. | | | | |
| 16 | | B. | Historical Background. | | | | |
| 17 | | | Evidence of God in our Growth. | | | | |
| 18 | | C. | Problems with Authority. | | | | |
| 19 | | D. | Personal Surrender in Group Terms. | | | | |
| 20 | III. | Rela | Relationship Between a Loving God and Group Conscience. | | | | |
| 21 | | A. | How may God's purpose be expressed in the group? | | | | |
| 22 | | | 1. Meaning of the word `may'. | | | | |
| 23 | | B. | How can the group seek God's expression? | | | | |
| 24 | | | 1. Reach within. | | | | |
| 25 | IV. | Grou | up Conscience. | | | | |
| 26 | | A. | What it is. | | | | |
| 27 | | | 1. Balance between information and inspiration. | | | | |
| 28 | | В. | What it isn't. | | | | |
| 29 | | | 1. Group opinion vs. group conscience. | | | | |

| 1 | | C. | Problems determining group conscience. |
|----|------|-------|--|
| 2 | | D. | All group conscience is geared toward the purpose of |
| 3 | | | carrying the message. |
| 4 | V. | Leade | ers/Trusted Servants. |
| 5 | | A. | Group conscience selection of trusted servants. |
| 6 | | В. | Members, groups and trusted servants are tools/instruments |
| 7 | | | of a loving God. |
| 8 | | C. | Define the nature of leadership. |
| 9 | | D. | Define responsibility of T.S./leaders. |
| 10 | | | 1. Define `to serve'. |
| 11 | | | 2. Define `trusted'. |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | E. | Define responsibility of group for its trusted |
| 14 | | | servants/leaders. |
| 15 | VI. | Sumr | nary. |
| 16 | | A. | Group conscience is self enforced. |
| 17 | VII. | Conc | lusion and lead in to Tradition 3. |
| 18 | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

Tradition Two talks about the word "authority." "Ultimate means highest or fundamental. However, many addicts come to N.A. full of rage against authority and authority figures. We have reacted adversely to authority most of our lives and usually came out on the short end of these encounters. In our sickness, we often treated spiritual principles as rules, and defiantly searched for ways to work around them. However, we now know that our self-will caused many of the heartaches and miseries which drove us to the doors of Narcotics Anonymous. The Second Tradition's main theme is to quiet this struggle within ourselves and with each other.

"Group conscience" is the term by which we designate our collective will. It is the way we voice our hope that we will all adhere to and practice God's will. In Narcotics Anonymous, we set aside personal differences and join together under God's grace. In Tradition Two, the word "conscience" is used, rather than "majority." We search our conscience, carefully considering important issues in view of the N.A. principles, before reaching decisions. We then reach these decisions together.

Our groups are variously balanced between newcomers and members who have been clean for some time. The principle of Tradition Two is that every member has an equal part in the group conscience. New addicts are coming in daily, and as the heart of our program grows, each group conscience is an expression of a loving God.

God's will is revealed through each of us. If we stop long enough to listen, we will hear that voice within. When we first come to the program, we find it difficult to be still and listen. We sometimes see confusion in groups. However, growth and experience can often lend a clear solution. An awareness then comes over the group and things settle down again. We realize that this insight comes from a Power greater than ourselves, who makes it very simple so that we can accept it.

1 2

Anyone who is willing may involve themselves in service. If by chance they find themselves trying to control the group or attempting to conform the group to their will, they receive a rude awakening. The beauty of the group conscience is that anyone may voice feelings and/or opinions; but in the final analysis, decisions are reached by the group as a whole. No one person or group of persons can control N.A.; the group conscience would stifle them before they got up any steam.

The important matters in any group conscience are our common welfare, the good of each group, and Narcotics Anonymous as a whole. Occasionally, we have seen individuals, often with the best intentions, sway the group with their opinion. This is understandable since many of us are so enthusiastic about staying clean and about N.A. We feel the surge of new life and we rightfully credit N.A. Some of us feel a desire to evangelize and further our own individual causes as we see fit. When we exhibit these periodic rampages of self-will, the Fellowship may be blatantly affected. When we feel the temptation of power and self-importance, it is best to ask God to direct us in carrying the N.A. message of recovery.

The word "may" is a key one in the Second Tradition. It does not say: "God's will is always expressed in our group conscience," but that God's will may be expressed there. Since we are cautious of group opinion, we see that it is only through constant vigilance of the principles of the program that God's will may be expressed. We can trust that God will provide guidance, through the group conscience, if we truly seek spiritual answers.

In order for N.A. to function efficiently, we delegate authority and responsibility, trusting our members to serve us well. These members, entrusted with certain jobs, make decisions based on research, experience, and the N.A. principles. When we first arrive at N.A., we may be overwhelmed by the organization we see. There are group secretaries, treasurers, leaders, etc. We see many trusted servants at the group, area, regional, and world levels. The service structure of N.A. can look quite complex if we forget to keep it simple. However, N.A. is different from other organizations because it is all geared towards helping the members. We trust our leaders to apply the N.A. principles in their work, seeking the best information available, considering the consequences of their actions, considering minority opinions, and working for N.A.'s common welfare.

Some addicts react suspiciously to our service boards and committees, as if they had the power to govern our groups. They do not. Other people interpret the Second Tradition as if it said, "We have no leaders." But this tradition does not say that we have no leaders; it describes what our leaders are: they are trusted servants who do not govern. Without responsible leadership and guidance, we would be lost in chaos and self-will. In N.A., we are led by example and suggested direction, never governed by mandate. We willingly follow the example of those whose lives demonstrate the application of spiritual principles: service to God, N.A., and still-suffering addicts; service gladly rendered and obligations willingly met; God-centered rather than self-centered. The word "trusted" implies integrity, responsibility, knowledge, and leadership.

If we have been entrusted with a position of service, we need to make every effort to be unbiased in presenting issues to our groups. We present the pros and cons of each question simply and objectively. The

group conscience will be best for all, if we ask a Power greater than 2 ourselves to direct our group's conscience.

1

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

After being part of the Fellowship of Narcotics Anonymous and experiencing the ongoing miracle of recovery, we conclude that either God does or does not direct Narcotics Anonymous through the group conscience. As we witness this principle in action, we surrender to the fact that God is expressed in our group conscience. When we surrender our will, we are better able to accept God's will as expressed through the group conscience.

If we are new in the program, we may not have a concept of a Higher Power. We may therefore place our faith in individuals whom we see exemplifying the principles of the program. It is only after we come in contact with a belief in a Higher Power that we finally realize that what transpires within the Fellowship and groups is not always preordained by the members themselves, but by the authority of a loving God as expressed in our group conscience.

All the committees, officers, and representatives serve the No one of them may dictate to the Fellowship. committees suggest; they don't make laws or enforce rules. There are many positions open to those who desire service, from the group's coffeemakers to the trustees.

The term "trusted servant" is self-explanatory. These individuals are selected for service positions by the group conscience. They carry out the group conscience and serve-often without recognition. Although these elected representatives are not the standard-bearers of the group, they are entrusted to serve.

Our leaders do not govern. This is a very important aspect of the Twelve Traditions. Narcotics Anonymous is a spiritual program, not a political or social club. Leaders are only trusted servants who help to

keep each group or the Fellowship running smoothly. They serve to the best of their ability in sharing the message of recovery. They are given positions of trust by a group conscience. We can have faith in our trusted servants because we have faith in the Higher Power who directed

Because we trust in God's loving authority in our lives, every day clean is a gift of life. A loving God, group conscience, and trusted servants all add up to freedom for the group. Coming from a bondage of self-will, we are lifted to a higher place of gratitude for our new freedom today.

We come to realize that when we are entrusted with positions of commitment within our group and Fellowship, we are entrusted to perform these positions through love to further our common welfare. As individual members, our spiritual foundation is very important in our involvement with service. When we do service in any capacity, we are often touched by the overwhelming trust our fellow member place in us. We are careful not to violate their trust because we realize that service to the Fellowship is a necessary part of recovery. We cannot afford to neglect the principles of the program when participating in service work.

Though sometimes misconstrued, the privilege of service is never misplaced. Every attempt to share the message of recovery is appropriate because it is directed towards a purpose more than just we, as individuals, may comprehend. As long as we place God's will ahead of our will, all will be well.

us to choose them.

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

2

1

3

5

6

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29 It may appear that God works in mysterious and sometimes ironical ways. As addicts, we thumbed our noses at authority. Many of us rebelled against parents, teachers, employers, cops--whoever had some power over us. We hated being told what to do. We hated rules and scoffed at laws. Spiritual principles meant nothing to many of us and even if we'd once believed in God, we may have lost that belief long ago. Drugs were the only thing we worshiped. Yet here we were, seeking recovery in a program that asked us to believe in all kinds of things foreign to an addict's way of life--a loving God, the conscience of a group, our own consciences.

The Second Tradition asked us, in even stronger terms than the First, to put our egos aside. We, who in our arrogance considered ourselves the ultimate authorities, were told to surrender to a higher one. We, who had blind faith in our drugs, were asked to give our faith to the God of our understanding. At first some of us scoffed, dodged, But then a funny thing refused to take this tradition seriously. happened. The more we thought about the Second Tradition, the more we studied it, the more sense it made. It helped us surrender our need for control. It helped us make better, sounder decisions. It helped clear up our confusion about what's right and wrong. It helped us to understand how best we could work for our recovery and that of other addicts. The Second Tradition recognizes that we're driven by a strong self-will, that we've made poor decisions in the conduct of our lives. Because this tradition concerns itself with N.A. members who serve the membership, who become trusted servants, it strives to set limits on our human failings so that these will not harm N.A.

The Second Tradition is the only one in which specific reference is made to God. The connection between God and service to N.A. is deliberate. If we serve N.A. with our character defects unmoved and our amends unmade, we're very prone to misuse our service positions--to use them as instruments of power and control. We're prone to make ourselves the ultimate authorities and require those under us to do our bidding. But if we surrender to an ultimate authority beyond ourselves--to a loving God of our understanding--we eliminate that risk. Then we don't rule, we don't govern; regardless of what service positions we hold, we allow ourselves instead to be guided by the conscience of our groups. We're all equal, and God's will is revealed through each of us.

When we were new to N.A., service of any kind was the last thing on the minds of most of us. The wretchedness of our lives, which brought us to N.A.'s doors, was the only thing that concerned us. We were barely able to get through those doors, barely able to keep our lives together enough to eat, sleep and breathe. It may never have occurred to us that the meetings started on time, that somebody had made the coffee, that speakers came, that the day's or evening's meeting was organized. We took everything for granted.

After a while we could see more clearly that the world didn't just revolve around ourselves and our own misery. Like infants who initially are intent only on satisfying their own needs, but gradually become aware of other people in their lives, we grew. We came to see that it was addicts who volunteered to come in early to set up the meeting that night, for example, to open the doors, switch on the lights, arrange the chairs, put the coffee on and chair the meetings. It was addicts who sponsored recovering addicts and represented the group at other levels.

Soon the therapeutic basis of N.A. service also became known to us, for they were often repeated: "Service is giving back that which you

receive." "You can't help yourself unless you help others." And the favorite one, regarding recovery: "You can't keep it unless you give it away."

We learned that service and an atmosphere of recovery go hand in hand. We learned that this atmosphere of recovery is created by welcoming newcomers and giving them hope for their future. By sharing, living in recovery, staying clean. And by the practical work that keeps the meetings, the groups, and the Fellowship going. Our rent must be paid, our meetings run in orderly fashion, our committees staffed and chaired, our regional, national and world conferences represented.

When N.A. was young and there were very few meetings anywhere, N.A.'s service structure was minimal and life was simpler. To some oldtimers those were the good old days. But in those good old days only a relative handful of addicts was being helped. Now N.A.'s organization may at first glance seem overwhelming. There are group secretaries, treasurers, leaders and so on. There are many trusted servants at the group, area, regional and world categories.

However, some complexity is inevitable when there are thousands of N.A. groups operating both nationally and internationally. Unlike a corporation, though, our bottom line is not measured in terms of profit and loss. Where N.A. used to help that relative handful of addicts to recover, now it's helping hundreds of thousands of addicts lead drug-free, happy lives. And no matter how many N.A. committees are formed or N.A. conferences run, at bottom it's still simple: addicts putting their self-centeredness, their egos, aside; addicts helping addicts.

Simple things also help maintain the atmosphere of recovery. When we walk into a meeting room where the coffee is slopped over and the cookies just thrown on the table and nobody swept the place for

weeks, it puts us off. It reminds us of the way we lived when we were using. As one member put it, "I didn't come into Narcotics Anonymous to be in a dump again."

 Conversely, when we attend a meeting in a room that's bright and clean, and the coffee and cake are nicely served, it makes just the opposite impression. This is a place where we want to be. It symbolizes our wish for a bright, clean, comfortable life. The point is, somebody has to make sure that the meeting room really is presentable. Somebody has to do the actual work. There are many ways to serve N.A., and the most seemingly menial task is no more or less important than the one that involves travel to a world conference.

In fact, those "menial" tasks carry great weight in furthering our program. The reason is that they have the most impact on newcomers. A pleasant, welcoming atmosphere invites newcomers to come to the meeting room early and stay late. When they do so, they have more of an opportunity to talk with other members. And the more contact they have with other members, the likelier it is that they will stay in the program.

Our Second Tradition concerns itself greatly with the nature of leadership in N.A. At first some of us were dismayed to find out that N.A. too has "leaders" as everywhere else; some of us continue to be dismayed. It's largely our knee-jerk reaction to authority. We hear the word "leader" and we immediately get nervous. Somebody wants to tell us what to do. Somebody wants to lead us around by the nose. Somebody wants to put something over on us.

In order to function efficiently and effectively, though, we must delegate authority and responsibility. We must have people who see to it that things get done. We must have people who represent us in a variety of circumstances. Otherwise, we'd be less effective in carrying

the message. Yet, because our leaders don't govern, we have no presidents, no masters or managers. Instead, we have secretaries, treasurers and representatives. These titles emphasize service rather than control.

We call our leaders trusted servants because we trust them. We trust them not only to carry out their responsibilities effectively but to be guided by the conscience of the groups they represent. We trust them to operate on the basis of integrity, responsibility and knowledge. We trust them to further N.A.'s spiritual program of recovery. Whether they're group secretary, or Chairperson of the Board of Trustees, they know they hold office only because the Fellowship and God have made that possible. In that sense, though, they may be empowered to make some decisions in our behalf.

That's the ideal--and it happens far more often than anybody might assume. That a bunch of recovering addicts all over the world could get together to build a strong spiritual Fellowship is proof that this is truly a God-inspired program.

Because our program is God-inspired but humanly administered, however, the ideal doesn't always work out. We don't always live up to the principles of the Second Tradition. As addicts, many of us were impatient and impulsive, we craved power and approval and excitement. We bring these qualities with us when we come to N.A., of course. All who are willing may involve themselves in service, but some of us aren't interested in anything but formal committee work and other leadership roles that sound especially important. When we do so, it usually turns out that we're not at all ready for them. None of us should accept a position of that kind before we've had our spiritual awakening--before we've taken our inventories or honestly faced our character defects and humbly asked God to remove them.

It's good to remember that service work encompasses a wide range of activities, all useful and necessary. Service should begin very quickly. Even newcomers with less than a week in N.A. can be extremely helpful in furthering our program. A newcomer with two day's worth of clean time can urge another newcomer who's one day clean, "Keep coming back!" A newcomer with a car can give someone a ride to or from a meeting. Folding mailing lists and setting up the chairs for a meeting are important contributions. No matter what the reaching out or volunteering consists of, it strengthens the bonds between us. It strengthens the Fellowship.

Trusted servants don't volunteer to be trusted servants--they don't elect themselves. It's we, in our groups, who do so. We select our candidates and vote them into office. It's a democratic process that can work wonderfully well--when we make our selections in a thoughtful, responsible manner. We should never vote members into trusted servant positions simply because they have lots of charisma, or are take-charge types, yet still at the beginning of their spiritual journey of recovery. We should not vote into such positions members who are newly-clean. We should never vote for members simply because they're our friends, without regard to their actual qualifications.

Our oft-used saying, "You can only keep it by giving it away" has a flip side--"You can't give it away until you've got it." Service is a vital tool for recovery designed to help us all--the members who do service work and the members who are on the receiving end. But when we're driven by ego needs--pride, a hunger for praise, a lust for power--we put personality above principle. It's self-will above God's will. The results are usually destructive. For instance, there are occasional trusted servants who forget they are led by God and the Fellowship. They become caught up in their service positions and fancy themselves gurus.

As such, they listen only to themselves and to those members who agree with them. Their groups come to be known as "Joe's group" or "Mary's group"—whatever their names—rather than as what they really are, Narcotics Anonymous groups. Then cliques form. Members become envious, resentful. Dissension grows. The spiritual program is forgotten amidst all the in-fighting. After a period of chaos, such groups often fall apart or split into rival ones.

The problem is our disease of addiction. Our disease affects our service performance in various negative ways. It prompts us to see service as power. We're not able to accept the fact that service doesn't pay except in spiritual terms. Generally, therefore, the stronger our foundation in applying the Twelve Steps and the Twelve Traditions the less apt we are to fall prey to the temptations service in leadership positions offers.

Dissension sometimes occurs when trusted servants hang on to positions for which they weren't suited, or when members react angrily after losing elections. The more tightly we're still bound to our egos, the harder it becomes to help others with their recovery or to further our own spiritual program. Pride and power mar--and sometimes destroy-relationships with family, friends, employers and others. They trouble our relationships within N.A., as well.

When we're entrusted with positions of commitment within our group and Fellowship, we're actually entrusted with the responsibility of performing the tasks involved in a loving way to further our common welfare. We should always be careful not to violate members' trust because we realize that service to the Fellowship is a necessary aspect of recovery. We can't ever afford to neglect the principles of the program when participating in service work. As long as we place God's will ahead of our own, all will be well.

When we violate the trust placed in us as trusted servants, it can cause much pain, much strain-but it's never the end of the world. We can choose, we can change. We can choose to ask the God of our understanding to redirect us in carrying the message of recovery.

It takes lots of courage to face ourselves and ask, "Am I really ready for this responsibility?" or, "Am I really measuring up to the trust that's been placed in me?" It takes lots of honesty. It can be anguishing. But those who have done just that often come out of their ordeal spiritually refreshed and strengthened. Some say afterwards the crisis was like a shock of cold water, waking them up to the work they still had to do in their struggle with the disease of addiction.

One woman was in terrible shape when she attended her first N.A. meetings—she was hallucinating, she had neurological problems from all the drugs she took. The meeting room was filled with women with much longer clean time than she had. They took her under their wing and, thinking they were going to make her feel better about herself, asked her to keep the group's birthday book. She was thrilled—but three days later she lost that book. She wasn't ready for any kind of responsibility. And losing the birthday book made her feel worse than ever about herself.

She realized that staying in N.A. was literally a matter of life and death for her, but she couldn't face those ladies who had, in a sense, adopted her. She went on to another group in her area, and once she'd made some progress there forced herself to go back to the first group and apologize. That act made her realize she was really getting better. In time she went on to become one of the most active of trusted servants in her area.

Another member, a man, loved doing service. He was a dynamo at meetings and in committee work, and he felt everybody should be

happy with the way he was running things. But the members weren't and often voted him down. It took a long time before it occurred to him that "running things" wasn't exactly a trusted servant's function-that he was acting on self-will, not God's will. Once he did face up to that, he

reworked the Sixth and Seventh Steps; he wanted his character defects

6 removed so he could do a really good job as a trusted servant.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20

It didn't work. He went on being the same old person needing to run things his way. Fortunately, he spoke of his plight to an older, far more experienced member. This man told him he was going about it the wrong way--trying to change himself when the steps clearly talk about letting God do the changing of our lives.

Eventually, this man went through a profound change. He stopped taking leadership service responsibilities for a long while. When he resumed them he had an entirely different view of what it meant to be a trusted servant. He no longer tried to push his views on people, no longer governed. In fact, he expressed an entirely different philosophy: "Service is for those we serve. We may have special skills and develop special abilities that we can share with the membership, but we're not special in and of ourselves. And perhaps the greatest skill we can have is also one that seems the simplest--to reach out and welcome newcomers."

When leadership is self-centered, not God-centered, it does special harm to newcomers. They sense conflict instead of harmony, discord and estrangement instead of unity. This kind of atmosphere allows them to give vent fully to their own self-destructive tendencies. Or it pushes them away forever. For many newcomers, N.A. is their very last attempt at survival. When leadership is self-centered, rather than God-

centered, it literally jeopardizes lives.

When we first heard references to "God-centered" groups, some of us wondered about that. Did it mean God had to be right there, in the middle of things, listening to the back and forth, being the Director? And what if a lot of us in a meeting room didn't believe in God in a specific religious sense-did that mean we didn't have a prayer of a chance of having a spiritually-centered group? Working the Third Step again cleared things up for us. We could give ourselves over to God's will--whatever our understanding of God happens to be--but we're His delegates. We're still responsible for our actions, individually and What happens in our groups is our responsibility, collectively. individually and collectively. The Second Tradition makes that clear. It says God's will "may" be expressed in our group conscience. It doesn't say God's will is always expressed in our group conscience, just that it "may" be expressed there. So it's up to us to deliver.

For a long time we also had trouble with "group conscience." At first we couldn't understand what people were talking about. We were told that when an N.A. group has to decide on something, when a course of action has to be taken, we try to get a group conscience instead of a group opinion or vote.

Even so, we still had trouble with this one. We sat in meetings where decisions had to be made and votes taken, we listened to our trusted servants, and we still felt stuck. Some of our trusted servants were wonderful speakers, and they presented issues forcefully, but when we voted we weren't really sure whether we were putting our faith in them or in our Higher Power. The newer we were in N.A., the more prone we were to put our faith in them; we didn't yet have faith in our connection with a Higher Power.

Even after we'd been in N.A. for a while, we were often unsure whether the decisions that came out of our groups were the result of

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

group opinion or group conscience. We learned that we weren't the only ones; even oldtimers have trouble with that one. It's often a struggle to separate our wills from God's. It's often difficult to know when a charismatic trusted servant sways us to a particular opinion or whether we're voting with our own conscience.

Strange things can happen in the name of "group conscience." At times two opposing factions will both use "group conscience" to push through whatever it is they want pushed through. One faction says, "We represent group conscience," and the other faction says, "No, we're the ones who represent group conscience." Or one faction says, "We represent group conscience because we have 1,500 votes," and the other says, "No, we represent group conscience because we have 1,000 more votes than you do."

Situations like that confused us even more. How could two blocks both represent group conscience when their views were diametrically opposed? Is group conscience really nothing more than a show of hands? That didn't sound right--and isn't. As we gained more experience in N.A. we saw that you could have ninety percent of the membership in a group vote one way, and still not have it be an expression of God's will. You could have two opposing factions claim to represent group conscience--yet not just one but both could be fooling themselves.

"True spiritual principles are never in conflict," we were told. They may be complementary but never in direct opposition. When two warring camps each claim to have the group conscience, one or both are so caught up in self-will, they're blinded to God's will. A good question to pose is, "Are we following in the spiritual essence of the Tradition?" If we're caught up in self-will, even without realizing it, it's a good bet we aren't.

Numbers aren't the key to group conscience, our common welfare is. An individual member can express a point of view different from anybody else's in the room--and actually embody the group conscience, transforming attitudes all around. At one annual N.A. convention there was a great deal of tension between two factions over the issue of where to hold the next convention. Both factions came from the same state, but one wanted it in their town, the other in theirs. Lots of lobbying went on; the vote was very close. Neither side would budge.

At this point a member who belonged to neither faction arrived at the meeting late. He listened to the arguments and allowed himself a little quiet time to tap into his Higher Power. Then he asked everybody there to do something they obviously hadn't—namely, to examine both proposals carefully from a practical point of view. When they did that it was no contest. It turned out that the first town didn't have facilities large enough to accommodate the convention. At this point the atmosphere in the room changed from one charged with conflict to one of cooperation. The participants voted overwhelmingly for the other city, the one with adequate facilities.

To at least a few of the members present it almost seemed as if God had sent that late member to the meeting just to straighten things out at the right moment, when nobody else knew how to resolve the issue. Maybe God did. Or maybe it was just a coincidence. But plenty of such coincidences occur in N.A.

No magic formula exists that will ensure group conscience taking place. But long experience with the process shows that it's most likely to happen when conditions are most favorable. That means we all really work towards the solution of a problem or issue, not just leave it to the activists in the group. It means we all become fully informed about what's going on, making a point of seeking out the pros and cons. It

means we seek counsel with our own consciences, asking ourselves such questions as: Will this benefit only a few persons in my group or will it benefit my group generally? Will it help or hurt N.A. as a whole? Is it or isn't it in accord with all of the Traditions? And it means we turn to our Higher Power, by means of prayer and meditation, before voting or otherwise taking a stand on something.

Some members say they pretty much know when their opinion or vote is not expressing God's will because a little voice inside tells them so; they can't really fool themselves. If we stop long enough to listen, we all can hear that little voice within that keeps us honest. Some members also say they "know" when group conscience is expressed because there's something different, more electric in the air--a special feeling of rightness. One member was in a group debating whether to establish a hotline in its community. There were arguments for and against. The thrust was for, and the hotline was established. "When it happened," the member recalled, "I got a warm feeling inside--a wonderful sense of being sure we were doing the right thing."

Things don't always go right even when we desperately want them to. Trusted servants occasionally breach their trust. Dissension now and again rips a group apart. Even in the best of circumstances sometimes, neither group decisions nor the judgment of trusted servants, however sincerely motivated, have a positive outcome. We're human; we make mistakes.

When this happens, some of us take it very badly. Where was God? Why didn't our God protect us? Why, when we tried so hard, couldn't we connect with our Higher Power? Feeling this way, we become very vulnerable. We may fear for N.A.'s life. We might fear for our own lives. Or fear that perhaps God has abandoned N.A. We erroneously believe that a loving God as expressed in our group

- 1 conscience will constantly provide us with happy endings. We forget that
 2 God's will is always expressed, but that we don't always hear it. We
 3 may be unable to accept God's will because we're too attached to being
 4 right, or locked into a personality conflict with other members, or
 5 because of a combination of such factors. An example: A Group Service
 6 Representative who, at service meetings, is supposed to present both
 7 sides of an issue, but actually presents just one side--the one he or she
 - We may also forget to keep on having faith. As long as we do have faith we're readier to forgive mistakes, be forgiven for them, learn from them, and do so with loving hearts. With faith we never forget that N.A. will survive in even the darkest times.
 - One member did forget that. She always wanted everything to be perfect--in her personal life, her work life, and her involvement with N.A. Husband, children, N.A. members, her boss--she tried her hardest to please everyone, even when their demands were unreasonable. A trusted servant, she was tireless in working on behalf of her group which was going through much turmoil. Finally, all her efforts wore her down to the point where she got the desire to use again.

That realization made her pull up short, go back to the Twelve Steps, and have long talks with her sponsor. Then she stopped. She just stopped using all of her energy to make things okay for everybody, and an odd thing happened. When she eased up on her expectations for herself, that she had to make everything wonderful for everybody, she realized that she hadn't been relying on her faith. In effect, she had said, "Let me fix it, God, because you can't." She'd completely forgotten about powerlessness and about a Power greater than herself, because in her mind those only applied to using drugs. She now saw that they applied to every compulsion, and stopped being so compulsive about

favors.

every story needing to have a happy ending. She realized, finally, that she wasn't in control of people's lives.

At that point she started to put her trust in God. Then some endings turned out to be happy, some didn't--but she discovered that the people around her gradually stopped being so demanding. And without her intervention, other members of her group were forced to deal with situations that they had wanted to avoid, which was a big help.

This member discovered that even when a situation caused pain, it made sense in the long run. Having to deal with pain, she found out, made one stronger.

Like people, our groups sometimes have painful lessons to learn, but rocky times are never for naught. On the contrary, they provide us with an abundance of important lessons. Confronted with a power-hungry or dishonest trusted servant, for instance, we're forced to examine the situation--why it occurred, how to make our selection process more carefully, and what we can do to offer our trusted servants clear, appropriate guidance.

Endless quarrels and disagreements can bog down a group, sap its energy. But in time cooler heads prevail, and when things settle down we learn other lessons. We see that we're usually closer to each other than we were before. And we see, much more clearly than before, how important patience, cooperation and acceptance are to us--how they keep our program strong and our Fellowship united.

Internal friction may become so great that occasionally groups break up. While this is often extremely painful for the members involved, it also generates a rebirth--as new groups, far more spiritual and committed to recovery, spring up to take the place of the ones that folded.

Faith is at the heart of our Second Tradition. The most important thing we learn form adversity, perhaps, is that the God of our understanding never abandons us, but simply allows us to make our journey to recovery as easy or difficult as we choose to make it. Faith is one of the first gifts we can offer addicts who come to us newly.

Newsline Articles

This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees in 1984. It represents views at the time of writing.

Our Second Tradition tells us that "For our group purpose there is but one ultimate authority--a loving God as He may express Himself in our group conscience. Our leaders are but trusted servants, they do not govern."

This is the only tradition in which a specific reference is made to God, and the key to understanding this tradition is in understanding the relationship of our trusted servants and our group conscience to our ultimate authority.

As addicts, with our natural propensity to fulfill our egos first, to lead rather than to follow, to always have the right answers and the last say, we must constantly work to remember that we are only messengers of God's will as it is expressed in our group conscience; we are not the message. We must constantly search our motives to determine whether what we express is for the good of the Fellowship as a whole, and not for the gratification of our individual egos or "special interests" within a group, area, region, or committee. Without that awareness, and the

willingness to sublimate our own desires to the expression of our ultimate authority, a loving God, the Fellowship splinters and divides against itself.

 There's a direct relationship between this concept of a group conscience being the expression of the will of a loving God and how trusted servants perceive and perform their duties. Trusted servants should convey and carry the group conscience and not their own wills. The groups they represent must place their faith in their trusted servants and believe that the people they choose to serve are guided by the principle of this tradition. When trusted servants fail in their purpose to carry forth the group conscience and try to govern on self-will, the strength of this tradition is weakened.

The principle of this tradition also applies in reverse. When the group fails to support its trusted servants to carry out their duties, Tradition Two is similarly undermined. We easily understand the accountability that trusted servants have to the Fellowship; it is equally important to understand the concept of the Fellowship's accountability to its trusted servants. A trusted servant must be given the respect and authority to exercise the group will in accordance with his or her understanding of the group conscience.

I would like to share an example to illustrate the difference between "group conscience" and "group will" and how easy it is to confuse and violate this tradition when our ultimate authority is not recognized as an integral part of it.

The activities committee of a certain area raised a great deal of money through one of its functions. With what they believed were good intentions, the members of this committee took a "group conscience" to send a portion of the money raised directly to the WSC, bypassing both the area and region they directly served.

Their rationale was that their region had not donated funds to the WSC with any regularity and in fact had barely been able to maintain a prudent reserve to carry out its own business. The committee, frustrated by this state of affairs, justified its decision by asserting that they were the only body who contributed rather than depleted donations, and that in this way they could make certain "their" donation would be filtered up.

At the next area business meeting, the committee confidently presented its financial report and plans for distribution, certain they would be met with praise. Instead, they were confronted by immediate dissension. The area responded by telling the committee it had not only acted autonomously, but had overstepped its authority, lacking the faith to allow either the area or regional representatives to carry out their responsibilities as trusted servants. The meeting ended in chaos and conflict, with hurt and resentments on both sides.

At the next activities committee meeting, after much discussion between individual members, it was finally agreed to conform to the guidelines of the area and unconditionally turn over all donations in the accepted fund flow manner. Although they didn't realize it at the time, the group opinion of the committee had turned and was surrendered to the ultimate authority, a loving God as He was expressed in a group conscience.

A few months later, that conscience was reflected in the group purpose of the region which, contrary to the committee's fears and far beyond their faith, made a large contribution to the WSC. Had the committee acted according to its self-righteous opinion and desire to govern rather than to serve, disguised as "group conscience," the donation still would have been sent to the WSC, but the discord and distrust it would have created would not have been worth the

1 contribution. When group conscience was truly expressed, by allowing 2 God to work through the committee, trust and unity were re-established 3 within the area and regional membership. 4 Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 1, No. 9A 5 ********* 6 7 8 This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees, in November 1984. It represents views at the time of writing. 9 10 11 Two of the most perplexing controversies experienced throughout 12 the Fellowship are finding workable and comfortable interpretations of 13 when a group conscience is appropriate and how much latitude a trusted 14 servant should be allowed to exercise. 15 This article will hopefully provide some insight on these issues. 16 Experience gained over the years can best be conveyed by using real examples and discussing the reasoning behind each action. The approach 17 will be used to discuss the issues we are concerned about. Actions taken 18 at the World Service Conference provide the basis for many of the 19 20 controversies concerning group conscience and action by trusted servants. 21 Each year, for instance, the WSC Policy Committee has proposed 22 revisions to the service structure. Nearly every page of these proposals is the subject of different regional group conscience votes which are 23 frequently conflicting with one another. One region may send its RSR 24 25 with instructions to amend a paragraph in a certain way, and another 26 RSR arrives with different instructions for the same paragraph. Near unanimous endorsement may be found among the Conference 27

participants for one or the other, and these are easily adopted. However,

it obviously changes the document that all of the other regions considered

28

1 and gave a group conscience on. If the Fellowship group conscience 2 concept is carried to its fullest extent, as many demand, then the revised 3 language should be sent back to the full Fellowship and final approval 4 made in another year. However, at the next Conference, a new 5 paragraph could certainly be changed and the process repeated year after year.

Sometimes this is done, but more frequently the Conference participants adopt the item without sending it out to the full Fellowship again. Usually the majority of the Conference participants leave feeling they have properly exercised their responsibilities as trusted servants on such issues. Many times this has been accepted by the Fellowship without comment.

For example, a proposal was made by one region at the Conference in 1982 that a Fellowship magazine be created by the This proposal had not been sent to the Fellowship for Conference. consideration. The Conference approved it, appointed a committee, and within a few months they began selling subscriptions and publishing It was not until two years after the original action by the Conference that the Fellowship was afforded the opportunity to consider the issue, and then only on the matter of conflicting operational policies proposed by different service committees. One of the proposals was adopted, but it was amended prior to its adoption. Here again is the question of whether or not the Conference-adopted version, as amended, should then have been sent out to the Fellowship for a vote at the Conference the following year.

There was opposition to the original proposal; in fact, it was nearly defeated. But even many of those in opposition to the original approval felt that approval of the magazine would represent a proper exercise of the authorities of trusted servants at the world level. In the action to

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

amend and then adopt the amended policy two years later it was also the consensus of the Conference participants that such action was within the scope of their responsibilities and authorities.

 The world level trusted servants (WSC, WSB and WSO) have not received any written complaints concerning these major decisions on the magazine.

In a matter affecting the voting right of the WSO office manager as a Conference participant (the manager had been a voting participant of the Conference since 1979), it was proposed at the 1984 Conference to remove the manager's vote and transfer it to the President of the Board of Directors of the WSO. No advance notice was given to the Fellowship that this issue would be presented. It was adopted by a unanimous vote of all Conference participants and again the world level trusted servants (WSC, WSB and WSO) have not received a written complaint.

On the most persistently discussed issue at the Conference, the voting rights of non-RSR's, it has been sent to the Fellowship in advance of the Conference in two separate years. Additionally, the matter was raised at two other Conference meetings without advance notice. In all four instances, the vote of the Conference was to keep all voting participants as they are currently detailed in the service structure.

Following each of the four Conferences that this issue was voted on, the Board of Trustees received letters from a few individuals, a few area committees and one or two regions declaring either that the action was a violation of traditions or that all of the acts of the Conference are void because more than just the RSR's voted.

The Conference Administrative Committee in recent years has properly compiled with the requirements to send to the Fellowship all of the material proposed by the committees for adoption at the Conference. However, as these items are reviewed around the Fellowship, some

| 1 | regions app | regions approve instructions that their RSR is expected to carry to the | | | | |
|----|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Conference | for a vote that did not go out for a Fellowship-wide group | | | | |
| 3 | conscience. | There seems to be four ways that the Fellowship is currently | | | | |
| 4 | | handling this circumstance: | | | | |
| 5 | 1. | The RSR attends the Conference with instructions to vote as | | | | |
| 6 | | they have been instructed, based on the group conscience of | | | | |
| 7 | | the region, and only on those things that have gone out to | | | | |
| 8 | | their members for review and for which a group conscience | | | | |
| 9 | | has been taken. | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | 2. | The RSR attends the Conference with instructions to vote as | | | | |
| 12 | | they have been instructed, based on group conscience of the | | | | |
| 13 | | region for those items that they were given advance notice | | | | |
| 14 | | of and they are authorized to use their best judgment on | | | | |
| 15 | | other issues that may arise for which the region could not | | | | |
| 16 | | have obtained a group conscience. | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | 3. | Other regions look at the enormous amount of material sent | | | | |
| 19 | | out for their consideration and decide that spending time | | | | |
| 20 | | discussing and then voting on every item by each group is | | | | |
| 21 | | not only a waste of time, but this is what the RSR was | | | | |
| 22 | • | elected as a trusted servant to handle. | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | 4. | An interesting variation of the first two options arise when | | | | |
| 25 | | the region instructs the RSR to introduce a certain item | | | | |
| 26 | | originated within their region for the Conference to adopt. | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | Differ | ent RSR's have responded to these situations with varying | | | | |
| 29 | degrees of | consternation. At one Conference an RSR who had been | | | | |

specifically instructed, insisted on taking the time of the Conference to voice his objection to issues his region had not been advised of and insisted his vote be recorded as abstaining. Others with greater latitude were able to act on the variety of items as they were proposed or amended. The RSR with strict instructions was, in reality, unable to fully represent the membership that sent him because of the instructions limiting his participation. It has frequently been observed that regions using this approach could save the money of sending the RSR and simply send in their votes by mail.

The conflict between action by trusted servants and group conscience desires, is not limited to actions when the Conference is meeting each year.

Last year, for example, the WSC received a directive from one region regarding how the WSC should utilize the paper for the reports being distributed. The region had elected to inform the WSC that the group conscience of the region felt that the WSC should comply with their instructions. However, the officers of the Conference, while exercising their duties as trusted servants, should have been relied upon to use their prudent judgment in this matter. Further, if the WSC trusted servants had blatantly disregarded common sense on this matter, the RSR of the region should simply have, on his own, sent a letter bringing the matter to the attention of the Conference officers. The region should not have been bothered with such a trivial matter on which to develop a regional group conscience.

Another example of this conflict will be helpful in understanding how confusing the issue can get. One regional service committee, acting within what they thought was their authority as trusted servants, decided the region needed an office to serve the needs of their growing membership. A subcommittee was selected and after some consideration

they rented a place and began operation of that office. There were some loud and angry opinions voiced that the committee did not have authority to open the office and had violated traditions by not asking permission from the Fellowship. In subsequent weeks, the desired regional group conscience was obtained supporting the decision. Some months later financial difficulties arose and the rent payments could not be met. The regional service committee met and concluded that they had been wrong for not having asked the Fellowship if they could open an office and reasoned that the Fellowship should be polled to get authority to close the office. This was eventually done, but not before additional months of rent had been accrued.

On an issue that is currently evolving, errors in grammar, use of tense, a suspected tradition violation and offensive language was discovered in three stories while proofreading was being done for publication of the Third Edition of the Basic Text. The Literature Committee requested a determination and recommendation from the Board of Trustees on each problem. The Board by unanimous vote recommended the Literature Committee make the appropriate grammar and punctuation changes and that the suspected tradition violation was not in fact a violation. The phrase "I urinated on Stalin's tomb" in the opinion of the WSB, was offensive and it was our recommendation that the phrase be removed. The phrase is a slang expression and is commonly used in the country the story originated in to denote contempt for everyone and everything. Its removal did not alter the meaning or message of the sentence of which it was part.

Many in the Fellowship will see this recommendation as the Board of Trustees simply performing their duties as trusted servants; others may see this as a violation of group conscience. At all levels of service, trusted servants have the same concerns with decisions they make

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

because sometimes controversy erupts and calls go out for a group conscience. In other areas of the Fellowship, the membership often does not want to be involved and feel the trusted servants should in fact make many of these decisions and not bother them with every little thing that comes up. A commonly expressed feeling of those in service is simply, "damned if I do and damned if I don't."

The Temporary Working Guide to Our Service Structure allows for all the approaches we use. However, as long as one approach is held as superior and all others are held as violating the traditions, the situation becomes one of right and wrong, inferior and superior. "My way, my group's way, or my region's way is the only way and everyone else is in violation of Tradition Two" is divisive and an incorrect approach to a loving Fellowship.

There are times when individuals or groups of individuals on the using side of the vote of an issue strive to keep the issue on the front burner in the Fellowship by claiming violation of group conscience. The WSB, WSC and WSO each year receive a few letters accusing one, two or all three branches of world level service of violating Tradition Two, on one issue or another. This occurs, despite the fact that no group conscience was taken on the issues of their concern, and the person writing the letter is assuming what the group conscience of the Fellowship would be, if in fact it were taken.

A reasonable solution to the controversy revolving around Tradition Two lies in understanding and applying all the Traditions and guidance from our service structure. All levels of service need to operate within guidelines approved by their membership. It is neither feasible nor desirable that every decision made by trusted servants or committees be referred for group conscience, at the area, region or world level. If that was the desired course of action, groups would be spending all of

their time making decisions, and there would be little need for trusted servants and little time to work on recovery or carrying the message of recovery to others.

As addicts, most of us enter the Program of Narcotics Anonymous with distrust and paranoia. As we progress in our recovery we should begin to develop trust in each other and ourselves and less and less feel that the world is out to get us. Some of that distrust and paranoia is evident when we find ourselves insisting that Tradition Two demands that everyone participate in every single decision made by every committee from all levels of service. If we still have the viewpoint that we must tell WSC how to use paper, or we must be there to approve or disapprove the removal of inconsequential but offensive slang from a story, or that an office should not be closed until a group conscience is obtained even though it is losing money, then we have not progressed in our recovery over the paranoia and distrust we came in with.

If we have the attitude that N.A. will go down the tubes if the entire Fellowship is not involved in one decision or another or if wrong decisions will be made unless we are involved in it, we are missing some important elements of our recovery. This attitude also reflects a lack of faith and confidence in our acceptance of a Higher Power. Narcotics Anonymous exists as much, if not more, because of a Higher Power, higher than the collective efforts of all the most enlightened trusted servants combined. We are going to make mistakes, of that there is no doubt. But as long as we are willing to make mistakes, be forgiven for them, and do so with a loving heart, our Fellowship will survive a lot of mistakes by trusted servants. Of course, there will arise some situations when a trusted servant is not being responsible to the members who selected them. If this situation does occur, then those members can direct the trusted servant to change whatever was done and if that fails,

they have the final option of removing that person. There should be no service board or committee that does not have provisions for removal of a trusted servant who is not responsible to the Fellowship. However, removal must be sparingly used and only as a last resort.

We must, as members of the Fellowship, always communicate with our trusted servants, asking them for reports and information and sharing our opinions but we should give them trust and support to do their jobs. We need not constantly peer over their shoulders on every issue or they will not serve us well. If our paranoia and distrust overtakes our judgment, we frequently find ourselves concluding that mistakes will be made which we could prevent. But without the experience that trusted servants gain from doing their work, they will not grow in recovery and our Fellowship will be hurt and so will the addict who is still out on the streets using.

15

16

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 1, No. 11

17

18

19 General Input

20 21

26

27

28

An ASC problem over who is right about how a fund-raiser is carried out. They were arguing over the idea of one person selling something, without using N.A.'s name, to the general public, and then

25 giving the money to N.A.

It was resolved to hold learning day and invite experienced trusted servants from outside the area. They appealed to their Higher Power and gathered all information and opinions. Then, each ASC

member re-evaluated the situation based on the motivation involved to solicit money outside of the membership.

In the end, everyone decided to hold their fundraiser for N.A. members and not to solicit outside the membership. Also, it was made clear that all money was for N.A. in case non-members were involved by their own choice.

The understanding evolved that "we don't pick leaders to force their opinion," just to lead us all in gathering and evaluating. The ultimate authority wasn't left out or replaced.

This Tradition tells us how to go about finding direction in the task of fulfilling the needs of the Fellowship. It gives us a standard for selecting what is suitable for presenting N.A. and what is not. It is a means of determining if our service is "attraction, not promotion".

"In Narcotics Anonymous we are concerned with protecting ourselves, from ourselves. Our Second Tradition is an example of this. By nature we are strong willed, self centered people, thrust together in N.A. mismanagers all; not one of us is capable of making consistently good decisions". (Basic Text p. 58-59).

If we make an effort to adhere to the spirit and letter of this principle, we may avoid many of the pitfalls awaiting us in service work. The most successful way of carrying this out is by depending on group conscience, and minimizing group opinion. This can be done by maintaining an atmosphere of recovery in our committee meetings. If a decision is in keeping with our Traditions it is group conscience, if not, it is group opinion. "True spiritual principles are never in conflict; they complement each other". (Basic Text p.59).

The sub-committees that work within our service structure are more able to receive the guidance of our Ultimate Authority. They begin to realize the importance of communications. A sub-committee that

| 1 | reports to its area or regional committee, that makes an effort to keep |
|---|--|
| 2 | the membership informed of its projects, and seeks the involvement of |
| 3 | members in the groups it serves, will be developing a conscience among |
| 4 | the membership being served, and will be functioning in the spirit of this |
| 5 | Tradition. Awareness is the birthplace of willingness. |
| 6 | P.I. is an attractive place for our disease to function. Vigilance |

seems entirely necessary, and surrender is its key. We do not govern. Those of us given the opportunity to serve need always be reminded of this. We are given a trust through the voice of this principle. The practical way to honor it seems to be surrender to what the voice serves. Commitment to an N.A. program of recovery can eliminate most of the personality problems our disease brings with it, and surrender to group conscience can be a key to that commitment.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

13

7 8

9

10

11 12

This tradition is an example of us protecting ourselves from ourselves. Many of us are strong-willed, self centered mismanagers, used to controlling everyone and everything around us.

We learn to rely on God through this tradition, not personal opinion and ego. In the long run, the group conscience is wiser than any single leader. No one is capable of consistently making effective decisions.

The titles of our officers imply service, not control: secretary group service representative, not president or director.

We elect those who are willing to freely give of their time, effort and love, and for a definite term of office such as one year, six months, etc.

We must beware of "letting George do it". One person serving for as long as he is willing can create the feeling in him/her that she/he is

1 indispensable. The dominance of any one personality is doomed to 2

failure. Experience has shown that in the long run the group conscience

is wiser than any single leader and that is why we arrive at decisions by

4 majority vote.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Individuals speaking their opinions--even if they are in the minority--are necessary to the ultimate group conscience. It is only by seriously considering every aspect of a question that we can arrive at the best possible solution. Through the clash of opinions comes the spark of This same principle can be applied to the sponsor/sponsee truth. relationship--the sponsor gives direction based on his/her experience, points out the options, but does not give advice.

The progress of individuals and N.A. as a whole can be endangered when a member forgets that God is in charge. No one speaks for God. He speaks to us through the group conscience.

A member claims trusted servants don't get clear guidelines, do get mixed messages, etc. Should they receive guidelines? Should I get into the whole issue of trusted servants functions?

The service structure is a system of defined relationships which allow our trusted servants to function without fear of self-will. Guidelines are developed to give the members doing the service a clear plan of action, complete with procedures for elections and various other routine committee functions. It is hard for me to understand what is meant by "mixed message" in this context. More often, problems do occur where members are active beyond their proper committee functions or in fact have a "mixed message" problem in their personal programs which is reflected in their experience of N.A. service. Another problem which comes up with some frequency is to give any "guidelines" established for their committee a token acknowledgement as long as the

guides don't restrict their frame of action. Where restriction occurs, many members in service have made the mistake of changing their guidelines to suit themselves which can become very personal. When egos flame, anonymity goes out the window.

The second idea is that the guidelines of a committee serve to help members new to the committee understand their relative roles and functions. This is important for the surrendered member in service to feel the coherence and "structure" which allows them to play effective, useful roles without stepping on the toes of other committees or committee members.

As a practical matter we have found that while we need some structure, it is more important to have a group of members actively and happily doing their service work than to emphasize guidelines to the point where they take precedence over good sense.

This has occurred repeatedly in our history, especially in young communities which don't have experienced members with long clean time to settle disputes and bring common sense, humor and a sense of fair play to the structure. The problem seems to be that we addicts will allow ourselves to get caught up in rule breaking and forget what we have been entrusted to do.

The question, "Should they receive guidelines?" is poorly stated. New committees within our structure established their guides as a matter of course based on what members present knew and what can be found in our written service guides. New service manuals for subcommittee specialties like P.I. and H&I have the effect of helping these new committees form guides which reflect a general continuity which used to be impossible. This process is likely to continue to stabilize as time goes on. Existing committees need to employ existing guidelines unless change is required as a result of increased awareness or

growth of the Fellowship served. The thrill of service is in the doing not the talking. It is easy for us to get side-tracked.

Trusted servants' functions need to be addressed in the material on the Second Tradition to clarify the difference between governors and "leaders". We serve by right of the emotional and financial support we receive form other members who support what we are doing. It may be very helpful to get into the exact ways in which a competent secretary can improve a service committee. The important functions a Vice Chair can play in assisting subcommittees which need additional support under a new chair or during a period of growth or change. Treasurers who follow the sound procedures from our new Treasurer's Handbook not only provide accountability but give our service efforts a propriety and stability which can not otherwise exist. They also set good examples. Chairs who have the ability to communicate, listen as well as express themselves clearly, and set a good tone are probably essential to a good service committee. While this fact may emphasize the personality of the chair, it is really their ability to do the job that counts. Functions such as getting out agendas and minutes on schedule are essential to attracting members serious about service. These materials help our committees gain a (collective vision) that help members work together for common goals. They also may be relied on to keep the pace of committee meeting moving so that there is no sense of time being wasted. Generalizing about these matters has not worked out too well...

25 ***************************

As addicts, our conscience is motivated by fear and guilt. "Getting caught" and the pain resulting from it was the reasoning most of us applied in deciding what was right or wrong before the Twelve Steps became a reality in our lives. Our group conscience is, according to

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

27

28

the Second tradition, motivated by a loving God. When we surrender to 1 group conscience, we allow our lives to be shaped by a loving God. 2 Surrendering to group conscience means much more than accepting a 3 decision that didn't go "our way" at a group or service committee 4 business meeting. It means that we can take any problem or question 5 we have with our recovery to other addicts practicing these same 6 principles, share it with them, and receive the hope and guidance we 7 need to make a change for the better. When we share a problem with 8 our home group it is seldom one person's feedback that provides the 9 answers we need. More often it seems that we leave the meeting with a 10 better knowledge and conviction of what we need to do. This is one of 11 the ways that group conscience works and how a loving God speaks 12 through it. 13

| 1 | | | | | TRADITION THREE | | |
|----|--|------|--|-----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | "The only requirement for membership is a desire to stop using." | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | Outline | | |
| 6 | I. | Requ | uirement for membership. | | | | |
| 7 | | A. | Wha | t is it? | | | |
| 8 | | B. | Why do we have a requirement for membership? | | | | |
| 9 | | | 1. | We ca | an't be all thing to all people(singleness of | | |
| 10 | | | | purpos | se - we don't have experience with other things). | | |
| 11 | | | 2. | Base o | of identification and unity. | | |
| 12 | | | 3. | Point | of clarity for newcomers (understanding what | | |
| 13 | | | | NA is | about). | | |
| 14 | II. | Desi | re | | | | |
| 15 | | A. | Wha | t is a de | esire? | | |
| 16 | | | 1. | It is a | gift, it can be created or lost. | | |
| 17 | | | 2. | How/v | vhen do we find/develop it? | | |
| 18 | | | | | People come to NA for a lot of different reasons | | |
| 19 | | | | | but they all stay for the same reason. | | |
| 20 | | | 3. | Can w | ve measure desire? | | |
| 21 | | | | a. | As individuals we may judge but as a | | |
| 22 | | | | | Fellowship we don't. | | |
| 23 | | | 4. | Desire | fosters willingness and action. | | |
| 24 | III. | Stop | using. | | _ | | |
| 25 | | A. | Wha | t does it | mean? | | |
| 26 | | | 1. | The na | ame is "Narcotics Anonymous" but NA includes | | |
| 27 | | | | total a | bstinence from all drugs. | | |
| 28 | | B. | Cont | inuous a | abstinence. | | |
| 29 | | | 1. | Relaps | se. | | |

| 1 | IV. | Membership. | | | | | | |
|----|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | A. What is it (What does it mean)?(NA membership vs. group | | | | | | |
| 3 | | membership, committee membership) | | | | | | |
| 4 | | B. Responsibilites and privieges. | | | | | | |
| 5 | | C. It's not automatic (we don't feel a part of if we're still using; | | | | | | |
| 6 | | we only exclude those who exclude themselves). | | | | | | |
| 7 | | 1. Intolerance and prejudice. | | | | | | |
| 8 | | 2. Using membership as a justification for poor | | | | | | |
| 9 | | behaviour. | | | | | | |
| 10 | V. | Special needs (common purpose) groups/meetings. | | | | | | |
| 11 | VI. | Application of Tradition 3 to members, groups and NA as a | | | | | | |
| 12 | | whole. | | | | | | |
| 13 | VII. | Conclusion and lead in to Tradition 4. | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

 What is a desire to stop using? Some of us got to N.A. completely broken, and were looking for any way out of the life we were living. Others were uncertain that drugs were a problem at all. Coming to meetings does not automatically signify membership. Any addict can ask him/herself these questions: "Do I have <u>any</u> desire to stop using? Do I want to be a member of Narcotics Anonymous?" We answer these questions to our selves, not to anyone else. We are also free to change our minds at any time. Clean addicts encouraged us to come to meetings even if we were still using, but they told us that complete abstinence from all mood-altering chemicals was the only thing that had ever worked for them. So a desire can be shown simply by attending meetings.

The words "membership" and "desire" are highlighted words in this tradition. What is membership in Narcotics Anonymous? Membership is belonging. We have a saying, "You are a member when you say you are." This is a Fellowship whose membership does not require any type of accomplishment or payment. There are no dues or fees in N.A. You don't have to do anything to attain membership. You have only to desire abstinence from drugs. The fact that a desire to stop using was all that was required opened the doors for many of us.

What would become of N.A. if we were to place terms and conditions on membership? Without Tradition Three, some of us would fight to keep our program from being diluted by those we thought didn't belong. We might use such prejudices as race, color, creed, handicap, sexual orientation, religion or lack of it, national origin, or even height or weight to exclude those we thought undesirable. These aspects of the

human condition are totally irrelevant to drug addiction and recovery from this disease. We need to remember that if we had been barred from N.A.'s doors, we might well have died.

We are made up of all different kinds of people. Anyone may be a member, no matter what background, if they have a desire to stop using. No matter what drug you used, if you have a desire to stop, and you want to be a member, then you are a member. This gives us the choice of allowing ourselves and others the right to remain here. No one knows the dark and lonely path we have walked; yet we all share what we find here through this tradition.

At first it may be difficult for some of us to relate to people who used differently than we did, but we are told to, "Look for the similarities rather than the differences." If we keep an open mind, we see that the feelings we experienced while using, and in our recovery, are very much the same. We have no right to judge someone else's right to membership.

Some members of our Fellowship have been able to see and face their own addiction only after seeking help for the sake of a spouse, child or other loved one. Whatever road we took to find our way to N.A., we came to believe that it was our own personal Higher Power who ultimately got us here. The saying is: "No one comes through these doors by mistake." We are welcomed into the Fellowship of Narcotics Anonymous simply because we have a desire to stop using. Many of us did not desire to stop using at first, but by attending meetings our outlook changed, and we gained a desire to stop using.

No one asks for our credentials. We paid our dues "out there," but that is our business, and we don't have to prove it. Many of us lost our jobs, homes, cars, families, etc. Many of us wondered if this was the right place for us. Did we use enough drugs? Would we be accepted if

we only smoked pot or took prescription pills or drank alcohol? Do we have to have a police record or a litany of trips to mental institutions? These questions were quickly answered through the love and acceptance of the Fellowship. When this tradition registers in our brains, it gives us the freedom to belong and stay if we choose to. We are all in the meeting for the same reason: We don't want to use drugs any more, and

Narcotics Anonymous "is a program of complete abstinence from all drugs." Even so, our doors remain open to those addicts still clinging to forms of denial. These may include our brothers and sisters who, for instance, stop shooting narcotics, but hold to the use of other mindaltering chemicals in a last-ditch effort to keep getting high. There are some, too, who use over and over again. They, too, may recover--if they come back before completing the self-destruction which is the essence of active addiction. No one can be kicked out of our Fellowship. If we closed our doors to those who weren't able yet to stay clean or may not be ready, we would be aiding in their death by preventing their recovery. As long as they bring no drugs or paraphernalia into meetings, all addicts are welcome.

While we may get frustrated with perpetual newcomers, we must first thank the God of our understanding that our own obsession/compulsion to use has been arrested just for today, and then quickly remind ourselves that the only requirement for N.A. membership is a desire to stop using.

Desire is not a thinking process, but rather a feeling process which requires fulfillment of its own. It is not a want or a need; it is a longing. Almost everyone has felt a longing for something or someone in his/her life. While many of us have felt a desperate need to belong, our lack of self-worth and fear of failure usually prevented us from risking

we need help in living clean.

involvement. But even when we didn't think we could meet the demands of a gang or other groups, we found that we could live up to N.A.'s simple membership requirement: a desire to stop using.

When we first arrived at Narcotics Anonymous, most of us knew that we were sick and had to do something different. We were lonely; we had no self-worth and knew nothing of being clean. All we knew was that we needed help and had nowhere else to go. Most of us had already tried everything else. When we first heard Tradition Three, it brought relief. We didn't know what would be expected of us nor what we would have to do to achieve happiness. Truthfully, many of us did not want to stop using drugs; addiction was all we knew. It is not required to be clean to attend N.A. meetings, but it is strongly suggested. We found that all we needed to keep coming back was a desire to stop using. Some of us didn't think drugs were our problem anyway. We had tried to blame our using on life's situations, but we soon found that this was not the case. In our hearts, we eventually realized that drugs just didn't work anymore.

Our desires may be obscure and buried deep beneath our defenses and fears, but the longer we stay clean, the more intense our desire for recovery becomes. We choose the N.A. Program as our philosophy for life because it shows us, one day at a time, how to live in this world without using or abusing ourselves or others.

Most of us come to N.A. to escape the suffering of active addiction. We stay to experience the joy of living. We pray that the doors of Narcotics Anonymous will remain open to anyone and everyone who stumbles in. Our primary concern is that newcomers have a safe haven to come to, and that they find recovery through the Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions of Narcotics Anonymous.

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

The Third Tradition looks so straightforward that there doesn't seem to be much to think about. It doesn't say you have to be clean for six days or six weeks or six months in order to be a member of N.A. It doesn't say you have to have an I.Q. of 120, or be at least a high school graduate, or bring home x number of dollars every week, or even hold a job. All it says is that you have to want to stop using. The doors are open to all suffering addicts. What could be simpler than that?

Like all the Twelve Traditions, however, the Third is both simple and complex; it digs a lot deeper than meets the eye superficially. Like all the others it helps preserve N.A.'s unity, shows how group problems can be avoided or solved, encourages us to focus our energies fully on recovery rather than on distracting side issues, and helps us in our personal recovery.

The Third Tradition concerns itself with the requirement for membership in Narcotics Anonymous. Basically, there's just one requirement—a desire to quit using. Sometimes that urge is already strongly there when a newcomer first walks into an N.A. meeting. Sometimes the desire doesn't assert itself meaningfully until later on, when we finally wake up to the fact that the disease of addiction is robbing us of our lives. Seeing other addicts, who started with the desire and have been clean for months or years, helps a lot. It quickens our own wish for a drug-free life.

Having a "desire" to stop using is, however, far from the same thing as actually not using. It's an enormously positive step in the

direction of sanity, but desire is the thought that's father to the action.

2 Actually not using is the action.

Many different paths brought us to N.A.'s doors, and the strength of our desire to stop using varied from person to person. Some of us were sick, broke and beaten down. We were desperate. N.A. seemed like our last hope for sanity and survival. Fearful and trembling we knew, however dimly, that it was N.A. or death--and we weren't prepared to die.

Some others of us would, if pressed to the wall, admit that maybe we weren't functioning well. But we were a long way from admitting that drugs were a life-and-death issue. If we were asked why we came to N.A., we'd say something like, "I came out of curiosity," or "So my family would shut up." One now-dedicated N.A. member first attended meetings because of something less than what he later called "genuine desire." Initially he came to meetings because his wife was becoming more and more upset about his using. She insisted that he had to do something, and he came, as he said, "to get her off my back." Then he came to meetings because he was curious about all these people who had horrible drug stories to tell. Later he came because he thought, "I don't like what I've got, I'd better try something else." Finally he came because this low-key desire grew stronger; he liked that "something else" and wanted more of it.

Some of us first came to N.A. not only denying we were drug addicts powerless over our addiction, we also put up a good front denying N.A. really had anything to offer us. One such member had a longing for the blessings that recovering addicts had, but he couldn't believe their blessings had anything to do with being clean. He saw how they smiled and seemed happy--saw that good things were happening to them. But for some time he needed to believe that the N.A. Program was a phony.

- 1 He was convinced that members were really using but pretending not to.
- 2 It was only when the longing for something better in his own life was
- 3 strong enough that he finally had to admit the N.A. Program of recovery
- 4 was no hoax.

There were countless ways we could pretend N.A. wasn't really for us or we for it. A woman who had used an enormous quantity of drugs over the years listened to other members sharing their recovery experiences and went away from her first N.A. meeting thinking, "Maybe I haven't used enough to qualify." When she heard about a drug she hadn't used, she thought, "Maybe I'm not really an addict because I haven't used that one." When she heard a member talk about having been in prison, she thought, "Maybe I don't belong here because I haven't been locked up."

Another member told herself she wasn't really the N.A. type because she was so bad, so helpless and hopeless, the program probably wouldn't work for her. A third went out and used a drug she first heard about in N.A.; her excuse was, "It will help me qualify for membership." But it was really just an excuse to use again. Later, when she really wanted to recover, she realized that the desire to stop using was the only ticket she needed for admission to N.A.

Some of us came to N.A. convinced we wouldn't be accepted because we were so unacceptable as persons. We were bitter, self-hating, self-rejecting to an extreme. Because we'd been rejected so often in the outside world, we figured the same thing would happen in N.A. So we took the attitude, "I'll reject you before you reject me," and showed ourselves at our defensive, defiant, hostile worst. Maybe we were subconsciously hoping to be kicked out if we made ourselves nasty enough. But Tradition Three says nothing about having to measure up to certain positive character traits as a qualification for membership.

- 1 Confronting and dealing with our character defects is an integral part of
- 2 the program itself. So, in most instances, the groups we were in waited
- 3 for us to cool down and accept the gift of caring which they offered us.
- 4 We were accepted because we were suffering addicts having the desire to
- 5 stop using, nothing more.

Then there were those of us who first stepped into an N.A. meeting because we'd gotten into trouble with the law, and the judge or probation officer or somebody else with legal power over us had said, "Either attend N.A. meetings or go to jail." Who wouldn't rather be in N.A. than in jail? Many of us with such court orders had the naive notion that N.A. could teach us to use drugs in a way that wouldn't get us into trouble. But even when we were quickly disabused of that notion we stayed. Was it because we didn't want to chance violating the court order or was something else making us come back week after week?

The point is that if someone doesn't have the desire to stop, not even the threat of being locked up is going to have any effect. On the other hand, court-order members who show up at N.A. meetings regularly may insist, "I'm only here because I don't want to go to jail." And in part that may be true--but only in part. Nobody has a gun to our heads and is marching us to meetings. In fact, some addicts with court orders don't stay. We're not ready for the kind of searching self-confrontation the program requires. We don't want to struggle with the Twelve Steps, the Traditions, the literature and the rest of the program. Our desire still isn't strong enough and we decide, "It's easier to go do time, nobody bothers you, you get three hots and a cot and when your time is up it's over." Those of us who do keep coming back to N.A. have made the choice to do so, and in the end it doesn't really have much to do with legal requirements or the threat of jail. Though it may take us

some time to admit it, we want something better for ourselves than we have.

In N.A. we see that the strength of a person's desire to stop using doesn't necessarily have a direct connection with his outside circumstances. An addict may be sick, homeless, desperate--yet run away in panic after a meeting or two, still more attached to addiction than to survival. We can be in the hands of psychiatrists, drug counselors, ministers, judges, jailers--we can be prayed over, or abandoned by everyone who cared about us--but the desire to stop using won't be there strongly until the pain of our existence forces us to do so.

On the other hand, the desire to stop using can be stronger than we first realize. It can be quickened into life when we see a collection of recovering addicts. They had been just like us. They had used. They had made a mess of their lives. They had been steeped in misery--but no longer. They are now clean. Now they hold on to jobs. Now they have real friendships, loving relationships. Now they don't rush out to use pills or pot or coke or heroin every time something bad--or good--happens to them. They don't rush out for a fix, period. That's powerful stuff, if we let it be--a tremendous impetus to change.

A Narcotics Anonymous slogan goes, "You're a member when you say you are." Some of us laughed when we first heard that slogan. It sounded so easy. We thought: Okay, then you can shoot up and still be a member of N.A. because you say you are. You can pop pills and still be a member because you say you are. You can get stoned out of this world and still be a member because you say you are. Easy? Ridiculous!

When we gave the matter a little more thought, though, we realized that it wasn't ridiculous at all. Sure, anybody can say they're a member of N.A. as long as they say they have the desire. That's what the Third Tradition says and it's all to the good because it emphasizes

how open N.A.'s doors are to any addict who wants to enter. But just saying, "I'm a member" isn't all there is to it. Membership isn't automatic. We can be members in name only--while we keep on using, fail to work the steps, read the literature or carry the message of recovery to other addicts. But it's like holding a boarding pass to a ship that's going on a wonderful cruise and saying, "I'm a passenger"--meanwhile staying on the dock, watching that ship sail off into the sunset.

Only we can make ourselves true members by what we do or don't do. The God of our understanding might have led us to N.A., but it becomes our responsibility, with His help, to go on from there. To show up at meetings regularly. To read the literature. To reach out and ask for help, even though we might be scared to death of doing so. To ask questions. One woman, in N.A. for three months, kept telling her sponsor, "I say I'm a member, but so what? People at meetings are nice to me, but I just don't feel like I belong." Her sponsor replied, "You don't feel you're a member, but the fact is, your desire to stop using is your membership card. You're going to have a good membership card or a bad membership card. You fill it in yourself, you know.

Thinking about what her sponsor had said, she realized how superficial her involvement in N.A. had been. Even going through the steps had been a mechanical process with her. Only then, with the help of lots of prayer and meditation, did she put some meaning into being a member.

Many of us have had a similar experience--at first we were pretty superficial in the way we worked the program. It was more on the order of once over lightly. Later we became more involved and committed--and that's when we really began to get something solid out of our membership in N.A.

Many of us were still using when we first came to N.A. and some of us kept on using--steadily or intermittently--for a while. Every time we stopped we'd say, "Now I'm cured," ease up on the program, and relapse. Some of us fooled ourselves another way. We'd quit using one drug but start using another, telling ourselves this other one wasn't half as bad. But the use of any mood-altering drug is simply another last-ditch effort to keep getting loaded. Clean addicts encouraged us to come to meetings anyway, but they made it clear that complete abstinence from all drugs was the only thing that ever worked for them.

Not all newcomers who find it so hard to stop using or stay clean get the caring and concern they need. Some groups are impatient with these addicts--they ignore them, make them feel unwelcome or actually ask them to leave. We tend to react so negatively to somebody else's difficulty with abstinence when we ourselves aren't far enough along in our own recovery. We haven't shed our judgmental nature, that all-too-common facet of our disease. We still feel threatened by anyone who can't stop using because it reminds us of our own strong vulnerability to drugs.

Neglecting newcomers or treating them inhospitably is, however, definitely hazardous to their health and welfare. As individuals and as groups we must always reach out to addicts who come to us for help-make them feel welcome and wanted. Anything less is a violation of our traditions and reflects badly on the spiritual maturity of the groups involved.

Tradition Three is very clear about the fact that only the desire to quit need be there, that no one can be kicked out of our Fellowship for using. If we closed our doors to those who weren't yet ready to be clean we'd be putting their survival at risk by denying them the chance to recover. We'd be putting our survival at risk, as well, and jeopardize

- 1 N.A.'s reason for being. Newcomers are the lifeblood of our Fellowship.
- 2 As long as they bring no drugs or paraphernalia into meetings, the Third
- 3 Tradition says, all addicts are welcome. Ours is an equal-opportunity
- 4 program: everybody has an equal chance at recovery; it's up to us to
- 5 take advantage of that chance. In this spirit, remarkable things can
- 6 happen. It took one member over a decade to stop using drugs and stay
- 7 clean; he kept coming back and the groups to which he returned
- 8 continued to root for him. A decade is a long time, but the point is that
- 9 finally he did make it. You never know.

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

When an addict attends a meeting while high, that's another matter. That's a delicate situation, yet it can be worked out within the boundaries of good sense and in the spirit of the tradition. Only if someone is truly disruptive, actually interfering with the atmosphere of recovery, should he be asked to leave. Groups have handled members in a variety of successful ways, depending on the circumstances. For instance, loaded persons have been asked to sit quietly and just listen, or have been taken out for coffee. When an addict insists on sharing while under the influence of drugs, the other members in the room may not have a choice but to let him talk-recognizing, however, that his message is one of pain and suffering, not hope and recovery.

While we may get frustrated with addicts who come in high or are perpetual newcomers, we can best deal with that frustration by thanking our Higher Power that our own obsession/compulsion to use has been blocked just for today. It also helps to remind ourselves how important our own sense of belonging, which we developed in our N.A. groups, was to our recovery. The most constructive thing we can do is to extend loving acceptance to those who come to us for help, no matter how difficult that occasionally is

Being accepting can be very difficult for us until we've been in the Fellowship for a long time. As far as the Third Tradition is concerned, though, all suffering addicts are welcome to join. For this reason, N.A. requires no fees or dues for membership. Though a basket is always passed around at meetings, to defray expenses and carry the message of recovery to addicts "out there," nobody has to put any money in. If some members are so broke today they can't contribute anything-well, maybe next time they can.

That no terms and conditions are placed on membership guarantees newcomers will not be excluded because of the prejudices of established members. It prevents members and groups of members from labelling anyone as "undesirable" because of race, creed, handicap, economic status, sexual orientation, religion, national origin or anything else. This open door policy is what our spiritual program is all abouthelping all addicts no matter who, what or where they are. In its best it weaves an atmosphere of concern and caring that makes newcomers feel accepted—"at home."

Yet it's also true that our groups aren't always as loving or caring as they might be. Groups are made up of individuals, after all, and on the whole individual N.A. members may not be more or less prejudiced than non-addicts, but not a one of us came into N.A. prejudice-free. Not one of us came in feeling so good about ourselves we didn't badly need somebody to feel superior to. When we were using, many of us felt superior to non-users, the ones we derisively called the "straights." When we came into N.A. and were in the midst of recovering addicts, we needed other immediate targets we could slight or mock.

If there wasn't anything else we could think of, and we felt worthless enough, we could always feel superior to newcomers and other N.A. members who hadn't used the way we had. We could set up a

"ladder of success" at the top of which were the "baddest" addicts--our kind. We could pride ourselves on having used the harshest drugs, done the meanest things, been in the roughest prisons.

This attitude, "If you aren't a real dope fiend like me you don't belong," clearly conflicts with both the spirit and substance of Tradition Three. Ours is an all-embracing program. It doesn't require us to use any specific type of drug in order to qualify for membership. It doesn't specify how awful our life had to be. It doesn't offer any guidelines about what we should or shouldn't have left in the way of worldly possessions. All it asks of us is to have the desire to stop using.

In one way or another, all of us addicts have suffered. We've all walked down dark and lonely paths. We've all amply paid our dues while using and we don't have to prove to anyone what miseries we've seen. Every addict who found recovery because of our program might have died if N.A.'s doors had been barred to him.

Prejudice, snobbishness and smugness are some of the ways our addiction talks to us. As we turn to God for help in raising our self-esteem and removing our character defects, as we begin to feel better about ourselves, we change. The need to feel superior at somebody's expense becomes less and less urgent. The need to hate others because we hate ourselves so much wanes. We become more compassionate. We come to see more clearly the bonds we share with others. All of us having suffered from the disease of addiction, we can find ourselves in all our members. Thus, our sense of humanity expands. Our disease is the common denominator; we can find ourselves in all our members.

Tradition Three clearly says that our doors are open to everyone who has "a desire to stop using." At times this has been misinterpreted. The desire to stop using what? Some people show up at N.A. meetings when their problem is addiction to food. Some people show up who don't

use drugs but can't stop playing cards or roulette or something else for money-they're compulsive gamblers. Some people, aware that N.A. is a Twelve Step Program, have assumed it could help them solve serious personal problems or emotional illness.

The compulsion to use obviously isn't confined to drugs. There are suffering addicts of all types in the world, all powerless over their addictions. But no Twelve Step Program, no matter how effective, can be all things to all people. Though Tradition Three doesn't go on to say membership is open to all who use drugs, our name makes this very clear. God led us to found an organization that would help addicts recover from mind-altering drugs. If our spiritual message of recovery were to include addicts who eat or gamble addictively, that message would be diluted, quite possibly beyond any effectiveness. We would lose our thrust, our focus. And newcomers with drug problems could easily feel that they were in the wrong place if, for example, they heard long discussions by people who need to binge on ice cream. No addiction is trivial, but God has directed our particular program to deal with drugs.

Some of us already belonged to another Twelve Step Program when we came to N.A. We, too, were welcomed. All that was asked of us was that at N.A. meetings we confine our discussions to the N.A. meeting. Narcotics Anonymous welcomes all addicts, those who belong to other Twelve Step groups as well as those who don't. Membership in another Twelve Step group doesn't violate our traditions or do harm to our spiritual program, as long as N.A. meetings are strictly limited to N.A. matters. However, some of us who do belong to N.A. groups bring to N.A. the notion of "dual addiction" or "cross addiction." We quickly learn that the concepts of dual and cross addiction are definitely not in accord with N.A.'s philosophy and program. In N.A. we don't differentiate between drugs or addictions. For us, addiction is the

disease, addiction no matter what form it takes is the bottom line. We may use more than one drug, we may express our addiction in more than one way. But we don't think of ourselves as dual or cross-addicts--we identify ourselves as addicts, period.

A number of us with drug problems also came to N.A. bearing labels pinned on us by psychiatrists and other mental health professionals from institutions and treatment centers. We might have been diagnosed as neurotic or psychotic or perhaps been given a more specific clinical name. Though such labels may not always be helpful or accurate, the drugs we took and the addictive lives we led were undeniably damaging to our emotional stability. In N.A. we found that once we stopped using and began to develop spiritually we could lead the stable lives we found it impossible to live while under the power of the drugs. Often, then, we no longer thought or acted in the ways that brought on those psychiatric labels. But of course that's not the same thing as saying N.A. can cure psychiatric problems. It can't. N.A.'s sole function is to carry the message of recovery to drug addicts.

After we've been in the Fellowship for a time, most of us realize that our initial contact with N.A. was neither accidental nor coincidental. Our God, our Higher Power--whatever we choose to call this Power greater than ourselves--brought us to N.A.'s doors. In most instances we found those doors open--but no one forced us to enter. Once we entered, no one forced us to stay. Once we were settled in, no one forced us to work the program meaningfully. Once we began to work the program seriously, no one forced us to recover at a set pace or schedule.

The Third Tradition places the responsibility for recovery squarely with us. We can choose to be members or not. We can choose to be involved members or not. We can come freely and openly to an N.A. meeting--and if we wish to leave, do so just as freely. In not setting up

membership requirements, our Fellowship declares that every addict has the right to recover--that nothing should stand in the way if the desire is there.

The Third Tradition enables us who are addicts to see that recovery is no pipedream and that life without drugs is better than we could have imagined. Yet though Narcotics Anonymous has no formal membership requirements beyond a desire to stop using, the Third Tradition offers us a wonderful opportunity. It's the opportunity to give back that which is being given to us. To help other addicts as other addicts have helped us. Many of us, having had a long history of simply taking, find that being able to give in return is more than an opportunity—it's a privilege.

In fact, the meaning the Third Tradition has for us deepens the longer we stay clean. We see ever more clearly that there's a big difference between being an addict in N.A. and fully being an N.A. member. Membership in the fullest sense of the word does have its obligations and responsibilities—and we welcome them.

1 2

Newsline Articles

This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees in 1984. It represents views at the time of writing.

Tradition interpretation is probably not something the average member gives much thought to. However, to ensure the continuation and growth of Narcotics Anonymous, effort must be made by groups and individual members to understand and practice the Traditions. The N.A. program is still in maintenance of the Traditions to ensure our survival.

Implementation of the Traditions affects every individual seeking recovery. It is therefore very important for the newcomer to understand that all one needs is a desire to stop using drugs, as they come to their first meeting. After they have accepted this fact and begin to practice it, they can consider themselves members of Narcotics Anonymous. At their first meetings they will meet people from all walks of life who were as hopelessly addicted to drugs as they were.

Confusion can easily be caused in a newcomer's thinking and understanding of the N.A. Program, if the group is not properly versed in the Twelve Steps and Traditions themselves. The group's responsibility is to provide the necessary atmosphere for recovery. Stable meetings with a single purpose coupled with an understanding of N.A. Traditions and led by people who are recovering addicts are the best ways to fulfill that responsibility.

Care must be shown so as not to dilute the understanding of the Traditions by trying to have N.A. be all things to all people. We can be grateful to A.A. as the grandfather of all Twelve Step programs and we can provide guidance to those from other programs such as O.A., G.A., and others. But N.A. is not a warehouse for members of other programs. People from these other programs can certainly learn from N.A. as we learned from A.A., but their participation should not include involvement in the decisions made by an N.A. group, nor should those individuals serve as officers of groups or special service committees.

It must be remembered that Tradition Three speaks of a desire to stop using, which for N.A. has a parenthetical phrase immediately following which would correctly say, "drugs and narcotics." The phrase should not be interpreted as including other problems such as gambling, overeating, or other such matters.

If N.A. meetings were diverted from the purpose of carrying the message of recovery from drugs or narcotics, to that of carrying the message of recovery from overeating or other matters, newcomers who were addicts and had a desire to stop using might not feel that N.A. was for them and might never return. If we follow the Traditions carefully, there will always be a place for the still-suffering addict to find a meeting and find recovery.

Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 1, No. 8

1 2

General Input

Do members have to be clean for a prescribed period of time before doing certain kinds of service work or accepting more responsible positions?

Of course they do! All of our successive forms of our structure have had clean time requirements. This includes `The N.A. Tree,' The `Blue Manual,' the `Green Manual' and `The Temporary working Guide to our Service Structure.'

The reason for this is that our disease affects our service performance in various ways, and generally more clean time will allow our members to grow into service roles rather than be thrust into them. Our `trusted' servants have often fallen prey to the various temptations which might go with their service positions. Service is not power. Service does not pay except in spiritual terms. Structural service is not too different and is certainly not more spiritual than personal, member to member service. In fact, there have never been any trusted servants who succeeded in service who were not committed to personal service

and their own recovery program. Appearances have made this lesson difficult but time speaks in its own way and teaches us that the best we can hope to be is instruments of our own Higher Powers. There is a mysterious side to service.

It is hard to say exactly where the mundane leaves off and the miracle begins. Evidence that some miraculous Power is at work is best seen from afar sometimes... Still, members can feel its presence or absence. Appearances cannot explain the recovery of even one of our members, much less the hundreds of thousands. We all had pain and problems and lots of reasons to quit using before N.A. but we could not stop and somehow, now, we can.

In doing service, we have to have some prior knowledge of these things or we will think of ourselves as the CHAIR of all these members, their pitiful lives in our hands. That is patently NOT SO.

The ability to respond to the duties of a service position without getting caught up in and distracted by the way others see us or treat us is the real reason for the clean time requirements. When all else is said and done, can we show up for the committee meetings? Can we get the agendas and minutes out on time? Can we help others who might be having some problems doing their part without forgetting totally our own? Can we do our part as trusted servants without getting sanctimonious, critical of others or self righteous?

Without discounting any of the foregoing, experience with our Twelve Steps is included for an obvious reason along with statements regarding our Traditions and our clean time. They all go together and we experience them simultaneously. As far as 'Knowledge of the Twelve Steps' goes, can we be expected to serve the needs of others if we haven't gotten comfortable with our surrender to our disease? Can we serve without the faith it takes to work out our Third Step and let the

God of our understanding take care of our lives? Can we serve with our character defects intact? Can we serve without the ability to admit error where we have wronged someone? The answer to all these questions is NO. Now, reality has extended itself in our favor enough for meetings to get started and addicts to get clean in even the smaller towns where we know there has been little if any ongoing recovery. We also know that these types of meetings have consistently died out. Sometimes literally, until our literature efforts had produced our Basic Text, Narcotics Anonymous.

This Tradition says anyone who has the desire to stop using is a member — yet also says membership is not automatic simply because someone walks in the N.A. door. Can someone who still uses be an N.A. member?

The meaning of this is simply that it is our giving evidence of our desire for recovery which makes us accepted as members by the N.A. Fellowship. This begins inwardly when the desire to quit using begins in some terrible moment when we realize that the drugs are using us and we can for the first time feel our disease robbing us of our lives. The process continues when we walk through the N.A. doors and find other addicts like ourselves who have the desire to live clean. We are still a long way from real recovery. We listen, we share and we learn that living clean is possible and that the program might work for us. We see others relapse and yet we are clean. We realize gradually that we will continue in recovery if we just keep doing what works for us.

'Membership' is the recognition of our desire for recovery among those who are already our members. We have seen those who are obviously 'qualified' for N.A. membership in terms of their using, but their lack of desire keeps them from putting their recovery first and they get loaded. Many of those among us today have had to go through

prolonged periods of relapsing before their desire became stronger than their disease. This is the price many of us have had to pay.

If this sounds judgmental on the part of our members, it is not. It is something of the heart. The language imposes limitations not of our making. Everyone who comes to N.A. seeking recovery from the disease of addiction is accepted. This is remarkable because we well know that everyone who seeks recovery may not be an addict. Perhaps they have other problems which make them want the acceptance and closeness we share among ourselves. These people may be accepted and think of themselves as members and yet not be addicts. This would be a moot point to bring out except to illustrate that our acceptance of newcomers far outweighs any tendency to exclude those who might seek our help.

Membership is not automatic because only we can make ourselves members by the things we do. The point needs to be emphasized that recovery is our responsibility. It is our actions and inactions which will define us - not what others think or even say about us. In the final analysis our membership will be acknowledged by our Fellows if it is there. They will see it in us when we reach out for help although hurting terribly and scared to death. They will notice us taking time to help another who needs our help. They will notice that we are asking questions and showing up at meetings on a regular basis. In time they will see the pain go out of our faces and realize that our obsession to use has been lifted from us. When they try to reach out to help in some way, they will feel our acceptance of their kindness.

We define using in terms which are both self-willed and self-destructive. To answer the last question, using alone may not constitute relapse. In times of illness or physical injury, we and many members have come through periods of using in the sense that they had drugs in their systems but did not show the characteristic behaviors that go with

active addiction. They continued to ask for help, continued to pray and exhibited personal honesty. All these things, and yet they had the same chemicals in their bodies which they used in active addiction. Whether they went through detox at the end of treatment, they were willing.

Far more often, we have seen the slightest tendency to deny or be dishonest grow to overwhelm our members into active, unmistakable addiction. We see the themes played out in our program constantly and see ourselves as subject to the same tendencies which have killed so many of us. In our gratitude and our selfless service, we do the best we can to stay clean and help others because it is the only way we can have any hope. Judging others by their performance and ourselves by our motives is one mistake we have all made. There are those among us who are forced to take prescribed medication for illness beyond their control and they do exhibit all the signs of recovery. They are indistinguishable from other members, so the answer must be 'yes'.

Back to the original point about distinguishing between self determined taking in of drugs versus a car wreck where we are dosed while we are out cold by the side of the road. It is sad that this hair splitting goes on.

Active addiction doesn't mess around. The disease takes us and we do whatever the disease requires. We don't jolly along, going to meetings asking questions about recovery, reading spiritual literature and attempting to serve in various ways others who may benefit from our actions. WE USE. If an addict is doing their best to stay clean and showing any kind of desire at all, they can find some N.A. member who believes in them and will help them in their recovery. They deserve and need all the encouragement they can get and we try to give it to them.

One more messy point: lose your newcomer's respect and you lose your newcomer...Amazingly, we learn in N.A. how to stand ready to help

but will not do so until we are asked. This is because we intuitively know that it is the reaching for help, which helps, not what we can receive from the outside. All we can really do is respond honestly to the self admitted need of another like ourselves. Of course, we get a lot of practice in N.A.

A general question on membership: How do people find N.A.? Specifically, are some court-referred?

Court referral is no hindrance to N.A. membership. The crucial elements are the personal pain we experience in our active addiction and the feelings of relief and hopefulness we find in contact with other clean addicts following the N.A. way of life. In other words, how we find the Program is not so important as finding it.

Considered as a disease, this apparent problem, i.e., how can something as Worldly as a court system lead to clean addicts leading spiritual lives, becomes properly simplified. Someone with cancer who found freedom from their disease as a result of legal direction would likely say, "So what! I'm glad that I found a way to arrest my disease. I'm grateful, even to the court!"

"Desire is the key word. Desire is the basis of our recovery." Recovery is of equal importance to each and every addict. It is our ability to accept the personal responsibility of it that varies. We all have one thing in common. We suffer from the disease of addiction, and will to some degree, until we are relieved of the responsibility human life carries with it.

"A desire to stop using" is not "the only requirement for membership" (Tradition 3) of our committees. Some of us must be elected, others may be chosen by drawing lots. A desire to comply with the spiritual intent of this principle is necessary to P.I. work and will be reflected in our Fellowship. "Addiction does not discriminate. This

| 1 | Tradition is to insure that any addict, regardless of drugs used, race, |
|----|--|
| 2 | religion, beliefs, sex, sexual preference, or financial conditions, is free to |
| 3 | practice the N.A. way of life." |
| 4 | Open-mindedness seems to be called for here. In order to work |
| 5 | within the spirit of this Tradition, we need to be aware of what we |
| 6 | haven't been doing, and plan our P.I. efforts accordingly. We may find it |
| 7 | helpful to ask ourselves some tough questions. Find out who is missing |
| 8 | from our meetings, and why. |
| 9 | Vigilance and desire, when united, become honest effort. The |
| 10 | Third Tradition gives definition to our anonymity, and "Anonymity is the |
| 11 | spiritual foundation of all our Traditions." Our efforts are our recovery |
| 12 | speaking to the world of our existence. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | ********************** |
| 15 | Please accept for inclusion in the Third Tradition portion of It |
| 16 | Works: How and Why the following correlation suggested by the |
| 17 | principle inherent in our Third Tradition: |
| 18 | "One correlation suggested by the principle of our Third Tradition |
| 19 | is any person with the desire to stop using may attend any regular N.A. |
| 20 | meeting, anywhere a regular meeting is being held, and if a person with |
| 21 | the desire to stop using is kept from attending the N.A. meeting because |
| 22 | of any rule or regulation outside of our Twelve Traditions, then the |
| 23 | meeting is in violation of N.A.'s Third Tradition." |
| 24 | |
| 25 | ************ |
| 26 | Do I touch on the early days in N.A. when pill users weren't |

welcome, to illustrate how N.A. has expanded its scope since then?

In the days when there was no literature, our members had not yet discovered the power of simply telling the truth in writing. Since those times, an enormous increase in written materials has resulted from the discovery that as long as we are speaking from our real personal and collective experience, no one can deny us.

For this reason, and the value of letting our history speak for our principles, we should tell our story as completely and honestly as we can.

The phrase 'expanded its scope' is imprecise. We have simply learned more about our disease and our recovery process. Tradition Three is developed in Narcotics Anonymous to include rather than exclude. One definition of 'narcotics' is 'sleep or dream inducing' and this word tells a lot about our disease. While Webster's may never change, we share the pain of our nightmares and the joy of awakening to find ourselves clean, alive and not alone.

Not only pill addicts were excluded. Our recovery process was only known to a certain point. Surely there were isionaries but judging by the divisive elements we have had to overcome in our own times, their influence was probably limited. One of the purposes which guided us in the creation of our Basic Text was the knowledge that we would no longer limit our message to our personalities. Even today the problem of getting along with the personality in a small town with perhaps only one meeting a week is only surmountable because we have at last a written message. In days gone by these small meetings almost always died out.

The principle of anonymity applies here because we have learned to set aside our personal preference in favor of the primary purpose of our groups. We have learned that what is good for N.A. is usually best for us.

Are people who are clean but use prescription drugs on doctor's orders "users" or not?

Again, the only way which presents itself to answer such a question is to observe the people who come to our meetings, claim membership in N.A. and are recognized as such by their local Fellowship. In other words, theorizing or moralizing about this type of question is generally useless and arouses passions among our people without really helping anyone. It is true that N.A. is a program of total abstinence and that clean means not using any chemicals whatsoever. It is also true that we eat, drink coffee and many of us smoke cigarettes! All of these things have some effect on our `cleanliness,' state of mind and spiritual condition. The great thing about N.A. is that we have a practical approach to these things which may not always be easy to write down but works out pretty well in practice.

In all likelihood, most if not all of us clean addicts will at some time have to take some prescribed medication whether we want it or not, for medical reasons. The same principles which help us stay clean in normal circumstances do not cease to work for us here. Prayer, being honest with our doctors and asking for the special help we need to get through a period of illness from our friends can help us maintain our recovery.

"Users" are addicts in a state of active addiction. Sick people who may be recovering addicts in N.A. with no real say so in the matter do not generally exhibit the qualities associated with being loaded. They don't fear discovery. They don't lie, cheat or steal. If they do these things, they shift from using prescribed drugs to using whatever they can get their hands on pretty fast. So, in practice there is usually a recognizable difference between someone who is using as opposed to taking medication on doctor's orders. In fact, many of these who claim to

have been taking prescribed medication and have done as well as 1 members, have slipped into hellish pain and insanity when they 2 discontinued the medication because they wanted to be 'really clean.' 3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

29

Using should be defined with all the attributes of selfcenteredness, dishonesty and fear that goes with active addiction. Medication in times of illness has always been in a sort of `not good but let's try to make the best of it and stand by our friend who is hurting' category. Never will we as a Fellowship condone 'using'. In practice, we do well with our members who get sick and reach out for help.

Personally, I don't think an addict in active addiction can take prescribed drugs as prescribed. This 'as prescribed' factor is enough of a qualifier to separate in my mind someone who is using to get high from someone who has surrendered and is doing as they are told by a physician knowledgeable about the disease of addiction. More and more doctors are becoming knowledgeable about addiction as well as our recovery process. Our message has to include useful information on how to avoid relapse in illness.

There seems to be some question as to whether all members are properly represented, prison inmates, for example.

Our service structure is a response to our need for order and the functional needs of our Fellowship. I can agree with the technical point that group conscience materials rarely make it inside prison walls but this is a situation which may be corrected at any time. There is no obstacle to such distribution of group conscience materials and input In fact, we have the genesis of informing, from such members. involving and gathering input from such members today in the form of the 'Reaching Out.'

28 It is hard to emphasize this need in a time period where we are still working out some of the base lines for structures yet to come. The

occasion of this input is a case in point! Group conscience materials do
not make it through our structure to most members period, not just
those in jail. It is not anyone's fault, either. The members who are
really interested in them tend to get them and those who aren't will
leave them on the table if you don't put them in their hands.

Difference between group and N.A. membership.

(I am embarrassed to respond to this question because it sounds like an individual member's opinion is being questioned rather than input from the member who wrote the original service structure after much discussion, study and deliberation.)

The difference between group membership and N.A. membership is the commitment made to a particular group by a particular member. In all versions of our service structure over the years, in the section on the group it mentions the steering committee of a group which is made up of those people who regularly attend, support a group and attend the business meetings. The steering committee is made up of those who consider themselves to be 'members of the group'. I guess it may help the material on the Third Tradition to bring this distinction out more. It is also being addressed in the material, 'A Guide To Service.'

Basically, there is a philosophical difference between someone who stays cleans, attends meetings regularly, reads the literature, has an N.A. sponsor and all the other things we do as members but who has not yet become a member of a group. These are ways we receive in N.A. A member of a group one way or another has become a giver.

It is a natural part of the process of identification with other clean addicts to reach a point where we want to give back some of what has been freely given to us. This is hard to do since we don't charge for our services. The main option is some form of service. The same material in `The Temporary Working Guide' says it is at the group level that the

concept of trusted servant comes into being. Trusted servants generally 1 begin as formal or informal group members. In the case of many groups, 2 3

the secretary reads a statement which goes like this: "You become a

member of N.A. when you say you are. You may also become a member

of this group by seeing the secretary of the group after this meeting and

giving them your name and address."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Only a member who regularly attends a group meeting would do this and only a grateful member would be interested in committing to a particular group and becoming someone who helps greet the newcomers. occasionally may chair or lead the meeting, help the secretary set up or clean up, etc. A special bond develops between members who do this. It is a deepening of their N.A. experience. It is also visible evidence of surrender, faith, selflessness and having been mended to some extent. It is more literally 'a part of' N.A. than 'apart from'.

Group membership also means that the member has a "home group." This group membership is a way for the average N.A. member to discover for themselves the real basis of love and unity which goes into a meeting. In every town, there was some first meeting which still continues to meet. Those members who attended and supported that first meeting are the founders of N.A. in their community. Becoming a member of an existing group or committing to a new one, we feel the same air of responsibility as founders. If we're not there, then we are missed, more so than a member who may occasionally attend. If we have accepted some responsibility such as laying out the literature, making the coffee, etc., this is even more true. If we are the first one to arrive and unlock the building, then the responsibility and commitment became even more obvious. If we don't do what we've committed to do, than N.A. may not have a meeting that night!

The difference between a newcomer sick, confused and unable to do for themselves, and someone who freely and willingly commits to a meeting, is obvious and is very real evidence that our recovery process works.

Dual addiction in context of N.A. membership.

Narcotics Anonymous is a program of total abstinence from all drugs. The question involves other forms of addiction which do not necessarily involve drugs in any form. In recovery, we find ourselves faced with most if not all of these substitute addictions and part of the way the Twelve Steps work is to help us survive our addiction once the chemicals have been removed.

The pain of our active addiction is usually the first point of identification with clean addicts that we get as new members. Our stories are hard to make up. The terms 'food addict' and 'food problem' are so specific that they imply the person had no primary problem with using drugs or in getting and staying clean. The basis for identity might therefore be missing. This would affect the person's potential for recovery in N.A. since it would be difficult or impossible for them to find the widespread basis for identifying with and feeling personally a part of our Fellowship. If the person had gotten help from or identified themselves as members of another Twelve Step program, the problem of identification and the basis for mutual sharing would almost certainly become a major obstacle for them.

In dealing with this sort of question, it is again generally helpful to observe or find out what we actually do in our meetings in cases such as this. It is doubtful that a newcomer who went on and on about their food addiction would be asked to leave out of hand. If, on the other hand, someone with a food problem attended one of our meetings and

extolled the virtues of another program and began recruiting addicts who had come to the N.A. meeting seeking recovery to go to some other program, it would go against the primary purpose of our groups. This would require action on the part of the discussion leader or the chair and perhaps members of the steering committee. The person would have to be told that they were out of order, for the N.A. meeting to continue and get back on recovery. This has actually happened and is no more a big deal than the other forms of disruption we have to deal with from time to time. It is a matter of honesty with us. We do not claim to have all the answers for all the ills which the human race suffers from. It is enough for us to carry our message to those who seek it.

This would not be dual addiction to us. Dual addiction is not an N.A. term. It implies that different drugs result in separate and distinct addictions and that is not true in our experience.

Special interest groups.

Even while a newly formed Ad-Hoc Committee of the WSC continues to work on this question, some things are clear and are likely to remain clear.

Some of our members feel that special interest groups are important enough to take the time and trouble to form them. They feel that identification is needed in some special sense to carry our message to certain types of members who may have trouble identifying with the N.A. Fellowship in general.. Many members have strong opinions on this and the problem, if it is a problem, will likely go on.

We have found that to survive, a group must carry a sufficient message of recovery to have something to offer the newcomer seeking recovery. Where this is not the case, the group fails and ceases to meet.

It may be that members who form a 'special interest' group have the problem identifying with the general membership and they are serving their own needs which, in light of our generally flexible attitude to such issues, is fine with most members. Problems have occurred where such meetings become so specialized that local members are not comfortable sending newcomers there who would have difficulty identifying with the recovery of the special interest involved... In these cases, the meetings would have trouble getting listed on the meeting directory as an ordinary N.A. meeting which, by its own description, it is not.

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

12 ****************************

At first glance, this tradition seems quite simple to understand and apply. It tells us who can be a member of Narcotics Anonymous; anyone that has a desire to stop using. However, like all of our twentyfour principles, there is a greater depth of understanding that can be gained through closer examination. At a personal level, the Third Tradition teaches us that no matter what happens today, both good or bad, if we "don't pick up the first one" we have a chance to get better. There is much more to recovery in Narcotics Anonymous than not using drugs, but we'll never experience the other miracles if we don't stay clean. The Third Tradition also teaches us acceptance of others on an equal basis. Old prejudices and personality judgments that we brought in the door with us have no place here. Whether a person is black or white, man or woman, young or old, aggressive or humble, boastful or shy, old-timer or newcomer, heterosexual or gay, financially successful or unemployed, happy or hurting, healthy or sick, etc. has no bearing nor meaning in NA. We all belong here equally as much, and on an equal

| 1 | basis. The Third Tradition asks us to put the humility we gained from |
|---|---|
| 2 | the Twelve Steps into practice. Total acceptance without judgement or |
| 3 | expectation is the spiritual goal of the Third Tradition. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |

1 indispensable. The dominance of any one personality is doomed to 2

failure. Experience has shown that in the long run the group conscience

is wiser than any single leader and that is why we arrive at decisions by

4 majority vote.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Individuals speaking their opinions--even if they are in the minority--are necessary to the ultimate group conscience. It is only by seriously considering every aspect of a question that we can arrive at the best possible solution. Through the clash of opinions comes the spark of This same principle can be applied to the sponsor/sponsee truth. relationship--the sponsor gives direction based on his/her experience, points out the options, but does not give advice.

The progress of individuals and N.A. as a whole can be endangered when a member forgets that God is in charge. No one speaks for God. He speaks to us through the group conscience.

A member claims trusted servants don't get clear guidelines, do get mixed messages, etc. Should they receive guidelines? Should I get into the whole issue of trusted servants functions?

The service structure is a system of defined relationships which allow our trusted servants to function without fear of self-will. Guidelines are developed to give the members doing the service a clear plan of action, complete with procedures for elections and various other routine committee functions. It is hard for me to understand what is meant by "mixed message" in this context. More often, problems do occur where members are active beyond their proper committee functions or in fact have a "mixed message" problem in their personal programs which is reflected in their experience of N.A. service. Another problem which comes up with some frequency is to give any "guidelines" established for their committee a token acknowledgement as long as the

guides don't restrict their frame of action. Where restriction occurs, many members in service have made the mistake of changing their guidelines to suit themselves which can become very personal. When egos flame, anonymity goes out the window.

The second idea is that the guidelines of a committee serve to help members new to the committee understand their relative roles and functions. This is important for the surrendered member in service to feel the coherence and "structure" which allows them to play effective, useful roles without stepping on the toes of other committees or committee members.

As a practical matter we have found that while we need some structure, it is more important to have a group of members actively and happily doing their service work than to emphasize guidelines to the point where they take precedence over good sense.

This has occurred repeatedly in our history, especially in young communities which don't have experienced members with long clean time to settle disputes and bring common sense, humor and a sense of fair play to the structure. The problem seems to be that we addicts will allow ourselves to get caught up in rule breaking and forget what we have been entrusted to do.

The question, "Should they receive guidelines?" is poorly stated. New committees within our structure established their guides as a matter of course based on what members present knew and what can be found in our written service guides. New service manuals for subcommittee specialties like P.I. and H&I have the effect of helping these new committees form guides which reflect a general continuity which used to be impossible. This process is likely to continue to stabilize as time goes on. Existing committees need to employ existing guidelines unless change is required as a result of increased awareness or

growth of the Fellowship served. The thrill of service is in the doing not the talking. It is easy for us to get side-tracked.

Trusted servants' functions need to be addressed in the material on the Second Tradition to clarify the difference between governors and "leaders". We serve by right of the emotional and financial support we receive form other members who support what we are doing. It may be very helpful to get into the exact ways in which a competent secretary can improve a service committee. The important functions a Vice Chair can play in assisting subcommittees which need additional support under a new chair or during a period of growth or change. Treasurers who follow the sound procedures from our new Treasurer's Handbook not only provide accountability but give our service efforts a propriety and stability which can not otherwise exist. They also set good examples. Chairs who have the ability to communicate, listen as well as express themselves clearly, and set a good tone are probably essential to a good service committee. While this fact may emphasize the personality of the chair, it is really their ability to do the job that counts. Functions such as getting out agendas and minutes on schedule are essential to attracting members serious about service. These materials help our committees gain a (collective vision) that help members work together for common goals. They also may be relied on to keep the pace of committee meeting moving so that there is no sense of time being wasted. Generalizing about these matters has not worked out too well...

25 ***************************

As addicts, our conscience is motivated by fear and guilt. "Getting caught" and the pain resulting from it was the reasoning most of us applied in deciding what was right or wrong before the Twelve Steps became a reality in our lives. Our group conscience is, according to

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

27

28

the Second tradition, motivated by a loving God. When we surrender to 1 group conscience, we allow our lives to be shaped by a loving God. 2 Surrendering to group conscience means much more than accepting a 3 decision that didn't go "our way" at a group or service committee 4 business meeting. It means that we can take any problem or question 5 we have with our recovery to other addicts practicing these same 6 principles, share it with them, and receive the hope and guidance we 7 need to make a change for the better. When we share a problem with 8 our home group it is seldom one person's feedback that provides the 9 answers we need. More often it seems that we leave the meeting with a 10 better knowledge and conviction of what we need to do. This is one of 11 the ways that group conscience works and how a loving God speaks 12 through it. 13

| 1 | | | | | TRADITION THREE | | |
|----|------|--|--|-----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | "The only requirement for membership is a desire to stop using." | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | Outline | | |
| 6 | I. | Requ | uirement for membership. | | | | |
| 7 | | A. | Wha | t is it? | | | |
| 8 | | B. | Why do we have a requirement for membership? | | | | |
| 9 | | | 1. | We ca | an't be all thing to all people(singleness of | | |
| 10 | | | | purpos | se - we don't have experience with other things). | | |
| 11 | | | 2. | Base o | of identification and unity. | | |
| 12 | | | 3. | Point | of clarity for newcomers (understanding what | | |
| 13 | | | | NA is | about). | | |
| 14 | II. | Desi | re | | | | |
| 15 | | A. | Wha | t is a de | esire? | | |
| 16 | | | 1. | It is a | gift, it can be created or lost. | | |
| 17 | | | 2. | How/v | vhen do we find/develop it? | | |
| 18 | | | | | People come to NA for a lot of different reasons | | |
| 19 | | | | | but they all stay for the same reason. | | |
| 20 | | | 3. | Can w | ve measure desire? | | |
| 21 | | | | a. | As individuals we may judge but as a | | |
| 22 | | | | | Fellowship we don't. | | |
| 23 | | | 4. | Desire | fosters willingness and action. | | |
| 24 | III. | Stop | using. | | | | |
| 25 | | A. | Wha | t does it | mean? | | |
| 26 | | | 1. | The na | ame is "Narcotics Anonymous" but NA includes | | |
| 27 | | | | total a | bstinence from all drugs. | | |
| 28 | | B. | Cont | inuous a | abstinence. | | |
| 29 | | | 1. | Relaps | se. | | |

| 1 | IV. | Membership. | | | | | | |
|----|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | A. What is it (What does it mean)?(NA membership vs. g | | | | | | |
| 3 | | membership, committee membership) | | | | | | |
| 4 | | B. Responsibilites and privieges. | | | | | | |
| 5 | | C. It's not automatic (we don't feel a part of if we're still using; | | | | | | |
| 6 | | we only exclude those who exclude themselves). | | | | | | |
| 7 | | 1. Intolerance and prejudice. | | | | | | |
| 8 | | 2. Using membership as a justification for poor | | | | | | |
| 9 | | behaviour. | | | | | | |
| 10 | V. | Special needs (common purpose) groups/meetings. | | | | | | |
| 11 | VI. | Application of Tradition 3 to members, groups and NA as a | | | | | | |
| 12 | | whole. | | | | | | |
| 13 | VII. | Conclusion and lead in to Tradition 4. | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

 What is a desire to stop using? Some of us got to N.A. completely broken, and were looking for any way out of the life we were living. Others were uncertain that drugs were a problem at all. Coming to meetings does not automatically signify membership. Any addict can ask him/herself these questions: "Do I have <u>any</u> desire to stop using? Do I want to be a member of Narcotics Anonymous?" We answer these questions to our selves, not to anyone else. We are also free to change our minds at any time. Clean addicts encouraged us to come to meetings even if we were still using, but they told us that complete abstinence from all mood-altering chemicals was the only thing that had ever worked for them. So a desire can be shown simply by attending meetings.

The words "membership" and "desire" are highlighted words in this tradition. What is membership in Narcotics Anonymous? Membership is belonging. We have a saying, "You are a member when you say you are." This is a Fellowship whose membership does not require any type of accomplishment or payment. There are no dues or fees in N.A. You don't have to do anything to attain membership. You have only to desire abstinence from drugs. The fact that a desire to stop using was all that was required opened the doors for many of us.

What would become of N.A. if we were to place terms and conditions on membership? Without Tradition Three, some of us would fight to keep our program from being diluted by those we thought didn't belong. We might use such prejudices as race, color, creed, handicap, sexual orientation, religion or lack of it, national origin, or even height or weight to exclude those we thought undesirable. These aspects of the

human condition are totally irrelevant to drug addiction and recovery from this disease. We need to remember that if we had been barred from N.A.'s doors, we might well have died.

We are made up of all different kinds of people. Anyone may be a member, no matter what background, if they have a desire to stop using. No matter what drug you used, if you have a desire to stop, and you want to be a member, then you are a member. This gives us the choice of allowing ourselves and others the right to remain here. No one knows the dark and lonely path we have walked; yet we all share what we find here through this tradition.

At first it may be difficult for some of us to relate to people who used differently than we did, but we are told to, "Look for the similarities rather than the differences." If we keep an open mind, we see that the feelings we experienced while using, and in our recovery, are very much the same. We have no right to judge someone else's right to membership.

Some members of our Fellowship have been able to see and face their own addiction only after seeking help for the sake of a spouse, child or other loved one. Whatever road we took to find our way to N.A., we came to believe that it was our own personal Higher Power who ultimately got us here. The saying is: "No one comes through these doors by mistake." We are welcomed into the Fellowship of Narcotics Anonymous simply because we have a desire to stop using. Many of us did not desire to stop using at first, but by attending meetings our outlook changed, and we gained a desire to stop using.

No one asks for our credentials. We paid our dues "out there," but that is our business, and we don't have to prove it. Many of us lost our jobs, homes, cars, families, etc. Many of us wondered if this was the right place for us. Did we use enough drugs? Would we be accepted if

we only smoked pot or took prescription pills or drank alcohol? Do we have to have a police record or a litany of trips to mental institutions? These questions were quickly answered through the love and acceptance of the Fellowship. When this tradition registers in our brains, it gives us the freedom to belong and stay if we choose to. We are all in the meeting for the same reason: We don't want to use drugs any more, and

Narcotics Anonymous "is a program of complete abstinence from all drugs." Even so, our doors remain open to those addicts still clinging to forms of denial. These may include our brothers and sisters who, for instance, stop shooting narcotics, but hold to the use of other mindaltering chemicals in a last-ditch effort to keep getting high. There are some, too, who use over and over again. They, too, may recover--if they come back before completing the self-destruction which is the essence of active addiction. No one can be kicked out of our Fellowship. If we closed our doors to those who weren't able yet to stay clean or may not be ready, we would be aiding in their death by preventing their recovery. As long as they bring no drugs or paraphernalia into meetings, all addicts are welcome.

While we may get frustrated with perpetual newcomers, we must first thank the God of our understanding that our own obsession/compulsion to use has been arrested just for today, and then quickly remind ourselves that the only requirement for N.A. membership is a desire to stop using.

Desire is not a thinking process, but rather a feeling process which requires fulfillment of its own. It is not a want or a need; it is a longing. Almost everyone has felt a longing for something or someone in his/her life. While many of us have felt a desperate need to belong, our lack of self-worth and fear of failure usually prevented us from risking

we need help in living clean.

involvement. But even when we didn't think we could meet the demands of a gang or other groups, we found that we could live up to N.A.'s simple membership requirement: a desire to stop using.

When we first arrived at Narcotics Anonymous, most of us knew that we were sick and had to do something different. We were lonely; we had no self-worth and knew nothing of being clean. All we knew was that we needed help and had nowhere else to go. Most of us had already tried everything else. When we first heard Tradition Three, it brought relief. We didn't know what would be expected of us nor what we would have to do to achieve happiness. Truthfully, many of us did not want to stop using drugs; addiction was all we knew. It is not required to be clean to attend N.A. meetings, but it is strongly suggested. We found that all we needed to keep coming back was a desire to stop using. Some of us didn't think drugs were our problem anyway. We had tried to blame our using on life's situations, but we soon found that this was not the case. In our hearts, we eventually realized that drugs just didn't work anymore.

Our desires may be obscure and buried deep beneath our defenses and fears, but the longer we stay clean, the more intense our desire for recovery becomes. We choose the N.A. Program as our philosophy for life because it shows us, one day at a time, how to live in this world without using or abusing ourselves or others.

Most of us come to N.A. to escape the suffering of active addiction. We stay to experience the joy of living. We pray that the doors of Narcotics Anonymous will remain open to anyone and everyone who stumbles in. Our primary concern is that newcomers have a safe haven to come to, and that they find recovery through the Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions of Narcotics Anonymous.

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

The Third Tradition looks so straightforward that there doesn't seem to be much to think about. It doesn't say you have to be clean for six days or six weeks or six months in order to be a member of N.A. It doesn't say you have to have an I.Q. of 120, or be at least a high school graduate, or bring home x number of dollars every week, or even hold a job. All it says is that you have to want to stop using. The doors are open to all suffering addicts. What could be simpler than that?

Like all the Twelve Traditions, however, the Third is both simple and complex; it digs a lot deeper than meets the eye superficially. Like all the others it helps preserve N.A.'s unity, shows how group problems can be avoided or solved, encourages us to focus our energies fully on recovery rather than on distracting side issues, and helps us in our personal recovery.

The Third Tradition concerns itself with the requirement for membership in Narcotics Anonymous. Basically, there's just one requirement—a desire to quit using. Sometimes that urge is already strongly there when a newcomer first walks into an N.A. meeting. Sometimes the desire doesn't assert itself meaningfully until later on, when we finally wake up to the fact that the disease of addiction is robbing us of our lives. Seeing other addicts, who started with the desire and have been clean for months or years, helps a lot. It quickens our own wish for a drug-free life.

Having a "desire" to stop using is, however, far from the same thing as actually not using. It's an enormously positive step in the

direction of sanity, but desire is the thought that's father to the action.

2 Actually not using is the action.

Many different paths brought us to N.A.'s doors, and the strength of our desire to stop using varied from person to person. Some of us were sick, broke and beaten down. We were desperate. N.A. seemed like our last hope for sanity and survival. Fearful and trembling we knew, however dimly, that it was N.A. or death--and we weren't prepared to die.

Some others of us would, if pressed to the wall, admit that maybe we weren't functioning well. But we were a long way from admitting that drugs were a life-and-death issue. If we were asked why we came to N.A., we'd say something like, "I came out of curiosity," or "So my family would shut up." One now-dedicated N.A. member first attended meetings because of something less than what he later called "genuine desire." Initially he came to meetings because his wife was becoming more and more upset about his using. She insisted that he had to do something, and he came, as he said, "to get her off my back." Then he came to meetings because he was curious about all these people who had horrible drug stories to tell. Later he came because he thought, "I don't like what I've got, I'd better try something else." Finally he came because this low-key desire grew stronger; he liked that "something else" and wanted more of it.

Some of us first came to N.A. not only denying we were drug addicts powerless over our addiction, we also put up a good front denying N.A. really had anything to offer us. One such member had a longing for the blessings that recovering addicts had, but he couldn't believe their blessings had anything to do with being clean. He saw how they smiled and seemed happy--saw that good things were happening to them. But for some time he needed to believe that the N.A. Program was a phony.

- 1 He was convinced that members were really using but pretending not to.
- 2 It was only when the longing for something better in his own life was
- 3 strong enough that he finally had to admit the N.A. Program of recovery
- 4 was no hoax.

There were countless ways we could pretend N.A. wasn't really for us or we for it. A woman who had used an enormous quantity of drugs over the years listened to other members sharing their recovery experiences and went away from her first N.A. meeting thinking, "Maybe I haven't used enough to qualify." When she heard about a drug she hadn't used, she thought, "Maybe I'm not really an addict because I haven't used that one." When she heard a member talk about having been in prison, she thought, "Maybe I don't belong here because I haven't been locked up."

Another member told herself she wasn't really the N.A. type because she was so bad, so helpless and hopeless, the program probably wouldn't work for her. A third went out and used a drug she first heard about in N.A.; her excuse was, "It will help me qualify for membership." But it was really just an excuse to use again. Later, when she really wanted to recover, she realized that the desire to stop using was the only ticket she needed for admission to N.A.

Some of us came to N.A. convinced we wouldn't be accepted because we were so unacceptable as persons. We were bitter, self-hating, self-rejecting to an extreme. Because we'd been rejected so often in the outside world, we figured the same thing would happen in N.A. So we took the attitude, "I'll reject you before you reject me," and showed ourselves at our defensive, defiant, hostile worst. Maybe we were subconsciously hoping to be kicked out if we made ourselves nasty enough. But Tradition Three says nothing about having to measure up to certain positive character traits as a qualification for membership.

- 1 Confronting and dealing with our character defects is an integral part of
- 2 the program itself. So, in most instances, the groups we were in waited
- 3 for us to cool down and accept the gift of caring which they offered us.
- 4 We were accepted because we were suffering addicts having the desire to
- 5 stop using, nothing more.

Then there were those of us who first stepped into an N.A. meeting because we'd gotten into trouble with the law, and the judge or probation officer or somebody else with legal power over us had said, "Either attend N.A. meetings or go to jail." Who wouldn't rather be in N.A. than in jail? Many of us with such court orders had the naive notion that N.A. could teach us to use drugs in a way that wouldn't get us into trouble. But even when we were quickly disabused of that notion we stayed. Was it because we didn't want to chance violating the court order or was something else making us come back week after week?

The point is that if someone doesn't have the desire to stop, not even the threat of being locked up is going to have any effect. On the other hand, court-order members who show up at N.A. meetings regularly may insist, "I'm only here because I don't want to go to jail." And in part that may be true--but only in part. Nobody has a gun to our heads and is marching us to meetings. In fact, some addicts with court orders don't stay. We're not ready for the kind of searching self-confrontation the program requires. We don't want to struggle with the Twelve Steps, the Traditions, the literature and the rest of the program. Our desire still isn't strong enough and we decide, "It's easier to go do time, nobody bothers you, you get three hots and a cot and when your time is up it's over." Those of us who do keep coming back to N.A. have made the choice to do so, and in the end it doesn't really have much to do with legal requirements or the threat of jail. Though it may take us

some time to admit it, we want something better for ourselves than we have.

In N.A. we see that the strength of a person's desire to stop using doesn't necessarily have a direct connection with his outside circumstances. An addict may be sick, homeless, desperate--yet run away in panic after a meeting or two, still more attached to addiction than to survival. We can be in the hands of psychiatrists, drug counselors, ministers, judges, jailers--we can be prayed over, or abandoned by everyone who cared about us--but the desire to stop using won't be there strongly until the pain of our existence forces us to do so.

On the other hand, the desire to stop using can be stronger than we first realize. It can be quickened into life when we see a collection of recovering addicts. They had been just like us. They had used. They had made a mess of their lives. They had been steeped in misery--but no longer. They are now clean. Now they hold on to jobs. Now they have real friendships, loving relationships. Now they don't rush out to use pills or pot or coke or heroin every time something bad--or good--happens to them. They don't rush out for a fix, period. That's powerful stuff, if we let it be--a tremendous impetus to change.

A Narcotics Anonymous slogan goes, "You're a member when you say you are." Some of us laughed when we first heard that slogan. It sounded so easy. We thought: Okay, then you can shoot up and still be a member of N.A. because you say you are. You can pop pills and still be a member because you say you are. You can get stoned out of this world and still be a member because you say you are. Easy? Ridiculous!

When we gave the matter a little more thought, though, we realized that it wasn't ridiculous at all. Sure, anybody can say they're a member of N.A. as long as they say they have the desire. That's what the Third Tradition says and it's all to the good because it emphasizes

how open N.A.'s doors are to any addict who wants to enter. But just saying, "I'm a member" isn't all there is to it. Membership isn't automatic. We can be members in name only--while we keep on using, fail to work the steps, read the literature or carry the message of recovery to other addicts. But it's like holding a boarding pass to a ship that's going on a wonderful cruise and saying, "I'm a passenger"--meanwhile staying on the dock, watching that ship sail off into the sunset.

Only we can make ourselves true members by what we do or don't do. The God of our understanding might have led us to N.A., but it becomes our responsibility, with His help, to go on from there. To show up at meetings regularly. To read the literature. To reach out and ask for help, even though we might be scared to death of doing so. To ask questions. One woman, in N.A. for three months, kept telling her sponsor, "I say I'm a member, but so what? People at meetings are nice to me, but I just don't feel like I belong." Her sponsor replied, "You don't feel you're a member, but the fact is, your desire to stop using is your membership card. You're going to have a good membership card or a bad membership card. You fill it in yourself, you know.

Thinking about what her sponsor had said, she realized how superficial her involvement in N.A. had been. Even going through the steps had been a mechanical process with her. Only then, with the help of lots of prayer and meditation, did she put some meaning into being a member.

Many of us have had a similar experience--at first we were pretty superficial in the way we worked the program. It was more on the order of once over lightly. Later we became more involved and committed--and that's when we really began to get something solid out of our membership in N.A.

Many of us were still using when we first came to N.A. and some of us kept on using--steadily or intermittently--for a while. Every time we stopped we'd say, "Now I'm cured," ease up on the program, and relapse. Some of us fooled ourselves another way. We'd quit using one drug but start using another, telling ourselves this other one wasn't half as bad. But the use of any mood-altering drug is simply another last-ditch effort to keep getting loaded. Clean addicts encouraged us to come to meetings anyway, but they made it clear that complete abstinence from all drugs was the only thing that ever worked for them.

Not all newcomers who find it so hard to stop using or stay clean get the caring and concern they need. Some groups are impatient with these addicts--they ignore them, make them feel unwelcome or actually ask them to leave. We tend to react so negatively to somebody else's difficulty with abstinence when we ourselves aren't far enough along in our own recovery. We haven't shed our judgmental nature, that all-too-common facet of our disease. We still feel threatened by anyone who can't stop using because it reminds us of our own strong vulnerability to drugs.

Neglecting newcomers or treating them inhospitably is, however, definitely hazardous to their health and welfare. As individuals and as groups we must always reach out to addicts who come to us for help-make them feel welcome and wanted. Anything less is a violation of our traditions and reflects badly on the spiritual maturity of the groups involved.

Tradition Three is very clear about the fact that only the desire to quit need be there, that no one can be kicked out of our Fellowship for using. If we closed our doors to those who weren't yet ready to be clean we'd be putting their survival at risk by denying them the chance to recover. We'd be putting our survival at risk, as well, and jeopardize

- 1 N.A.'s reason for being. Newcomers are the lifeblood of our Fellowship.
- 2 As long as they bring no drugs or paraphernalia into meetings, the Third
- 3 Tradition says, all addicts are welcome. Ours is an equal-opportunity
- 4 program: everybody has an equal chance at recovery; it's up to us to
- 5 take advantage of that chance. In this spirit, remarkable things can
- 6 happen. It took one member over a decade to stop using drugs and stay
- 7 clean; he kept coming back and the groups to which he returned
- 8 continued to root for him. A decade is a long time, but the point is that
- 9 finally he did make it. You never know.

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

When an addict attends a meeting while high, that's another matter. That's a delicate situation, yet it can be worked out within the boundaries of good sense and in the spirit of the tradition. Only if someone is truly disruptive, actually interfering with the atmosphere of recovery, should he be asked to leave. Groups have handled members in a variety of successful ways, depending on the circumstances. For instance, loaded persons have been asked to sit quietly and just listen, or have been taken out for coffee. When an addict insists on sharing while under the influence of drugs, the other members in the room may not have a choice but to let him talk-recognizing, however, that his message is one of pain and suffering, not hope and recovery.

While we may get frustrated with addicts who come in high or are perpetual newcomers, we can best deal with that frustration by thanking our Higher Power that our own obsession/compulsion to use has been blocked just for today. It also helps to remind ourselves how important our own sense of belonging, which we developed in our N.A. groups, was to our recovery. The most constructive thing we can do is to extend loving acceptance to those who come to us for help, no matter how difficult that occasionally is

Being accepting can be very difficult for us until we've been in the Fellowship for a long time. As far as the Third Tradition is concerned, though, all suffering addicts are welcome to join. For this reason, N.A. requires no fees or dues for membership. Though a basket is always passed around at meetings, to defray expenses and carry the message of recovery to addicts "out there," nobody has to put any money in. If some members are so broke today they can't contribute anything-well, maybe next time they can.

That no terms and conditions are placed on membership guarantees newcomers will not be excluded because of the prejudices of established members. It prevents members and groups of members from labelling anyone as "undesirable" because of race, creed, handicap, economic status, sexual orientation, religion, national origin or anything else. This open door policy is what our spiritual program is all abouthelping all addicts no matter who, what or where they are. In its best it weaves an atmosphere of concern and caring that makes newcomers feel accepted—"at home."

Yet it's also true that our groups aren't always as loving or caring as they might be. Groups are made up of individuals, after all, and on the whole individual N.A. members may not be more or less prejudiced than non-addicts, but not a one of us came into N.A. prejudice-free. Not one of us came in feeling so good about ourselves we didn't badly need somebody to feel superior to. When we were using, many of us felt superior to non-users, the ones we derisively called the "straights." When we came into N.A. and were in the midst of recovering addicts, we needed other immediate targets we could slight or mock.

If there wasn't anything else we could think of, and we felt worthless enough, we could always feel superior to newcomers and other N.A. members who hadn't used the way we had. We could set up a

"ladder of success" at the top of which were the "baddest" addicts--our kind. We could pride ourselves on having used the harshest drugs, done the meanest things, been in the roughest prisons.

This attitude, "If you aren't a real dope fiend like me you don't belong," clearly conflicts with both the spirit and substance of Tradition Three. Ours is an all-embracing program. It doesn't require us to use any specific type of drug in order to qualify for membership. It doesn't specify how awful our life had to be. It doesn't offer any guidelines about what we should or shouldn't have left in the way of worldly possessions. All it asks of us is to have the desire to stop using.

In one way or another, all of us addicts have suffered. We've all walked down dark and lonely paths. We've all amply paid our dues while using and we don't have to prove to anyone what miseries we've seen. Every addict who found recovery because of our program might have died if N.A.'s doors had been barred to him.

Prejudice, snobbishness and smugness are some of the ways our addiction talks to us. As we turn to God for help in raising our self-esteem and removing our character defects, as we begin to feel better about ourselves, we change. The need to feel superior at somebody's expense becomes less and less urgent. The need to hate others because we hate ourselves so much wanes. We become more compassionate. We come to see more clearly the bonds we share with others. All of us having suffered from the disease of addiction, we can find ourselves in all our members. Thus, our sense of humanity expands. Our disease is the common denominator; we can find ourselves in all our members.

Tradition Three clearly says that our doors are open to everyone who has "a desire to stop using." At times this has been misinterpreted. The desire to stop using what? Some people show up at N.A. meetings when their problem is addiction to food. Some people show up who don't

use drugs but can't stop playing cards or roulette or something else for money-they're compulsive gamblers. Some people, aware that N.A. is a Twelve Step Program, have assumed it could help them solve serious personal problems or emotional illness.

The compulsion to use obviously isn't confined to drugs. There are suffering addicts of all types in the world, all powerless over their addictions. But no Twelve Step Program, no matter how effective, can be all things to all people. Though Tradition Three doesn't go on to say membership is open to all who use drugs, our name makes this very clear. God led us to found an organization that would help addicts recover from mind-altering drugs. If our spiritual message of recovery were to include addicts who eat or gamble addictively, that message would be diluted, quite possibly beyond any effectiveness. We would lose our thrust, our focus. And newcomers with drug problems could easily feel that they were in the wrong place if, for example, they heard long discussions by people who need to binge on ice cream. No addiction is trivial, but God has directed our particular program to deal with drugs.

Some of us already belonged to another Twelve Step Program when we came to N.A. We, too, were welcomed. All that was asked of us was that at N.A. meetings we confine our discussions to the N.A. meeting. Narcotics Anonymous welcomes all addicts, those who belong to other Twelve Step groups as well as those who don't. Membership in another Twelve Step group doesn't violate our traditions or do harm to our spiritual program, as long as N.A. meetings are strictly limited to N.A. matters. However, some of us who do belong to N.A. groups bring to N.A. the notion of "dual addiction" or "cross addiction." We quickly learn that the concepts of dual and cross addiction are definitely not in accord with N.A.'s philosophy and program. In N.A. we don't differentiate between drugs or addictions. For us, addiction is the

disease, addiction no matter what form it takes is the bottom line. We may use more than one drug, we may express our addiction in more than one way. But we don't think of ourselves as dual or cross-addicts--we identify ourselves as addicts, period.

A number of us with drug problems also came to N.A. bearing labels pinned on us by psychiatrists and other mental health professionals from institutions and treatment centers. We might have been diagnosed as neurotic or psychotic or perhaps been given a more specific clinical name. Though such labels may not always be helpful or accurate, the drugs we took and the addictive lives we led were undeniably damaging to our emotional stability. In N.A. we found that once we stopped using and began to develop spiritually we could lead the stable lives we found it impossible to live while under the power of the drugs. Often, then, we no longer thought or acted in the ways that brought on those psychiatric labels. But of course that's not the same thing as saying N.A. can cure psychiatric problems. It can't. N.A.'s sole function is to carry the message of recovery to drug addicts.

After we've been in the Fellowship for a time, most of us realize that our initial contact with N.A. was neither accidental nor coincidental. Our God, our Higher Power--whatever we choose to call this Power greater than ourselves--brought us to N.A.'s doors. In most instances we found those doors open--but no one forced us to enter. Once we entered, no one forced us to stay. Once we were settled in, no one forced us to work the program meaningfully. Once we began to work the program seriously, no one forced us to recover at a set pace or schedule.

The Third Tradition places the responsibility for recovery squarely with us. We can choose to be members or not. We can choose to be involved members or not. We can come freely and openly to an N.A. meeting--and if we wish to leave, do so just as freely. In not setting up

membership requirements, our Fellowship declares that every addict has the right to recover--that nothing should stand in the way if the desire is there.

The Third Tradition enables us who are addicts to see that recovery is no pipedream and that life without drugs is better than we could have imagined. Yet though Narcotics Anonymous has no formal membership requirements beyond a desire to stop using, the Third Tradition offers us a wonderful opportunity. It's the opportunity to give back that which is being given to us. To help other addicts as other addicts have helped us. Many of us, having had a long history of simply taking, find that being able to give in return is more than an opportunity—it's a privilege.

In fact, the meaning the Third Tradition has for us deepens the longer we stay clean. We see ever more clearly that there's a big difference between being an addict in N.A. and fully being an N.A. member. Membership in the fullest sense of the word does have its obligations and responsibilities—and we welcome them.

1 2

Newsline Articles

This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees in 1984. It represents views at the time of writing.

Tradition interpretation is probably not something the average member gives much thought to. However, to ensure the continuation and growth of Narcotics Anonymous, effort must be made by groups and individual members to understand and practice the Traditions. The N.A. program is still in maintenance of the Traditions to ensure our survival.

Implementation of the Traditions affects every individual seeking recovery. It is therefore very important for the newcomer to understand that all one needs is a desire to stop using drugs, as they come to their first meeting. After they have accepted this fact and begin to practice it, they can consider themselves members of Narcotics Anonymous. At their first meetings they will meet people from all walks of life who were as hopelessly addicted to drugs as they were.

Confusion can easily be caused in a newcomer's thinking and understanding of the N.A. Program, if the group is not properly versed in the Twelve Steps and Traditions themselves. The group's responsibility is to provide the necessary atmosphere for recovery. Stable meetings with a single purpose coupled with an understanding of N.A. Traditions and led by people who are recovering addicts are the best ways to fulfill that responsibility.

Care must be shown so as not to dilute the understanding of the Traditions by trying to have N.A. be all things to all people. We can be grateful to A.A. as the grandfather of all Twelve Step programs and we can provide guidance to those from other programs such as O.A., G.A., and others. But N.A. is not a warehouse for members of other programs. People from these other programs can certainly learn from N.A. as we learned from A.A., but their participation should not include involvement in the decisions made by an N.A. group, nor should those individuals serve as officers of groups or special service committees.

It must be remembered that Tradition Three speaks of a desire to stop using, which for N.A. has a parenthetical phrase immediately following which would correctly say, "drugs and narcotics." The phrase should not be interpreted as including other problems such as gambling, overeating, or other such matters.

If N.A. meetings were diverted from the purpose of carrying the message of recovery from drugs or narcotics, to that of carrying the message of recovery from overeating or other matters, newcomers who were addicts and had a desire to stop using might not feel that N.A. was for them and might never return. If we follow the Traditions carefully, there will always be a place for the still-suffering addict to find a meeting and find recovery.

Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 1, No. 8

1 2

General Input

Do members have to be clean for a prescribed period of time before doing certain kinds of service work or accepting more responsible positions?

Of course they do! All of our successive forms of our structure have had clean time requirements. This includes `The N.A. Tree,' The `Blue Manual,' the `Green Manual' and `The Temporary working Guide to our Service Structure.'

The reason for this is that our disease affects our service performance in various ways, and generally more clean time will allow our members to grow into service roles rather than be thrust into them. Our `trusted' servants have often fallen prey to the various temptations which might go with their service positions. Service is not power. Service does not pay except in spiritual terms. Structural service is not too different and is certainly not more spiritual than personal, member to member service. In fact, there have never been any trusted servants who succeeded in service who were not committed to personal service

and their own recovery program. Appearances have made this lesson difficult but time speaks in its own way and teaches us that the best we can hope to be is instruments of our own Higher Powers. There is a mysterious side to service.

It is hard to say exactly where the mundane leaves off and the miracle begins. Evidence that some miraculous Power is at work is best seen from afar sometimes... Still, members can feel its presence or absence. Appearances cannot explain the recovery of even one of our members, much less the hundreds of thousands. We all had pain and problems and lots of reasons to quit using before N.A. but we could not stop and somehow, now, we can.

In doing service, we have to have some prior knowledge of these things or we will think of ourselves as the CHAIR of all these members, their pitiful lives in our hands. That is patently NOT SO.

The ability to respond to the duties of a service position without getting caught up in and distracted by the way others see us or treat us is the real reason for the clean time requirements. When all else is said and done, can we show up for the committee meetings? Can we get the agendas and minutes out on time? Can we help others who might be having some problems doing their part without forgetting totally our own? Can we do our part as trusted servants without getting sanctimonious, critical of others or self righteous?

Without discounting any of the foregoing, experience with our Twelve Steps is included for an obvious reason along with statements regarding our Traditions and our clean time. They all go together and we experience them simultaneously. As far as 'Knowledge of the Twelve Steps' goes, can we be expected to serve the needs of others if we haven't gotten comfortable with our surrender to our disease? Can we serve without the faith it takes to work out our Third Step and let the

God of our understanding take care of our lives? Can we serve with our character defects intact? Can we serve without the ability to admit error where we have wronged someone? The answer to all these questions is NO. Now, reality has extended itself in our favor enough for meetings to get started and addicts to get clean in even the smaller towns where we know there has been little if any ongoing recovery. We also know that these types of meetings have consistently died out. Sometimes literally, until our literature efforts had produced our Basic Text, Narcotics Anonymous.

This Tradition says anyone who has the desire to stop using is a member — yet also says membership is not automatic simply because someone walks in the N.A. door. Can someone who still uses be an N.A. member?

The meaning of this is simply that it is our giving evidence of our desire for recovery which makes us accepted as members by the N.A. Fellowship. This begins inwardly when the desire to quit using begins in some terrible moment when we realize that the drugs are using us and we can for the first time feel our disease robbing us of our lives. The process continues when we walk through the N.A. doors and find other addicts like ourselves who have the desire to live clean. We are still a long way from real recovery. We listen, we share and we learn that living clean is possible and that the program might work for us. We see others relapse and yet we are clean. We realize gradually that we will continue in recovery if we just keep doing what works for us.

'Membership' is the recognition of our desire for recovery among those who are already our members. We have seen those who are obviously 'qualified' for N.A. membership in terms of their using, but their lack of desire keeps them from putting their recovery first and they get loaded. Many of those among us today have had to go through

prolonged periods of relapsing before their desire became stronger than their disease. This is the price many of us have had to pay.

If this sounds judgmental on the part of our members, it is not. It is something of the heart. The language imposes limitations not of our making. Everyone who comes to N.A. seeking recovery from the disease of addiction is accepted. This is remarkable because we well know that everyone who seeks recovery may not be an addict. Perhaps they have other problems which make them want the acceptance and closeness we share among ourselves. These people may be accepted and think of themselves as members and yet not be addicts. This would be a moot point to bring out except to illustrate that our acceptance of newcomers far outweighs any tendency to exclude those who might seek our help.

Membership is not automatic because only we can make ourselves members by the things we do. The point needs to be emphasized that recovery is our responsibility. It is our actions and inactions which will define us - not what others think or even say about us. In the final analysis our membership will be acknowledged by our Fellows if it is there. They will see it in us when we reach out for help although hurting terribly and scared to death. They will notice us taking time to help another who needs our help. They will notice that we are asking questions and showing up at meetings on a regular basis. In time they will see the pain go out of our faces and realize that our obsession to use has been lifted from us. When they try to reach out to help in some way, they will feel our acceptance of their kindness.

We define using in terms which are both self-willed and selfdestructive. To answer the last question, using alone may not constitute relapse. In times of illness or physical injury, we and many members have come through periods of using in the sense that they had drugs in their systems but did not show the characteristic behaviors that go with active addiction. They continued to ask for help, continued to pray and exhibited personal honesty. All these things, and yet they had the same chemicals in their bodies which they used in active addiction. Whether they went through detox at the end of treatment, they were willing.

Far more often, we have seen the slightest tendency to deny or be dishonest grow to overwhelm our members into active, unmistakable addiction. We see the themes played out in our program constantly and see ourselves as subject to the same tendencies which have killed so many of us. In our gratitude and our selfless service, we do the best we can to stay clean and help others because it is the only way we can have any hope. Judging others by their performance and ourselves by our motives is one mistake we have all made. There are those among us who are forced to take prescribed medication for illness beyond their control and they do exhibit all the signs of recovery. They are indistinguishable from other members, so the answer must be 'ves'.

Back to the original point about distinguishing between self determined taking in of drugs versus a car wreck where we are dosed while we are out cold by the side of the road. It is sad that this hair splitting goes on.

Active addiction doesn't mess around. The disease takes us and we do whatever the disease requires. We don't jolly along, going to meetings asking questions about recovery, reading spiritual literature and attempting to serve in various ways others who may benefit from our actions. WE USE. If an addict is doing their best to stay clean and showing any kind of desire at all, they can find some N.A. member who believes in them and will help them in their recovery. They deserve and need all the encouragement they can get and we try to give it to them.

One more messy point: lose your newcomer's respect and you lose your newcomer...Amazingly, we learn in N.A. how to stand ready to help

but will not do so until we are asked. This is because we intuitively know that it is the reaching for help, which helps, not what we can receive from the outside. All we can really do is respond honestly to the self admitted need of another like ourselves. Of course, we get a lot of practice in N.A.

A general question on membership: How do people find N.A.? Specifically, are some court-referred?

Court referral is no hindrance to N.A. membership. The crucial elements are the personal pain we experience in our active addiction and the feelings of relief and hopefulness we find in contact with other clean addicts following the N.A. way of life. In other words, how we find the Program is not so important as finding it.

Considered as a disease, this apparent problem, i.e., how can something as Worldly as a court system lead to clean addicts leading spiritual lives, becomes properly simplified. Someone with cancer who found freedom from their disease as a result of legal direction would likely say, "So what! I'm glad that I found a way to arrest my disease. I'm grateful, even to the court!"

"Desire is the key word. Desire is the basis of our recovery." Recovery is of equal importance to each and every addict. It is our ability to accept the personal responsibility of it that varies. We all have one thing in common. We suffer from the disease of addiction, and will to some degree, until we are relieved of the responsibility human life carries with it.

"A desire to stop using" is not "the only requirement for membership" (Tradition 3) of our committees. Some of us must be elected, others may be chosen by drawing lots. A desire to comply with the spiritual intent of this principle is necessary to P.I. work and will be reflected in our Fellowship. "Addiction does not discriminate. This

| 1 | Tradition is to insure that any addict, regardless of drugs used, race, |
|----|--|
| 2 | religion, beliefs, sex, sexual preference, or financial conditions, is free to |
| 3 | practice the N.A. way of life." |
| 4 | Open-mindedness seems to be called for here. In order to work |
| 5 | within the spirit of this Tradition, we need to be aware of what we |
| 6 | haven't been doing, and plan our P.I. efforts accordingly. We may find it |
| 7 | helpful to ask ourselves some tough questions. Find out who is missing |
| 8 | from our meetings, and why. |
| 9 | Vigilance and desire, when united, become honest effort. The |
| 10 | Third Tradition gives definition to our anonymity, and "Anonymity is the |
| 11 | spiritual foundation of all our Traditions." Our efforts are our recovery |
| 12 | speaking to the world of our existence. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | ********************** |
| 15 | Please accept for inclusion in the Third Tradition portion of It |
| 16 | Works: How and Why the following correlation suggested by the |
| 17 | principle inherent in our Third Tradition: |
| 18 | "One correlation suggested by the principle of our Third Tradition |
| 19 | is any person with the desire to stop using may attend any regular N.A. |
| 20 | meeting, anywhere a regular meeting is being held, and if a person with |
| 21 | the desire to stop using is kept from attending the N.A. meeting because |
| 22 | of any rule or regulation outside of our Twelve Traditions, then the |
| 23 | meeting is in violation of N.A.'s Third Tradition." |
| 24 | |
| 25 | ************ |
| 26 | Do I touch on the early days in N.A. when pill users weren't |

welcome, to illustrate how N.A. has expanded its scope since then?

In the days when there was no literature, our members had not yet discovered the power of simply telling the truth in writing. Since those times, an enormous increase in written materials has resulted from the discovery that as long as we are speaking from our real personal and collective experience, no one can deny us.

For this reason, and the value of letting our history speak for our principles, we should tell our story as completely and honestly as we can.

The phrase 'expanded its scope' is imprecise. We have simply learned more about our disease and our recovery process. Tradition Three is developed in Narcotics Anonymous to include rather than exclude. One definition of 'narcotics' is 'sleep or dream inducing' and this word tells a lot about our disease. While Webster's may never change, we share the pain of our nightmares and the joy of awakening to find ourselves clean, alive and not alone.

Not only pill addicts were excluded. Our recovery process was only known to a certain point. Surely there were isionaries but judging by the divisive elements we have had to overcome in our own times, their influence was probably limited. One of the purposes which guided us in the creation of our Basic Text was the knowledge that we would no longer limit our message to our personalities. Even today the problem of getting along with the personality in a small town with perhaps only one meeting a week is only surmountable because we have at last a written message. In days gone by these small meetings almost always died out.

The principle of anonymity applies here because we have learned to set aside our personal preference in favor of the primary purpose of our groups. We have learned that what is good for N.A. is usually best for us.

Are people who are clean but use prescription drugs on doctor's orders "users" or not?

Again, the only way which presents itself to answer such a question is to observe the people who come to our meetings, claim membership in N.A. and are recognized as such by their local Fellowship. In other words, theorizing or moralizing about this type of question is generally useless and arouses passions among our people without really helping anyone. It is true that N.A. is a program of total abstinence and that clean means not using any chemicals whatsoever. It is also true that we eat, drink coffee and many of us smoke cigarettes! All of these things have some effect on our `cleanliness,' state of mind and spiritual condition. The great thing about N.A. is that we have a practical approach to these things which may not always be easy to write down but works out pretty well in practice.

In all likelihood, most if not all of us clean addicts will at some time have to take some prescribed medication whether we want it or not, for medical reasons. The same principles which help us stay clean in normal circumstances do not cease to work for us here. Prayer, being honest with our doctors and asking for the special help we need to get through a period of illness from our friends can help us maintain our recovery.

"Users" are addicts in a state of active addiction. Sick people who may be recovering addicts in N.A. with no real say so in the matter do not generally exhibit the qualities associated with being loaded. They don't fear discovery. They don't lie, cheat or steal. If they do these things, they shift from using prescribed drugs to using whatever they can get their hands on pretty fast. So, in practice there is usually a recognizable difference between someone who is using as opposed to taking medication on doctor's orders. In fact, many of these who claim to

have been taking prescribed medication and have done as well as 1 members, have slipped into hellish pain and insanity when they 2 discontinued the medication because they wanted to be 'really clean.' 3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

29

Using should be defined with all the attributes of selfcenteredness, dishonesty and fear that goes with active addiction. Medication in times of illness has always been in a sort of `not good but let's try to make the best of it and stand by our friend who is hurting' category. Never will we as a Fellowship condone 'using'. In practice, we do well with our members who get sick and reach out for help.

Personally, I don't think an addict in active addiction can take prescribed drugs as prescribed. This 'as prescribed' factor is enough of a qualifier to separate in my mind someone who is using to get high from someone who has surrendered and is doing as they are told by a physician knowledgeable about the disease of addiction. More and more doctors are becoming knowledgeable about addiction as well as our recovery process. Our message has to include useful information on how to avoid relapse in illness.

There seems to be some question as to whether all members are properly represented, prison inmates, for example.

Our service structure is a response to our need for order and the functional needs of our Fellowship. I can agree with the technical point that group conscience materials rarely make it inside prison walls but this is a situation which may be corrected at any time. There is no obstacle to such distribution of group conscience materials and input In fact, we have the genesis of informing, from such members. involving and gathering input from such members today in the form of the 'Reaching Out.'

28 It is hard to emphasize this need in a time period where we are still working out some of the base lines for structures yet to come. The

occasion of this input is a case in point! Group conscience materials do
not make it through our structure to most members period, not just
those in jail. It is not anyone's fault, either. The members who are
really interested in them tend to get them and those who aren't will
leave them on the table if you don't put them in their hands.

Difference between group and N.A. membership.

(I am embarrassed to respond to this question because it sounds like an individual member's opinion is being questioned rather than input from the member who wrote the original service structure after much discussion, study and deliberation.)

The difference between group membership and N.A. membership is the commitment made to a particular group by a particular member. In all versions of our service structure over the years, in the section on the group it mentions the steering committee of a group which is made up of those people who regularly attend, support a group and attend the business meetings. The steering committee is made up of those who consider themselves to be 'members of the group'. I guess it may help the material on the Third Tradition to bring this distinction out more. It is also being addressed in the material, 'A Guide To Service.'

Basically, there is a philosophical difference between someone who stays cleans, attends meetings regularly, reads the literature, has an N.A. sponsor and all the other things we do as members but who has not yet become a member of a group. These are ways we receive in N.A. A member of a group one way or another has become a giver.

It is a natural part of the process of identification with other clean addicts to reach a point where we want to give back some of what has been freely given to us. This is hard to do since we don't charge for our services. The main option is some form of service. The same material in `The Temporary Working Guide' says it is at the group level that the

concept of trusted servant comes into being. Trusted servants generally 1 begin as formal or informal group members. In the case of many groups, 2 3

the secretary reads a statement which goes like this: "You become a

member of N.A. when you say you are. You may also become a member

of this group by seeing the secretary of the group after this meeting and

giving them your name and address."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Only a member who regularly attends a group meeting would do this and only a grateful member would be interested in committing to a particular group and becoming someone who helps greet the newcomers. occasionally may chair or lead the meeting, help the secretary set up or clean up, etc. A special bond develops between members who do this. It is a deepening of their N.A. experience. It is also visible evidence of surrender, faith, selflessness and having been mended to some extent. It is more literally 'a part of' N.A. than 'apart from'.

Group membership also means that the member has a "home group." This group membership is a way for the average N.A. member to discover for themselves the real basis of love and unity which goes into a meeting. In every town, there was some first meeting which still continues to meet. Those members who attended and supported that first meeting are the founders of N.A. in their community. Becoming a member of an existing group or committing to a new one, we feel the same air of responsibility as founders. If we're not there, then we are missed, more so than a member who may occasionally attend. If we have accepted some responsibility such as laying out the literature, making the coffee, etc., this is even more true. If we are the first one to arrive and unlock the building, then the responsibility and commitment became even more obvious. If we don't do what we've committed to do, than N.A. may not have a meeting that night!

The difference between a newcomer sick, confused and unable to do for themselves, and someone who freely and willingly commits to a meeting, is obvious and is very real evidence that our recovery process works.

Dual addiction in context of N.A. membership.

Narcotics Anonymous is a program of total abstinence from all drugs. The question involves other forms of addiction which do not necessarily involve drugs in any form. In recovery, we find ourselves faced with most if not all of these substitute addictions and part of the way the Twelve Steps work is to help us survive our addiction once the chemicals have been removed.

The pain of our active addiction is usually the first point of identification with clean addicts that we get as new members. Our stories are hard to make up. The terms 'food addict' and 'food problem' are so specific that they imply the person had no primary problem with using drugs or in getting and staying clean. The basis for identity might therefore be missing. This would affect the person's potential for recovery in N.A. since it would be difficult or impossible for them to find the widespread basis for identifying with and feeling personally a part of our Fellowship. If the person had gotten help from or identified themselves as members of another Twelve Step program, the problem of identification and the basis for mutual sharing would almost certainly become a major obstacle for them.

In dealing with this sort of question, it is again generally helpful to observe or find out what we actually do in our meetings in cases such as this. It is doubtful that a newcomer who went on and on about their food addiction would be asked to leave out of hand. If, on the other hand, someone with a food problem attended one of our meetings and

extolled the virtues of another program and began recruiting addicts who had come to the N.A. meeting seeking recovery to go to some other program, it would go against the primary purpose of our groups. This would require action on the part of the discussion leader or the chair and perhaps members of the steering committee. The person would have to be told that they were out of order, for the N.A. meeting to continue and get back on recovery. This has actually happened and is no more a big deal than the other forms of disruption we have to deal with from time to time. It is a matter of honesty with us. We do not claim to have all the answers for all the ills which the human race suffers from. It is enough for us to carry our message to those who seek it.

This would not be dual addiction to us. Dual addiction is not an N.A. term. It implies that different drugs result in separate and distinct addictions and that is not true in our experience.

Special interest groups.

Even while a newly formed Ad-Hoc Committee of the WSC continues to work on this question, some things are clear and are likely to remain clear.

Some of our members feel that special interest groups are important enough to take the time and trouble to form them. They feel that identification is needed in some special sense to carry our message to certain types of members who may have trouble identifying with the N.A. Fellowship in general.. Many members have strong opinions on this and the problem, if it is a problem, will likely go on.

We have found that to survive, a group must carry a sufficient message of recovery to have something to offer the newcomer seeking recovery. Where this is not the case, the group fails and ceases to meet.

It may be that members who form a 'special interest' group have the problem identifying with the general membership and they are serving their own needs which, in light of our generally flexible attitude to such issues, is fine with most members. Problems have occurred where such meetings become so specialized that local members are not comfortable sending newcomers there who would have difficulty identifying with the recovery of the special interest involved... In these cases, the meetings would have trouble getting listed on the meeting directory as an ordinary N.A. meeting which, by its own description, it is not.

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

12 ****************************

At first glance, this tradition seems quite simple to understand and apply. It tells us who can be a member of Narcotics Anonymous; anyone that has a desire to stop using. However, like all of our twentyfour principles, there is a greater depth of understanding that can be gained through closer examination. At a personal level, the Third Tradition teaches us that no matter what happens today, both good or bad, if we "don't pick up the first one" we have a chance to get better. There is much more to recovery in Narcotics Anonymous than not using drugs, but we'll never experience the other miracles if we don't stay clean. The Third Tradition also teaches us acceptance of others on an equal basis. Old prejudices and personality judgments that we brought in the door with us have no place here. Whether a person is black or white, man or woman, young or old, aggressive or humble, boastful or shy, old-timer or newcomer, heterosexual or gay, financially successful or unemployed, happy or hurting, healthy or sick, etc. has no bearing nor meaning in NA. We all belong here equally as much, and on an equal

| 1 | basis. The Third Tradition asks us to put the humility we gained from |
|---|---|
| 2 | the Twelve Steps into practice. Total acceptance without judgement or |
| 3 | expectation is the spiritual goal of the Third Tradition. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |

| 1 | | TRADITION FOUR |
|----|-------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | "E | Sach group should be autonomous except in matters affecting other |
| 4 | | groups or N.A. as a whole." |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | Outline |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | I. | Use of autonomy to best fulfill our group purpose (to carry the |
| 9 | | message to the new member by providing a safe environment for |
| 10 | | recovery). |
| 11 | | A. Each group develops its own personality. |
| 12 | | B. Each group meets its own needs. |
| 13 | | (Freedom and responsibility). |
| 14 | II. | We are governed by an Ultimate Authority, and don't infringe on |
| 15 | | the freedom of other groups. |
| 16 | | A. Tradition 12 (one group is not more important than |
| 17 | | another). |
| 18 | Note: | |
| 19 | | Groups can be a reflection of some strong personalities and the |
| 20 | | group can lose its autonomy by being dominated by a strong |
| 21 | | personality. This may be addressed in Traditions 2 & 12). |
| 22 | | B. We must be careful that our actions do not unduly influence |
| 23 | | the group conscience or other groups. |
| 24 | | C. List examples of things affecting N.A. as a whole. |
| 25 | III. | In order to improve the group's effectiveness, we support N.A. as a |
| 26 | | whole. |
| 27 | | A. Traditions One and Five. |
| 28 | | B. This is accomplished through interaction with the other |
| 29 | | levels of service at the area, regional and world levels. |

- 1 IV. By adhering to the Twelve Traditions, we help to strengthen N.A.
- 2 A. Typical violations.
- B. What autonomy isn't (not self-will, not uniformity).
- 4 C. Autonomy (or lack of) in service committees.
- 5 V. Relationship of Tradition 4 to areas, groups, region.
- 6 VI. Conclusion and lead in to Tradition 5.

Blue Review Book Draft

2

10 11

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

1

The autonomy of our groups is one of our most precious possessions. But what does it mean to be autonomous? In N.A., autonomy defines the individuality of the group. It allows the group to develop its own personality and grow. Just as individuals learn from their mistakes, so does each group grow and become stronger through its experience. Each group is an individual society. Together, these units

make up a fundamental part of N.A. as a whole. Autonomy permits

each group to make its own decisions about its methods of carrying the

message of recovery. In this way, the group takes responsibility for

13 fulfilling its primary purpose.

There is a difference between autonomy and anarchy. Anarchy occurs when an individual or group acts selfishly, without concern for the welfare of others. Autonomy, on the other hand, describes the process wherein a group acts to take care of its needs, carefully following the Twelve Traditions, demonstrating a concern for the welfare of its members and other people involved.

The purpose of each group is to carry the message of recovery to the addict who still suffers, and to provide a safe environment for recovery. How the group fulfills this purpose is part of its autonomy. The group chooses its meeting time and place, format, and trusted servants. This is accomplished through group conscience, as established in Tradition Two. Each group must follow the Twelve Traditions of Narcotics Anonymous in order to grow and survive.

The principle of autonomy ensures a healthy group, which flourishes and attracts recovering addicts. How does a group remain healthy? Experience has shown that a group fares best if it stimulates

participation by all group members, rather than being dominated or 1 influenced by individual personalities. Accepting responsibility as a 2 group, providing N.A. literature, and utilizing group conscience are other 3 factors which benefit the group. A strong, healthy N.A. group follows 4 the concept of trusted servants, carefully selecting and encouraging 5 experienced members to accept positions of responsibility, and being 6 accountable to the group. The group concerns itself only with its 7 primary purpose, and does not dictate to other groups or service 8 It participates in area and regional activities, striving 9 committees. always to be supportive and cooperative, assisting other groups and N.A. 10 as a whole. Applying Tradition Four in this way keeps a group healthy 11 12 and creates an atmosphere of recovery.

The group is the first place most addicts learn about the N.A. Fellowship. The effectiveness of our groups depends on how well our groups follow the Twelve Traditions. Where Tradition One shows us the importance of unity, Tradition Four reminds us of the importance that the individual group plays in the recovery process. The Fourth Tradition allows us to step back and question if we are honestly following the Twelve Traditions and fulfilling our primary purpose. This provides us with an opportunity to do our own housecleaning.

Some N.A. groups apply autonomy by appealing to specific types of people (i.e., professionals, men, women, young people, gay/lesbian, etc.). However, N.A. groups do not close themselves off to any addict who may need to attend a meeting. In appealing to special groups, we need to remember that no N.A. group should ever attach its name to any other group inside or outside of N.A.

An example of this is illustrated by groups who rent meeting space in facilities which treat addiction. A natural inclination may be to name the group after the facility, such as "the General Hospital Group."

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

However, this practice serves to confuse newcomers and prevents the group from developing a personality or sense of autonomy from the facility.

Narcotics Anonymous groups are located throughout the world. We speak many languages and meet in a wide variety of facilities. Were it not for the varied flavors of our groups, some of us might become bored with regular attendance. How many times have we tired of hearing "the same old thing," and then attended a meeting only to hear a new twist on a familiar theme: This is a positive way our groups display their autonomy.

A group's autonomy also allows the members of the group to get to know one another on a personal level. A bond develops between us first in our group, and later with other groups around us. Finally, we learn it is all right to be a part of Narcotics Anonymous, no matter who or where we are.

Without sacrificing our individuality, we can meet with representatives of other N.A. groups. In this way, we exchange ideas and improve our effectiveness in carrying the message, which is the primary purpose of every group. Such communication serves another purpose; by giving us experience in the principles of the Twelve Traditions. Just as we use a sponsor to guide us in practicing the Twelve Steps in our personal recovery, so we can benefit from the experience of other members and groups in practicing the traditions. In our lack of knowledge, it is possible that we might inadvertently break traditions. Fortunately, other groups and members help us by making us aware of any such errors, so that we don't bring harm to any group or N.A. as a whole. This is the value of our autonomy--to become a part of rather than apart from each other.

When we use our autonomy for the good of the group, we must be careful that our actions do not hurt other Narcotics Anonymous groups. What are some matters affecting other groups? Spreading gossip from one group to another or drawing members from another group are two practices which could harm other groups. We are careful, too, not to unduly influence the group conscience. If the group experience troubles or conflicts which cannot be resolved, we encourage the group to seek help from the area service committee, rather than involve other groups or members.

Some would ask, "Doesn't autonomy mean we can do whatever we can?" To this, we answer "Yes, we do have this freedom. But we need always remember our freedom as a group stops wherever it violates the freedom of other groups or N.A. as a whole." The Fourth Tradition encourages each group not to be self-governing, but to be governed by an Ultimate Authority as expressed in the group conscience. autonomy is a very good thing, it can also become a potential danger if it is used as an excuse to violate the Twelve Traditions or to cut the group off from communication with the area or region. We follow Tradition Four, with the other traditions, to maintain the unity of N.A. on a group level and on all levels. We can use a simple rule of thumb: we make sure that our actions are clearly within the bounds of the Twelve Traditions; we don't represent anyone but ourselves; we don't dictate to other groups or force anything upon them; and we take the time to consider the consequences of our actions ahead of time. Then we trust that all will be well.

Group autonomy should always be high on the list of priorities, but if the group matters affect N.A. as a whole, this needs to be held above all other considerations. Some of the matters affecting N.A. as a whole include developing and reviewing new literature, following the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

suggested fund flow and participating and supporting N.A. at all levels of service. By getting involved in N.A. service boards or committees, we demonstrate our support through action. Each group exercises its autonomy in choosing to become involved with the rest of the N.A. Fellowship.

The actions of a group do indeed affect other parts of the Fellowship. A positive effect enhances personal recovery and N.A. as a whole, while a negative effect stunts our growth and makes the Fellowship unattractive to the newcomer. Therefore, group autonomy should always be within the framework of the Twelve Traditions. In this way, we increase the group's effectiveness, strengthen N.A. as a whole, and provide new opportunities to carry the message of recovery.

Some people believe that being different is practicing autonomy. At times, group autonomy has been used to justify the violation of the N.A. traditions. However, the Twelve Traditions cannot be manipulated or compromised without consequences. We read in Tradition Three that "true spiritual principles are never in conflict; they complement each other." When there is such a conflict, the spiritual principles of the traditions are violated and therefore affect N.A. as a whole.

Some members hide behind the misconception that the Twelve Traditions are only suggested, and use that fallacy to justify their distorted version of autonomy. It is an unhealthy motive that brings the attempt to control to the front. Experience has shown us that an Ultimate Authority, expressed through the group conscience, always prevails. In keeping with a trusted servant concept and encouraging the members to take responsibility for their group, the need to feel a part of N.A. as a whole becomes fulfilled.

An example of a group's decision which affected N.A. as a whole follows: one group, in the name of autonomy, decided to reproduce

approved N.A. literature because it was cheaper than buying it at the regular price from the area, region, or World Service Office. This may seem all right on the surface, but if we look deeper, we find that this hurts us all in the end. Actions such as these do not allow funds to flow in the necessary manner for the production of new literature, so that N.A. may reach as many addicts as possible.

In considering this and similar examples, we see that we have to hold true to our Twelve Traditions. The history of N.A. proves that by following our traditions, N.A. works. N.A. has experienced certain trials and tribulations throughout its growth, but it has survived and prospered. It has, in fact, flourished and become stronger because of the integrity gained through holding fast to the Twelve Traditions. Since recovery is our goal, we follow the past experiences of the Fellowship with regard to our Fourth Tradition.

Some members travel in their recovery. This provides the opportunity of meeting other recovering addicts throughout the worldwide Fellowship of Narcotics Anonymous. This experience often precipitates an awareness of the freedom the Fourth Tradition gives Narcotics Anonymous. We see that N.A. works in many different cities and countries, regardless of the building, meeting format, or language used. We see and hear the N.A. message carried through one addict helping another. We become grateful for the autonomy of Tradition Four, and willingly accept the responsibility which it requires. At this very moment, somewhere, the N.A. message is being delivered. The proof of N.A.'s validity is in the fact that our members stay clean. We do recover!

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

29

Autonomy? Many of us weren't sure what the word meant when we first read the Fourth Tradition. Even if we knew what it meant we weren't quite sure how it fit into N.A. and its groups. Turning to the dictionary helped. A dictionary definition of autonomy goes, "Having the right or power of self-government ... undertaken or carried on without outside control." So now we could--or thought we could--make sense of the Fourth Tradition. It meant that wherever we attended meetings--in New York City; in Santa Fe, New Mexico; in Portland, Oregon; in Tokyo, Japan; in Paris, France; in Bogata, Columbia, in San Diego, Californianobody could tell our groups what to do. Nobody could set up rules and regulations for us. We did that for ourselves. We were self-governing.

How we liked that! The arrogance which was a mark of our addiction had always kept us from listening to advice, no matter who it came from or how sound it was. Many of us hated to be told what to do. Many of us always knew better what was best for us. Many of us were ill at ease with the Twelve Steps and the Traditions-especially the Traditions-because they sounded too much like rules to us. But the Fourth Tradition was different--at least in the way we chose to interpret it at first. Many of us saw it as allowing our groups complete freedom. We glossed over--or decided to ignore--that part of the Fourth Tradition stating we were autonomous except in matters affecting other groups or N.A. as a whole. Even if the words registered in our minds, we couldn't figure out how the goings-on in our own home groups could affect groups elsewhere or the Fellowship as a whole.

Consequently, it becomes easy to disregard the full intent of the Fourth Tradition, to interpret it in ways that are basically self-serving.

Often we're not even aware that we're misinterpreting this tradition; no deliberate harm is meant. But whenever we do disregard or misinterpret a tradition it causes harm to ourselves, our groups and--at times--to the Fellowship as a whole. The Fourth Tradition is no exception. For instance, when a group conducts its business with the attitude, "We're autonomous so we can do anything we want," it can easily breed the kind of discord that pits group against group. That makes a mockery of our First Tradition with its emphasis on unity. Whenever our unity is threatened, so is our atmosphere of recovery.

The autonomy of our groups in N.A. is very important. It's one of our most precious possessions. Autonomy defines the individuality of the group. It allows each group to develop its own personality and grow. Just as individuals learn from their mistakes, so does each of our groups grow and become stronger through its experience. Autonomy permits each group to make its own decisions about its methods of carrying the message of recovery. In this way, each group takes responsibility for fulfilling its primary purpose.

While autonomy means we have the freedom to do whatever we want, we need always remember that our freedom as a group stops wherever it violates the freedom of other groups or of N.A. as a whole. The Fourth Tradition encourages each group not to be self-governing but to be governed by an Ultimate Authority as expressed in the group conscience. While autonomy is a very good thing, it can also become a potential danger if it is used as an excuse to violate the Twelve Traditions or to cut the group off from communication with the area or region. We follow Tradition Four, along with the other Twelve Traditions, to maintain N.A.'s unity at all levels. We can use a simple rule of thumb: We make sure that our actions are clearly within the bounds of the Twelve Traditions; we don't represent anyone but

ourselves; we don't dictate to other groups or force anything upon them; and we take the time to consider the consequences of our actions ahead of time. Then we trust that all will be well.

We were quick to understand the importance of autonomy to our groups when we thought about the importance of autonomy in our private homes. Normally, in our homes, our houses, we feel safe. They're familiar. Our patterns of living are familiar. This familiarity gives us a sense of security we wouldn't have if we were subject to abrupt changes not in our control. Even family squabbles, unless carried to extremes, don't shake this feeling of security. Except when we break the law, what we do in our houses isn't subject to outside interference. Our neighbors don't tell us how to run our households. Neither does the city, state or federal government.

In a spiritual way, our N.A. groups are also "home." We feel safe in our meetings with our friends. We're familiar with meeting formats; we know more or less what to expect each time we attend a meeting. We know what's expected of us. Nothing is suddenly changed on us. We may have internal disagreements in our groups, but unless they really get out of control we can handle them as we handle family squabbles. Our N.A. groups provide us with the sense of living and caring, the sense of security, we need in order to recover.

What if our groups weren't our "own?" What if outsiders--not members of N.A.--told us how to run our groups? It occasionally happens--most often because of Tradition Six or Seven violations--and causes much distress. What if other N.A. groups or committees or regions or areas could tell us exactly how we should run our meetings? They might set unacceptable meeting times for us, or speakers and discussion topics we don't want. They might tell us how many closed meetings to have, and how many open ones. They might tell us we

should end our meetings with this or that prayer. They might dictate to us in many ways that don't take into account our local needs. With our meetings always subject to outside interference, we'd probably organize some powerful protest actions. Our unity would vanish. Our feelings of safety in N.A. would go.

The Fourth Tradition keeps others from interfering with our groups; it also keeps us from interfering with other groups. As addicts lots of us resisted any interference with our lives--but we hardly ever hesitated in telling other people how to live their lives, even if they were getting along ten thousand times better than we were. Some of us even sneered at people who didn't use, maybe even tried to talk them into using drugs. This was part of our addict's arrogance, and often got us into trouble. It takes time, often a long time, to rid ourselves of it. So, unmindful of the Fourth Tradition, some of us have used the power of our groups to interfere with the workings of other groups.

Even relatively minor issues can set one group against another. In one city two N.A. groups were strongly at odds with each other, though in more peaceful times members of each group had gone to the other's meetings. Their conflict had to do with light--specifically, the soft lights and candlelight with which the first group decided to illuminate its meeting. Members of the second group said this lighting was frivolous, not in keeping with N.A.'s spiritual nature, and insisted that the first group change it. Obviously, the second group was interfering with the autonomy of the first, which played havoc with unity in that community. There was other negative fall-out. Both groups spent so much time and energy in fighting each other, they lost sight of their primary purpose, to carry the message of recovery to suffering addicts.

Fights between groups are often akin to family fights--whatever the superficial fight is all about, on a deeper level it's really about

1 something else. When a husband and wife have a shouting match about who left the cap off the toothpaste tube, for instance, it often turns out 2 that their basic fight has nothing to do with the toothpaste. Sometimes 3 4 they're actually involved in a power fight-- about who's going to be boss at home. Sometimes the fight is really a message from one to the other, 5 "I'm angry with you because you're not treating me right." Similarly, 6 when two N.A. groups are at each other's throats, the surface fight may 7 8 be about something as superficial as candlelight vs. regular light rather 9 than about deep spiritual principles. Yet underneath, the fight may be 10 an expression of fear. Each group may fear, "If it isn't done our way, N.A. will be threatened." Each group may fear, "If it isn't done our 11 way, newcomers will be neglected." Then again, a passionate fight 12 13 between two groups may also basically be a power fight-each group 14 wanting to have its own way.

The lesson that autonomy not only gives us freedom but requires us to respect the freedom of others is a valuable one. It serves us well in our personal lives, too. Once we learn it, we no longer try to impose our views on the people around us. We adopt a "live-and-let-live" philosophy, which eases our relationships with all kinds of people.

In its stress on autonomy, the Fourth Tradition encourages N.A. groups to develop in their own unique ways. Diversity in turn encourages us to be creative, to work up formats and meetings that reach out to addicts in a variety of ways. In one area, because the members are so inclined, a group may emphasize step and tradition meetings. In another, speaker meetings may be emphasized.

We're a diverse bunch. Even if most addicts in a particular group have more or less the same background, there's likely to be a great variety of personalities. We don't all want or respond to the same things. Having the autonomy to shape our groups according to members'

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

needs, and the dictates of our group conscience, enables us to provide meetings that satisfy all. In a U.S. city, for example, two meetings were held on Saturday evenings. One was a speaker participation meeting so popular that it regularly pulled several hundred people. The other, a discussion meeting, usually drew no more than thirty people tops. The first was noisy, active. The second was quiet, reflective in tone. Few of the members who felt comfortable in the speaker participation meeting were at ease in the discussion meeting, and vice versa. But both groups were needed. Both were carrying the message of recovery, each in its own way.

Though both groups ran meetings on the same night they were cooperative, not competitive, with each other. This is in accord with our spiritual principles. Our purpose isn't to collect "bodies" so we can boast about our attendance, but to offer a place of recovery for any suffering addict who wishes to come. Occasionally two groups will fight, or one will spread malicious gossip about the other—but no group has cornered the market on God's voice. No group is more important or special than another.

The more effective all our groups are, the more we will grow. And the wider will be the circle of addicts we reach. When a particular meeting becomes so large as to be unwieldy, the meeting often is split in two. Each of the smaller meetings then develops differently, to meet the needs of the members who attend. But neither group is superior to the other; both carry the message to the addict who still suffers.

Each of our groups, like each individual in a group, is but a small part of a greater whole. Much of our freedom results from this balance. We experience freedom when we respect the freedom of others. Group autonomy gives interest to our meetings, while maintaining the spiritual qualities of N.A.

While the Fourth Tradition refers only to our groups, it also affects our area and regional service committees and subcommittees. All our committees are directly responsible to those they serve. Therefore, it's each group's responsibility to see to it that their committees and subcommittees consider the implications of their actions on N.A. as a whole. Confusion sometimes exists about the autonomy of service boards and committees. Boards and committees aren't independent of the groups they serve. They are, instead, responsible to those groups. Specifically, they're delegated the authority to carry out their tasks. Because of the great potential impact their actions and decisions have on other groups and regions, they should always adhere to the second half of the Fourth Tradition, making sure that what they do doesn't adversely affect N.A. as a whole. In fact, sometimes a seemingly minor or innocuous decision can affect other groups and areas in unexpected ways. Say, for example, that the Denver Region decides to create thirty-second public service announcements and have them shown on local television stations. Presumably, this has no effect on groups elsewhere. In reality, it does. Those thirty-second spots may also be seen by television viewers in neighboring states like New Mexico, Nebraska and Wyoming. groups in those areas aren't alerted beforehand, they could well be flooded with calls from potential newcomers and not be prepared to help them. [Insert to come: H&I viewed themselves as having autonomy from their regions or areas exercising autonomy in making decisions within group conscience of region...? In N.A. we practice autonomy--but we're also interdependent. No N.A. group can stand alone. In order to be effective in fulfilling its purpose, it needs its ties and bonds with other groups, it needs its links

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- at the local, regional and world levels. Too, our links with each other enable us to forge a strong, committed Fellowship. We support each other, learn from each other, exchange experiences valuable to us all. We profit from the lessons other groups have learned in practicing the
- Twelve Traditions, so that we bring no harm to any group or to N.A. asa whole.

Being connected to N.A. groups everywhere offers us still other rewards. Some of us travel in our recovery. This gives us the opportunity to meet other recovering addicts throughout our worldwide Fellowship. We hear the message of recovery expressed in a variety of ways fresh to our ears. We come away invigorated

A number of N.A. groups around the country apply autonomy by appealing to particular categories of addicts. For instance, there are women's groups, gay and lesbian groups, biker groups, teenager groups, and groups composed of professionals. Often called "common interest" groups, they're meetings where addicts with common needs can discuss addiction and recovery within the framework of those needs. It's while attending such meetings that some addicts first begin to feel at home in N.A. Common interest groups don't violate the Fourth Tradition as long as they refrain from adopting a name other than N.A.'s, and don't close themselves off to any addict who may need to attend a meeting. The N.A. Traditions require all N.A. groups to keep their doors open to any suffering addict who chooses to walk in. Many common needs groups make it a point to emphasize, in their announcements, that they're open to everyone.

We like the Fourth Tradition because it stresses autonomy, and we like autonomy because it enables us to shape our meetings in accordance with our N.A. community's needs. But we have a tendency to interpret autonomy as meaning, "We in our groups can do anything we want

regardless of what anybody says." We find it easy to forget this tradition's qualifying phrase, "...except in matters affecting other groups or N.A. as a whole."

Autonomy is not the same thing as absolute freedom. When we think it is, we're sure to transgress one or more of our Twelve Traditions in addition to the Fourth. In the name of autonomy, some groups have brought in speakers who were not members of N.A. or used literature not approved by N.A. These are violations of the Sixth Tradition. In the name of autonomy some groups have converted step study meetings into Bible study meetings. This is also a breach of the Sixth. In the name of autonomy some groups have held on to all donations instead of participating in the fund flow that benefits the entire membership. This goes counter to the spirit of the Seventh Tradition. In the name of autonomy, and to save money, some groups have attempted to print their own literature. This compromises both the Seventh and the First Traditions; it's a we'll-go-it-alone attitude that damages our unity.

Many of us have read the second part of the Fourth Tradition with at least a little skepticism. We found it hard to believe that what happens in one group can seriously affect another group. We found it even harder to believe that the actions of a single group can affect N.A. as a whole. Yet we found our skepticism crumbling a bit when we heard about or were involved in incidents that show how connected we all are. In a large city members of one group tried to raid another group for members, even spreading lies about that other group. The anger and divisiveness this caused finally affected all of N.A. in that area. Elsewhere a group experiencing serious internal conflicts appealed to other groups in the area for help. Members rushed in to take sides; again there was the always-destructive divisiveness. If a group experiences conflicts which cannot be resolved, their most constructive

approach is to seek help from the area service committee, rather than involving other groups and members.

In yet another town, an N.A. group rented space in a church. The members were so rowdy, however, that neighbors complained. The group had to leave and no other N.A. group in that town was allowed to rent space in the church. And N.A. members at a convention in a desirable hotel behaved so aggressively and irresponsibly that the hotel refused to rent to N.A. again. In these rare instances, and others like them, N.A.'s reputation clearly suffered. The community saw us as wild addicts--not addicts seriously seeking recovery by means of a spiritual program. Communities whose cooperation we needed turned against us, and very probably addicts who were thinking of joining turned away.

We're truly interdependent; we affect each other in untold ways. When our decisions and actions have a negative effect they do injustice to the principles of the First Tradition--creating disunity and compromising our common welfare. They can also harm us in the eyes of the outside world. When our decisions and actions have a positive effect they do the opposite. They enhance our personal recovery, strengthen N.A. as a whole and make us "attractive" to the world in the spirit of the Eleventh Tradition.

We have the freedom to conduct our groups as we wish. But, as the wording of the Fourth Tradition suggests, freedom without responsibility brings anarchy. If we take the time to consider the consequences of our actions, and make sure they're clearly within the bounds of the Twelve Traditions, we can trust that all will be well.

| 1 | General Input |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | N.A. structure - too many committees? |
| 5 | I believe this question relates to Tradition Nine which addresses |
| 6 | service board and committees. |
| 7 | In addicts human traits are exaggerated to the extreme. Our |
| 8 | members cry out for guidelines and then disregard them if they don't |
| 9 | please them. One member put it to me this way, "I have learned to |
| 10 | listen for what a member is trying to get at, if I'm having trouble |
| 11 | understanding what they are saying." This helps. |
| 12 | Again, conceptual models put our concerns on a mental plane and |
| 13 | we have a world of mental contenders in N.A. Dealing with the question |
| 14 | in an empirical, observational manner we can quickly agree to the point |
| 15 | that there will never be more or fewer committees than our members |
| 16 | want, will attend and support. There have never been nor will there be |
| 17 | more or less bureaucratization than our members feel to be necessary. |
| 18 | Many come to committees as a way to 'check out' the program. Yes, |
| 19 | we are that suspicious! |
| 20 | There can be no doubt that after we have received the benefits of |
| 21 | N.A. recovery, if we're a mind to, we can work wonders through N.A. |
| 22 | service with the help of our Higher Power. The phenomenal growth we |
| 23 | have experienced in recent years is only the manifestation of the Power |
| 24 | of a loving God working through members just like us. |
| 25 | It might be well to direct the reader's attention to the fact that |
| 26 | while N.A. is replete with service guides and reams of service-related |
| 27 | material in progress, it is the magic of our surrenders, faith and humility |
| 28 | that makes our service structure wonderful. Those who conceive our |
| 29 | structure in the cold analytic terms will never understand us. What we |

humorously call `committees' are really collections of members, each of whom bring some special gift to offer freely to service the needs of addicts seeking recovery. This flow of ideas, ingenious approaches to carrying our message and bring our membership in closer alignment without stultifying uniformity, can only be accounted for in spiritual terms.

It might also help the reader gain insight to consider that most other bureaucracies have very different sanctions to control the A positive sanction is a raise or promotion; a negative members. sanction is a pay cut, demotion, transfer to the Gobi desert or being fired. Imagine applying these models to our structure! We don't get paid, few cheer us on, we basically hire and fire ourselves by performance or non-performance of the service commitments we make. We may be elected but no one can make us do it! Our primary system of sanction is to give or withhold praise. Sometimes this system is so subtle and nearly invisible it seems not to exist but our trusted servants only need a glance from someone who appreciates what they are doing to Internally, the moment we stop feeling like we are keep going. contributing effectively and constructively to the welfare of some addict somewhere, we move on to some other form of service.

So it might be better to describe our `committees' as `spiritual service bodies', but that would be a little syrupy for our collective taste. Those who need to know, know.

25 ***************************

There seems to be some fighting between groups, some jurisdictional disputes. Do I get into this?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

No topic which concerns the well being and growth of our Fellowship should be avoided in this material! If the answers come only with great difficulty that should galvanize our efforts to get at answers we can live with. Too often in the past, we have waited for someone else to handle it!

As I have gotten into earlier, most if not all of these problems stem from an individual or collective inability to surrender to the facts, lack of faith or unwillingness to change or make amends. These items are dealt with in our Twelve Steps. The problems only become severe when there is no one experienced enough to laugh at them, ridicule them, cite examples from our history until the 'weighty matter' becomes hilarious. The truth is that WE HAVE ALREADY SURVIVED the most unfair, inconceivable, awful, embarrassing, intentional, deliberate and consistent sabotage and adversity that can possibly be imagined for decades... And yet we have survived, some of us. A lot died gaining the ground so we can be clean today.

This 'infighting' and 'jurisdictional disputing' is almost never over items of substance. It is almost invariably the unwillingness of members to surrender and support other members who are working to the betterment of N.A. because the disease of addiction is always creating waves of bruised egos, controversy and confusion.

For the last few years more and more of our members have been getting clean and staying clean and settling the kinds of issues which used to distract us off the miracle of recovery into the realm of endless dispute. In community after community only the death of one or more members has startled a local Fellowship back to basics.

To me these apparently negative disputes are like the violence of land rising in an ocean. We double our population every eighteen months. This means we are factoring into our voting, participatory

structure a huge volume of members who have a lot to learn about N.A. This automatically means there are going to be disagreements and conflicts which stem from the intense love and concern about Narcotics Anonymous which hit us after two or three years of recovery. It may be connected with spiritual awakening spread across tens of thousands of members: a lot of questions. The material we are working on is hopefully a vehicle to allow our members to gain an understanding of their own through reading and study. They also question their sponsors and friends in the fellowship. Word of mouth can be a very hit or miss proposition and just the slightest overstatement or misinformation can trigger huge waves of controversy.

Many of us in world services are intently willing to help generate material such as you are working on to engender stability and consistency to minimize the intensity of emotion in these periods of learning. All of us have had friends who have been injured by the infighting and disputation. Of course, we have been hurt as well. Some of us have the sort of commitment which will not back off while problems like this endure.

In order to maintain the intent of autonomy, we "ought to be fully self supporting." In Narcotics Anonymous our Service Committees are dependent upon the groups for support, and in doing so remain directly responsible to them.

These two points tell us why our services cannot be autonomous. In doing so they emphasize our need to maintain the intent of the Traditions when presenting the Fellowship to the public.

"The autonomy of our groups is necessary for our survival." (Basic Text p. 61). Our services are "for the express purpose of serving the specific needs of member groups" (Basic Text p. 10 T.W.G.T.T.S.S.). And "to work together for their common welfare." (Basic Text p. 87 T.W.G.T.T.S.S.). We are an extension of the groups. As servants we must maintain Traditional services to assure their autonomy, and enable our need to find spiritual solutions to our problems. The groups are supporting their services. We, as servants, are responsible for carrying them out.

Our P.I. work affects other groups or N.A. as a whole. The guide to P.I. services is written about how to perform our work within the spirit of this Tradition. A strong knowledge of our Service Structure, communication and cooperation within it, will bring us together in unity of purpose.

...If we consider the consequences of our actions ahead of time, then all will be well. (Basic Text p. 62).

18 ************************

Autonomous means self-governing and the wording of this tradition states that the group is autonomous, not the elected officers of the group which is in keeping with our 2nd tradition. Right away, that makes it clear that each group must rely on its group conscience, guided by a loving God, for all its decisions and actions.

Meeting formats such as topic or step discussions, speaker meetings, questions and answers, when and where to meet, whether to have the meeting open to everyone or closed for addicts and people who think they may be addicts only, are all matters for each group to decide

| 1 | on its own. The opening and/or closing prayer of each meeting is the |
|----|--|
| 2 | group's choice as well as what readings to use. |
| 3 | Even allocation of funds and whether or not to support or use the |
| 4 | services offered such as the N.A. Information line or Area Service |
| 5 | Committee, rest with the conscience of each group. |
| 6 | Imagine how dull it would be if every meeting was identical to |
| 7 | every other meeting. What if all meetings were closed? |
| 8 | With this freedom comes the responsibility to keep N.A. unified |
| 9 | and to preserve its character. So each group decision must be kept |
| 10 | within the bounds of all 12 Traditions. We are not free to alter the 12 |
| 11 | Steps, for example, or use outside literature which has not been |
| 12 | approved by an N.A. Conference. |
| 13 | I believe that any group which misuses this tradition to move |
| 14 | outside the bounds of any other tradition will flounder and cease to exist |
| 15 | because of the spiritual foundation of all our traditions. |
| 16 | This tradition is saying that each group has the right to be wrong, |
| 17 | and therefore to learn and grow through its mistakes. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | ************** |
| 20 | |
| 21 | After careful examination some members and I feel additional |
| 22 | information should be suggested: |
| 23 | It is suggested that a group have a register for its home group |
| 24 | members. |
| 25 | In reading and reviewing we've noticed the words "its own |
| 26 | members" "individual groups." |
| 27 | This register would help attain each groups personality and their |
| 28 | right to be autonomous. |

It would prevent each group from being dominated or influenced by individual personalities as has happened in our area.

By being registered with a group as a home group member, a member would feel a sense of belonging to. He or she would gain sense of responsibility towards their home group.

Finally assuring each group can grow on its own, instead of being controlled.

Perhaps I should explain the importance of this suggestion. In our area there is not a Tradition 4. Each group personality and format is being controlled by a clique. When a group's conscience or business is discussed then everyone attending that meeting (whether attending once in a while or everyone) votes on that group's future. By having a suggested register then each group could and would be self-governing.

The definition of autonomous is self-governing; able to make own decisions. For a group, it means that all of the group's business is decided by the collective group conscience of its members. Things like the meeting format, type of meeting, election of trusted servants, refreshments to serve, time and place of the meeting, etc. are all things that are decided by the members that attend that recovery group. The Third Tradition tells us that every member that's clean has an equal voice and one vote in the group conscience process. The Fourth Tradition also tells us that only N.A. groups are autonomous. Service boards and committees are not. They are set up, in the Ninth Tradition, to be "directly responsible to those they serve." In addition, unlike our groups, service boards and committees are not self-supporting. Their existence is supported by and dependent upon the N.A. groups. "Well, isn't the

group's existence supported by and dependent upon the N.A. members?,"
you ask. Yes, that's true. The members have a direct voice and control
over the operation of the group. This direct member input is what
allows the groups to have autonomy. That is why group conscience is
the ultimate authority of Narcotics Anonymous.

On a personal level of recovery, autonomy teaches us to be responsible for making our own decisions and accepting what that brings. It gives us the freedom of choice. How many times, before our spiritual awakening, did we run around and ask everyone under the sun what we should do about a certain situation? We polled people until we got the answers we wanted and if things didn't turn out the way we'd hoped, we blamed others for our lot in life. Through the application of the Fourth Tradition we learn that our decisions are just that, "our" decisions. People pleasing and blaming others are no longer options we choose to use. We may talk things over with our sponsor or someone else, pray about it or bring it to a meeting, but once the decision is made it is ultimately ours to make and we accept responsibility for the results.

It is also important to ask ourselves while making choices, "How will this affect someone else?" "Will it hurt anyone needlessly?" "How does this coincide with our spiritual principles?" By "checking our motives" before making a choice and being honest with ourselves we can make decisions that are in keeping with our primary purpose.

| 1 | | TRADITION FIVE | |
|----|-----|--|-----------|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | "E | h group has but one primary purposeto carry the message to the | ; |
| 4 | | addict who still suffers." | |
| _ | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | Outline | |
| 7 | _ | | |
| 8 | I. | A group is two or more addicts sharing their experience, strengt | :h |
| 9 | | and hope. | |
| 10 | | A. As a group we collectively embody the hope the program | m |
| 11 | | gives us. | |
| 12 | | B. It provides an opportunity to discuss recovery and lear | n |
| 13 | | from each other (direct and indirect sharing). | |
| 14 | | (use tactful examples of where we're hypocritical) | |
| 15 | | C. The group is the most effective means of carrying th | e |
| 16 | | message "I can't, we can". | |
| 17 | II. | How does a group carry the message? Responsibilities of group | S |
| 18 | | are the same although groups vary in how they carry the message |). |
| 19 | | A. The need to foster and nurture; it's not a member' | 's |
| 20 | | responsibility to see that this happens. | |
| 21 | | B. Members' sharing - (Perhaps in this Tradition, we could | d |
| 22 | | address issue of language used in meetings, ie., when we | е |
| 23 | | carry the message through sharing and in literature we do i | t |
| 24 | | with words, terminology). | |
| 25 | | C. Creating an atmosphere of recovery. | |
| 26 | | 1. Choose leaders sharing a clear message of N.A | ۱. |
| 27 | | recovery in meeting - groups die when sharing isn' | |
| 28 | | about recovery. | |

| 1 | | | 2. | Reaching out and welcoming newcomers - essence of |
|-----------|------|--------|----------|--|
| 2 | | | | N.A. is two addicts sharing - they are coming into our |
| 3 | | | | home, treat them as such. |
| 4 | | (Perso | onal ex | kample - if a meeting is "bad" or not carrying message |
| 5 | | of rec | ovey a | ask, "What did you do to make it better, to carry the |
| 6 | | messa | ige?"). | |
| 7 | | C. | Using | g and providing literature. Do we have cookies and |
| 8 | | | coffee | but no literature? |
| 9 | | D. | Being | g listed in a directory - being part of the service |
| LO | | | struc | ture. |
| 11 | | E. | Stabi | lity - starting/ending on time, cleaning up (continuity |
| L2 | | | and o | consistency). |
| 13 | III. | Being | dive | rted from our primary purpose by business, social or |
| 14 | | other | matte | er (meeting with long breaks). |
| 15 | | A. | Keep | oing controversy out of meetings (ASC's affecting |
| 16 | | | group | ps; business meetings and other life issues are |
| 17 | | | impo | rtant but not connected with carrying the message). |
| 18 | IV. | Tradi | ition 1 | 2 comes into play here. |
| 19 | | A. | Keep | oing principles before personalities. Am I too busy |
| 20 | | | visiti | ing with my friends to greet newcomers? |
| 21 | | B. | "Uni | ty of action and purpose makes possible what seemed |
| 22 | | | impo | ssible for-recovery" (Basic Text). |
| 23 | | With | each | group having the same purpose as conveyed in |
| 24 | | Tradi | ition 5 | i, and each member realizing the spiritual implications |
| 25 | | of car | rrying | the message according to the 12th Step, the destructive |
| 26 | | possi | bilities | s of individual motives (ego, power) are removed. This |
| 27 | | is ho | w and | onymity is served as the spiritual foundation of this |
| 28 | | Trad | ition | |

| 1 | | C. | We are responsible to all addicts who seek recovery |
|----|-------|---------|---|
| 2 | | | (Example of two people disliking each other carrying the |
| 3 | | | message together). |
| 4 | V. | Servi | ce committees assist the group by directing addicts to groups |
| 5 | | and a | make the message available in areas where groups can't or |
| 6 | | shoul | dn't. |
| 7 | | Outsi | ide issues divert us service committees are designed |
| 8 | | speci | fically to further the 5th Tradition and are born out of the |
| 9 | | need | to carry the meesage in ways that are beyond the scope of |
| 10 | | group | os, areas or regions. Certain needs are more appropriately |
| 11 | | met | by service boards, so that groups' primary purpose is not |
| 12 | | diver | ted or confused. |
| 13 | | A. | Carefully choosing our group's trusted servants. |
| 14 | | В. | Business meetings and service committees should ask |
| 15 | | | themselves, "What are we doing to carry out and further |
| 16 | | | the Fifth Tradition? Are we diverted in any way from this |
| 17 | | | Tradition?" |
| 18 | | C. | Contributing to the service structure in terms of fund flow - |
| 19 | | | Carrying message to all addicts everywhere, above and |
| 20 | | | beyond group/area translations, development of literature. |
| 21 | VI. A | Applica | ation of Tradition 5 to members, groups and N.A. as a whole. |
| 22 | VII. | Summ | nary and lead in to Tradition 6. |
| 23 | | | Some benefits - One positive aspect of this Tradition is the |
| 24 | "ease | " with | which one can reconcile differences or controversy, i.e., |
| 25 | | | sed in meetings. |
| 26 | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

An N.A. group meets regularly at a specified place and time, and follows the Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions. Our N.A. literature tells us that a group is made up of two or more addicts who meet to share their experience, strength, and hope about recovery from addiction. The primary purpose of an N.A. group is to carry the message of recovery to the addict who still suffers.

The Twelfth Step, which emphasizes the principle of giving, tells us that we cannot keep what we have unless we give it away. Through honest sharing in meetings, we carry a message of life without drugs. We share when we feel good, bad, or indifferent; we share for the purpose of showing addicts that we can live in today's society and face all of the problems and joys of life without the use of drugs.

N.A. groups provide us with a chance to discuss recovery - we share our daily experiences in learning to live by spiritual principles, and benefit from the wisdom of long-term members who have learned to apply the principles of recovery. A meeting may be the only place where a newcomer feels safe during a 24-hour period. We find unconditional acceptance at N.A. meetings, and gradually turn away from our destructive, addictive behaviors and embrace a better way of life.

By sharing the recovery we have found, we gather strength and commitment in our new way of life. We share how we strive to live life on a spiritual basis. This is the message--a new way of coping with old fears and reactions. Seeing and hearing recovery in action reassures other members of the group who may share similar feelings, and keeps us on the path of recovery. We share the difficulties as well as the good

times and most importantly, the principles we have come to practice which make recovery a way of life. Each of us can learn from one another. It is important that we share, regardless of whether or not we sound "good." We carry the message of recovery, and someone may need to hear what is being said--"good" or "bad." We trust in our Higher Power to guide us when we share. Our groups enhance the recovery process by providing a suitable and reliable environment for sharing.

Being complex human beings, with a multitude of feelings, each of us can benefit as long as we keep an open mind. Listening to other addicts' experiences, even if our stories vary, allows us to learn from their mistakes. Hearing another addict share their progress can open the door for us to accept positive changes in our lives. If we share our feelings and experiences, and how we use the principles of N.A., then we are fulfilling the intent of this Tradition.

Groups have proven to be the most successful vehicle for Twelve Step work. There are different ways to carry the message, but there is only one message, and that is recovery through the Twelve Steps. Groups carry the message by providing a place to meet with a positive atmosphere of recovery. The members carry the message by sharing, welcoming newcomers, and staying clean.

The members of N.A., by the grace of a Power greater than ourselves, are given the ability to show that there is a productive and happy way of life without drugs. We need to take seriously our part in carrying the message. If we let personalities, conflicts or petty power struggles cheat the newcomer of his/her rightful place on recovery's path, we defeat our primary purpose-carrying the message. In each group we attend, we see clean, recovering addicts. This is Tradition Five in action.

Let us consider the term "still-suffering addict." Some addicts come in and out of the doors of N.A. Some do not return. We may hear

that they are in hospitals, in jails or dead. These people carry the message in its most painful extreme. Their tragedies show us that addiction does not work. However, these addicts are not the only ones who suffer.

Addicts may vary a great deal in their lifestyles or experiences, but our feelings are remarkably similar. We came into the program defeated and confused, wanting to believe that there was a way we might be able to live without drugs. We listened at meetings and eventually came to an understanding of the N.A. Program. At times, we replace faith with doubt, become confused or impatient. We also feel great relief and joy as we progress in our recovery. Attending meetings and sharing these feelings is a way of carrying the message.

Sometimes, when we get a few months or a few years of clean time, we think we no longer have to listen, share, or even attend meetings. However, we must put aside our pride and ego. We have been blessed with some recovery and serenity; we must not forget where we came from, and the nature of our disease.

Because we are addicts, we don't have the capacity to stay clean without living a spiritual program. Our strength, experience and hope of a new way of life attracts the addict who still suffers. A suffering addict need not always be a newcomer to N.A.; indeed it may be a person with many years of recovery. Each addict has the potential for suffering, no matter how long we've been clean. Open sharing of grief or other pain encourages honest disclosure by all members of their personal conflicts. Such openness strengthens each member's recovery. We receive courage and strength to continue on the path of recovery through attending meetings and hearing the message of recovery.

There are times when a group becomes diverted from its primary purpose. For example, business matters can cause a group to get

- bogged down. When a group starts to collect a sizeable amount of money, it can be very tempting to divert our attention towards financial matters and material gains. The tragedy is that because the primary purpose was neglected, some suffering addict may not hear the message of recovery and therefore die. We must keep uppermost in mind that even though we still have bad days and problems, life clean is a lot better than when we were using. If we remember how we felt when we entered N.A., we never forget to share the message of hope.
 - The responsibility of the group in carrying the message can vary with the duties given to members of that group, especially its elected trusted servants. It is important for each group to choose the most responsible members to run the meetings.

There are no menial tasks in Narcotics Anonymous. The people who set up the meetings and make coffee are just as important as the group service representative or secretary. If a newcomer were to go to a meeting at a scheduled time and place and find an empty room, the results could be disastrous. How many potential members of N.A. have been diverted from the program because a steward could not act responsibly in opening a meeting on time?

There will always be an abundance of people who need the N.A. Program. As individuals, we are limited in our ability to carry the message of recovery. Our experience has shown that the group setting is the most effective vehicle in our Fellowship for carrying this message. N.A. groups "bring addicts together so that the magic of empathy, honesty, caring, sharing, and service can do their work."

A group's primary purpose is to carry the message--not the addict. Groups should refrain from lending money, trying to help with marriages or relationships, finding jobs or housing, or becoming involved in legal matters or medical problems. The message of recovery is based on

spiritual principles. There may be members of the Fellowship who can help with personal matters, and they should be consulted in private, perhaps before or after the meeting.

Groups hold two basic types of meetings: those which are open to public, and those closed to the public--for addicts only.

Meetings vary widely in format from group to group. Examples include participation meetings, speaker meetings, question and answer, step studies, topic discussions, and combinations of these. Whichever format a group uses, the function is always the same: to provide a positive environment for personal recovery and to attract such recovery.

We need always remind ourselves that it is a privilege, given to us by the grace of God, for any of us to be of service to our Fellowship in any way. By all rights, many of us should not be alive, and yet we are recovering from addiction and able to help others. We never know when we might be the only example of recovery a suffering addict may see.

As members of N.A. groups, we do the best we can to share the message of recovery, trusting that God will direct us and help us. Even if our attempts to carry the message prove unsuccessful, they benefit our personal lives. We freely give away what was so freely given to us.

20 21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

2324

The Twelfth Step asks us, as individual members of N.A., to carry the message of our spiritual awakening to other addicts. It makes clear that this is the most important way we have of fulfilling the responsibilities of membership, of putting our love and commitment into

practice. The Fifth Tradition puts the same high priority on carrying the message--but does so within the context of the group.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28 29 The message itself is basically the same, whether we carry it as individuals or as groups. It's that an addict, any addict, can stop using drugs, lose the desire to use, and find a new way to live. Our message is one of hope and the promise of freedom. When all is said and done, our primary purpose can only be to carry the message to the addict who still suffers because that is all we have to give.

By sharing the recovery we've found--and by doing so as groups as well as individually-we gather strength and commitment in our new way of life. We share how we strive to live life on a spiritual basis. This is the message we have to offer--that we've found new ways of coping with old fears and reactions. Seeing and hearing how our recovery works in action reassures other members of the group who may share similar feelings, and keeps us on the path of recovery. We share the difficulties we encounter as well as the good times we experience. Most importantly, we share the principles we practice, the principles that enable us to make recovery our way of life. This kind of sharing enables each of us to learn from each other. And this is why it's important that we do share, whether or not our words sound "good" to ourselves or others. We carry the message of recovery, and someone may need to hear what is being said--"good" or "bad." We trust in our Higher Power when we share.

Our groups help carry the message--and therefore enhance the recovery process--by providing a suitable and reliable environment for sharing. We offer meeting rooms that adhere to established schedules and are run in orderly fashion. We form service committees and subcommittees whose primary function, regardless of their immediate assignments, is to carry the message.

A group—any N.A. group—can be defined very simply. It's two or more addicts sharing their experience, strength and hope. Hope is the key word. Individually we convey the hope we feel; as a group we collectively embody the hope our program gives us. So at meetings we talk about our experiences as addicts and as recovering addicts; most of all, we talk about how we came to change from one to the other. We talk about how we're able to live in the midst of everyday tensions, options, temptations and joys without using. This gives us the opportunity to learn from each other. It's how we share the message of hope.

Basically, what the Fifth Tradition does is to chart our course for us. Because of the autonomy our groups possess, they can interpret and convey the message in ways unique to each. Every group has the same overall goal, though, and this is what the Fifth Tradition is all about. It provides us with a constant reminder of N.A.'s primary purpose. Without it we would lack an overall sense of direction.

In time it becomes easy to see how important the structure provided by the Fifth Tradition really is. Without it we might each have a different interpretation of what our groups are for, and go off in dozens of different directions. We might easily get sidetracked by all kinds of issues that have no real bearing on our spiritual program, even though we might kid ourselves that they did. Some groups might concentrate on making money--and, yes, many of us might get rich. Some groups might concentrate on being a social club where they could find many friends and lovers--and would, indeed, find them. Some groups might specialize in education, and we would end up with many smart addicts. Some groups might specialize in offering medical help, and lots of us would get healthy. All these things might happen, but there would also be a big catch. The spiritual nature of our program would weaken or become

lost. Consequently, many of us would die. Few of us would find recovery.

 If we heed the Fifth Tradition we run all of our meetings-business meetings included--in ways that further our primary purpose. We take great care in choosing trusted servants--responsible members whose actions and decisions will further our spiritual principles as embodied in our Twelve Traditions. We refuse to let outside issues divert us from carrying the message. We contribute to the service structure in terms of the fund flow. We guide our service committees to direct addicts to our groups and make the message available in areas where groups are unable to do so directly. We use our business meetings for business matters, rather than diverting recovery meeting time for business items.

By its nature, a group is a very powerful and effective means for carrying the message. In fact, our groups can carry the message in ways individual members can't. For instance, in meetings we ask those present for the first time to identify themselves; then we welcome them collectively and, afterwards, get acquainted with them on an individual basis. We sometimes pass out lists of members and sponsors so that newcomers have a resource to turn to outside of meeting times.

The fact that a whole collection of members stays clean week after week is, in itself, a powerful message of recovery. If just one recovering addict shares recovery with a newcomer, that newcomer might say, "Well, you followed the N.A. Program and got clean and went on to lead a happy life--but you're an exception." If ten or twenty or fifty recovering addicts of all kinds collectively carry the message, it can't be so easily dismissed.

For this reason alone we must all strive, in accord with the Fifth Tradition, to keep meetings sharply focused on our primary function. At least one hour should be exclusively devoted to sharing about recovery.

Experience shows that when this doesn't happen, when meeting talk meanders all over the lot, many members lose interest. They stop coming to those meetings. Eventually the group folds.

 All of us have a responsibility to see that nothing of the sort happens. We exercise that responsibility in several ways--by choosing strong leaders who will keep meetings centered on our primary purpose, and by participating fully on an individual basis. Early in our recovery many N.A. members come away disappointed after attending certain meetings. They want every meeting to count, every meeting to give them something of substance. Typically, such members tell their sponsors, "That was a poor meeting last night--I didn't get a good message." The sponsor's usual--and apt--reply is, "What did you do to carry the message?" The point is that if a meeting goes astray we should do more than complain about it afterwards; we should do what we can, in terms of our own sharing, to turn that meeting around.

We must also make sure not to stretch coffee breaks to the point where they take more time than the meetings themselves. It occasionally happens, but we should always be on guard to see that it doesn't.

Seeing us sit around in a circle, talking about ourselves, some newcomers get the wrong idea. They jump to the conclusion that N.A. conducts group therapy sessions, the kind they had in treatment programs. It's the wrong conclusion but it can take awhile to sort out the differences between group therapy and the kind of sharing that's appropriate in N.A. meetings. Basically, it's simple. When we talk about problems and want reactions and solutions, that's more in the line of group therapy. A member says, "Boy, did I have a rotten day!" Another member replies, "Well, Jimmy (or Jane), let me tell you how to make your day a little better." That's merely expressing feelings of

1 resentment or discontent, and getting a reward for it. This feeds into 2 some of our self-destructive impulses-on the one hand, the need for 3 power; on the other, a need to be dependent. When we give advice to people who should be figuring things out for themselves we feed our egos. 4 5 When we ask others to do what we should be doing for ourselves, it's dependency. As addicts we were very dependent, not only on drugs but 6 on people, places and things. Therefore, N.A. meetings that follow a tell-7 8 us-your-problem-and-we'll-figure-it-out pattern neither carry the message

nor maintain an atmosphere of recovery.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

When we express feelings and present problems only to give vent to them and to solicit advice, we're using our N.A. meetings as a dumping ground. When we talk about our feelings and problems in a way that relates them specifically to our disease of addiction and our program of recovery--that's carrying the message. In accord with the Fifth Tradition we don't give advice, for advice can be right or wrong. We do share our experiences, which are neither night nor wrong, they just are.

Yet, N.A. meetings are a perfectly appropriate forum in which to express feelings and emotions, when we do so in the context of the trouble they can get us into. For many members, newcomers in particular, meetings are their only really "safe" place during any given twenty-four hour period. It's where we don't have to make any pretenses, don't have to hide the nature of our disease. It's where we can openly share our fears and grief in what is hopefully a supportive, non-judgmental atmosphere.

For many of us, N.A. is the place where we first learn to be open about our feelings-good, bad and indifferent. Learning to express them helps us deal with them, keeps them from overwhelming us. Not being able to deal with our feelings has always been a danger, it has driven us

to use. That's why discussion meetings on a topic like anger, or regular meetings in which half the time is devoted to a topic like that, are vital to our spiritual program. They help us recognize our character defects so that we may humbly ask God to remove them.

We learn a saying that goes, "We carry the message, not the addict." It's a principle that keeps our groups from getting into the business of solving personal problems, lending money to members, finding them jobs or housing, or becoming involved in their medical and legal difficulties. There are a number of reasons why we avoid offering these kinds of help. For one thing, we want our groups to be places that encourage self-reliance rather than dependency. Too, we're not a social service agency, hospital or legal assistance center. To get involved in any kind of counselling or rehabilitation work would divert our energies from our primary function, so we leave these things to others. We simply carry the message, which is what we've found to be most helpful and effective. Finally, we're not trained to offer psychological, legal, medical or other kinds of counselling. If we did offer such counselling we might inadvertently do injury to the recipients and create legal complications for ourselves.

There is, however, a distinction between group conduct and personal conduct. Members do help each other, counsel each other over coffee, by telephone, or in sponsor-member relationships. It's not a Fifth Tradition violation for one member to tell another, "You can crash at my place tonight," or "You need some groceries, here's ten bucks," or, "I know a guy who's looking for a painter, go see him, call this number."

However, the Fifth Tradition provides guidelines to remind us of our purpose. It teaches us to be cautious in how much help we give anybody, member or not, who is close to us. We want to refrain from

going on power trips. We want to avoid feeding anybody's strong dependency needs.

Our primary purpose is to carry the message to the addict who still suffers, and newcomers are among the most hurting of addicts with whom we come in contact. Yet we don't always pay as much attention to them when, scared and ready to bolt, they attend their first N.A. meetings. Sometimes, we stand around and talk to our friends rather than reaching out a welcoming hand to them. Sometimes we turn away. They remind us too much of where we once were--or could be again. For some newcomers that first meeting is the last; quick to feel rejected if ignored, they slip out and don't come back.

When we remind ourselves that we might have lost the chance for survival and recovery if we hadn't been greeted warmly during our first N.A. meetings, we take the Fifth Tradition as seriously as we can. We know that people's lives hinge on this. So we treat newcomers as the most important persons in the room, welcome them, let them know we understand their pain, invite them along for coffee after the meeting. We might challenge them on their attitudes—but pay attention to them. Some of our groups even have welcoming committees whose members keep a sharp lookout for strange faces that might otherwise be ignored in the general hubbub preceding and following a meeting.

"Newcomer" doesn't only mean addicts who attend their first few meetings. Some of us were initially the focus of concerned attention, but then interest in us was lost when fresh newcomers arrived. One member recalls how, after a couple of months, he was taken for granted by his group. The other members weren't deliberately ignoring him, they simply figured he was okay on his own by then. There were other newcomers for them to worry about.

As it happened, however, this was a critical time for him. He had a foothold in N.A., but he wasn't fully committed and the desire to use again was strong. The desire not to use was stronger, fortunately, and he held on. When he became a trusted servant he recalled that difficult period and looked at ways to assist the newer members in being part of the group-making coffee, putting out the literature, helping with the arrangements for social functions. "Addicts coming into these rooms want to be loved and want to have a purpose in their lives," this member later explained.

When we first heard about carrying the message to the addict who still suffers we thought it meant only to the obviously suffering addicts-newcomers and those who still used. We thought that after we stopped using for a while the suffering would be over. But we saw that we were wrong. The acute suffering, born of the wretched lives we'd led while using, did end. Working the Twelve Steps, placing our trust in the God of our understanding, did quiet our inner turmoil. We learned, for the most part, to cope with our emotions. We led much happier, fulfilling lives than we ever had. But the potential for suffering didn't leave us. Few of us, no matter how long we were in N.A., could say we never felt Few of us could say we never felt extra tense or blue. like using. Actually, many of us have a special tendency to get depressed, a mark of our disease. At times something seemingly minor, such as being greeted less than enthusiastically by an old friend we encounter on the street, can upset us a lot. No matter how tough our veneer, we bruise easily and there's that strong association for us between pain and using. No matter how long we've been in the Fellowship, even years or decades, there are times when we need the message as much as a new member does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

So the addict who still suffers can be any of us--someone who's still in withdrawal, someone who feels utterly hopeless because he hasn't yet pulled his life together, or someone who's been in recovery for years but going through a crisis. This quiet suffering is easy to overlook, especially in oldtimers, but we need to pay close, loving attention to each other to see that it isn't. We need to notice if a member--any member--looks, acts or talks differently, more anxiously or resentfully, this week than last. We need to listen to members who express feelings of helplessness or hopelessness or anger, or begin to talk about drugs in a way that makes drugs seem attractive. We need to tell that member that he or she is out of line. We need to listen for the feelings beneath the words--as when a member says, "I don't need you s.o.b.'s!" but is really saying, "For God's sake, straighten me out." If we don't pay this kind of loving attention it could mean somebody's life.

It's the responsibility of our groups, of the people in our groups, to speak out in these kinds of circumstances. To be straight with each other, though that may sometimes be hard, when we sense something amiss with a member. Sometimes a meeting is the right setting for such a confrontation. But sometimes it works better to take that suffering oldtimer or newcomer out for coffee afterwards, and trust our Higher Power that we voice our concern in a way the member can hear.

The Fifth Tradition asks us to "carry" the message, not to force it on anyone. Neither as individual members nor collectively as groups can we make addicts join our program of recovery or keep them in it. We can only show them, by our example, that any user may become clean and find a new way of life. We can only hope that when we carry our message the addicts who still suffer will hear it in time to save their lives.

1 General Input 2 3 Whether an addict is not yet clean, clean for a week, month, or 5 many years she or he may still be suffering. 6 Tradition Five ensures an atmosphere of recovery for everyone 7 who comes through those doors-a place where we are sure to find 8 9 understanding, consideration and love. Our message is one of hope, that addicts can live contented, happy 10 11 lives without using drugs of any kind. When disagreements, gossip or resentments surface, we would be 12 well advised to remember this tradition and ask ourselves if we are 13 14 contributing to an environment where people will feel welcome and hear 15 about recovery. I know many times I have come to meetings tearful or angry and 16 found relief through the caring and sharing of fellow members. Because 17 of this tradition I feel comfortable about coming to meetings when I am 18 upset or afraid, and I am equally confident bringing newcomers because I 19 20 know they will be welcomed with love and warmth and sincerity. 21 The group is a powerful tool in our recoveries. We can gather 22 here-individuals from differing backgrounds, with different opinions and 23 beliefs and stand together on this tradition to share our joyful message of

recovery. In the group we are able to live in the solution instead of the problem.

4 **********************

Someone says newcomers often get shuffled off at meetings. Do I get into that? (Maybe instead I could write about how newcomers should be treated.)

Well...I've heard this and possibly I've seen this but I've been very busy talking with other members including newcomers before and after meetings so maybe my viewpoint is a little different.

I suppose if someone took the time out to set off to the side and observe they would see some newcomers who get shuffled off. I would recommend that after they had established this terrible fact to their satisfaction, that they get back to what works in N.A. and greet those newcomers themselves.

We are great critics. We can spot error, fault and insufficiency in others with the kind of skill and ability that comes only after years of dedicated study and practice. We utilize this skill in recovery to uncover those same faults and insufficiencies in ourselves and pray for the God of our understanding to remove the tendency to criticize others and grant us the ability to unleash the talents and abilities we all have to help others and ourselves as well. This is what recovery is all about.

If we were able to help every addict who walked through our doors it would be incredible, fantastic; N.A. is not a fantasy. We suffer from a killing disease and the recovering addict is the best weapon we have against the disease of addiction. This crucial fact should be illuminated and repeatedly presented to the reader to instill the kind of energy and encouragement our members need to give it their personal best.

Generally, when this sort of thing actually occurs with any regularity, there is something distracting the local Fellowship. It is good for those who seem to have the presence of mind to step into the moment and bring up the primary purpose of our groups when this most important of group functions is not being carried out. It only takes one of us per meeting to set this example.

 Regarding the sticky question of dual membership, N.A. and A.A.?

Certainly, this old question needs to be robbed of the undeserved power we have given it.

In days gone by we in N.A. didn't realize the extent to which alcohol was viewed as a separate drug and the exact reasons that this simple matter could so dispirit our members.

I don't claim complete understanding of all this but I have gotten over any fear of discussion, and apparently my understanding is sufficient to my needs, so in hopes it will help, I will hold forth on the subject.

One, regarding it as a sticky question is nonproductive. We know avoidance doesn't work. In response to members asking for help with this, I always say that they should regard anyone in a closed meeting of N.A. as an addict seeking recovery and treat with them accordingly. Don't allow them to distract you with talk of other programs or act like lords of recovery based on abstinence from just one drug. If they claim abstinence from all drugs, then welcome them to N.A. and talk about recovery from the disease of addiction and how it can work to divide people who should be working in accord with one another. Understand that they must be suffering, especially if they are acting superior to our members. What pride and arrogance to come into a place of recovery and disrupt what they do not understand. Spiritual arrogance is the worse sort of lack of faith.

1 Now, to the elements of the 'controversy': A.A. has been the 2 larger program and the older program. They have certainly paid their 3 dues and earned their stripes. We state plainly in our literature the 4 honest gratitude we have for the many ways in which we benefit from the progress they may have made in recovery from one form of drug 5 addiction. Where then does the animosity come from? An A.A. member 6 7 is someone who owes their life and their recovery to Alcoholics Anonymous. They are naturally grateful and believe A.A. works based 8 9 on their experience. An N.A. member is someone who feels the same 10 way about N.A. In addition, for many of our members, A.A. has not worked for them. A little known fact about our Basic Text is that the 11 12 stories were edited to avoid repeating the statement that A.A. didn't 13 work. This was done because everyone involved with the work at the time felt it would be unworthy of us to direct uncomplimentary 14 statements to predominate in material designed to foster recovery. Our 15 Book shouldn't seem like we were putting A.A. down. 16 These two elements produce the crash which occurs when people of different basic 17 18 beliefs are brought into close proximity and required situationally to take up for their beliefs. The fact that this is totally out of place in a meeting 19 where the primary purpose is to carry an N.A. message of recovery 20 21 compounds the problem. Add in the fact that a lot of N.A. meetings got their start because addicts in A.A. meetings made alcoholics 22 23 uncomfortable. Alcoholics who were supportive of addicts seeking 24 recovery helped our meetings get started. Where we have grown to the point where we begin to stand on N.A. principles and gain in spiritual 25 and personal integrity, many of these early supporters felt we were 26 27 ungrateful to go our own, a program in our own right! Stir in few more 28 factors: it is a sad fact that sober does not mean clean and that you can 29 get an anniversary in A.A. while using drugs other than alcohol. By

itself, this is bad enough from our point of view with regards to total abstinence, but add to it the factors of 1) denial of their need for help, and 2) that alcoholics attending our meetings who are not clean from all drugs are a threat to the primary purpose of our groups and you can easily see where the `controversy' comes from.

To avoid any possible assumption that I am getting my point across this easily, let me be a bit more graphic by citing some examples of how this has worked out in a few cases.

First, imagine members of A.A. deliberately coming into N.A. meetings seeking sex with women who had been assured they were in a safe place for purposes of recovery and smoking marijuana in front of them before, during or after the act. We have had to learn to take up for ourselves and our members. Of course, women came for men, etc.

Second, a hopefully not too well known meeting of another fellowship in Oregon was comprised of drug dealers who all had one thing in common. They didn't touch the substance they each admitted addiction to.

Third, meetings of N.A. were started again and again in New Jersey but after two or three young new meetings got started, suddenly all the newcomers disappeared and the meetings failed. This happened several times over a period of years. The story given by one of the members who eventually made major contributions to the effort for our Basic Text was that members of another organization would come down into the N.A. meetings to sell pot and the newcomers fell like wheat before the blade. He was one of them. He got clean in N. A. and let the cat out of the bag and N.A. in New Jersey has grown steadily since.

Unfortunately, I could go on. Notice that all these except one involve happenings within N.A. meeting places. We have simply had to learn to take care of ourselves and deal with these sorts of things for

them to stop happening. Since they were forced upon us, our members can't be 'blamed' for them. Nor would we blame others, even from other Fellowships. We just had trouble seeing them for what they were and then it was easier to get around to carrying our message to them.

See, they would sound so good. They would be talking about God and the Twelve Steps, brotherhood, both programs are really the same, etc. They really get upset when you speak against being merely sober. Get it? They aren't clean! The disease of addiction is a disease of lies. It has been supremely difficult to dig into all this and bring the facts to the surface but we addicts are used to accepting things like this. When it comes to the disease of addiction, forget about it playing fair! At least the truth can be accepted and dealt with according to facts which can get results. It isn't that different from the other varieties of using behavior we are more accustomed to seeing.

There are probably more "elements" than I went into but I realize that this sort of truth may be disagreeable to some at first. I don't think I made anything up. I am extremely glad that N.A. gives me a program I can believe in. If others find recovery elsewhere, I don't mind. I wish them all well. For our spiritual integrity, we have to be able to get real and honest about the truth.

There is no controversy. It is only the disease of addiction working to eat away at people's lives and succeeding as long as those who see what's happening keep quiet.

25 ***********************

The best way that a group can carry the message is by always maintaining an atmosphere of recovery in our meetings. We do this by upholding the Twelve Traditions; by offering a positive message of hope

that anyone with a desire to stop using can find recovery from the disease of addiction in N.A., by our active participation in all aspects of the group meetings; and by helping everyone feel welcome, especially the new members, with a warm smile, some kind words, and a hug. The quality of the message we carry as a group is directly related to the quality of recovery maintained by its members. The best way to carry a positive message of hope and happiness is to live one. We learn an application of the Twelve Traditions in our daily lives.

On a personal level the Fifth Tradition gives purpose to our lives. Many of us wandered aimlessly, with no direction as to what we wanted to be or what we wanted to do. In our searching, some of us joined cause after cause looking for an answer. Others tried different religions and spiritual practices, never sticking long with any of them, trying to find something to fill that empty void in our lives. Most of us turned to drugs for the same reason and when they stopped working, eventually came to Narcotics Anonymous. Through our desire to stay clean we are granted the willingness to become part of a cause that we will pursue the rest of our recovering lives. To carry the message we need to live the message. By doing this we pursue our search for spiritual growth and fulfillment, we form special bonds with people along the way, and we become assets rather than liabilities to the world around us. Most important, while helping ourselves we help others. As one member put it, "what nobler purpose in life, than to alleviate human suffering!" We can have gratitude today, or we do have a purpose and we can make a difference.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

`.**!**

| 1 | | WORLD LITERATURE COMMITTEE |
|----|------|---|
| .2 | | TRADITION REVIEW MATERIAL BOOK - 1988 |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | TRADITION SIX. |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | "An N.A. group ought never endorse, finance, or lend the N.A. |
| 7 | nan | ne to any related facility or outside enterprise, lest problems of money, |
| 8 | | property or prestige divert us from our primary purpose." |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | Outline |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | I. | Tie in with fifth Tradition: the sixth tells us how to preserve and |
| 13 | | protect our groups so they can fulfill fifth. |
| 14 | | A. We need this tradition to protect N.A. from legal and |
| 15 | | financial problems and to avoid controversy. |
| 16 | | B. Tie in with groups and service committees. |
| 17 | | C. Endorsement means to sanction, approve or recommend and |
| 18 | | can be either implied or direct. |
| 19 | II. | N.A. doesn't finance outside enterprises because ownership creates |
| 20 | | influence and exacerbates our self serving instincts, but also our |
| 21 | | 7th Tradition funds are for carrying out our primary purpose. |
| 22 | III. | Nonaffiliation |
| 23 | | A. We don't lend the N.A. name no matter what the value of |
| 24 | | any other organization because, to do so would have to |
| 25 | | sacrifice anonymity at individual and group level. |

| 1 | IV. | Examples of the problems which can result from endorsing, | | | |
|----|------|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | | financing or lending - see blue draft for examples, writer included | | | |
| 3 | | some. | | | |
| 4 | | A. Clubhouses. | | | |
| 5 | | B. Using non-N.A. literature, the money goes to support | | | |
| 6 | | another organization. | | | |
| 7 | | C. Treatment center holds N.A. meetings in facilities and | | | |
| 8 | | advertises. | | | |
| 9 | | D. Other 12 Step Fellowships. | | | |
| 10 | | E. All of these divert us from our primary purpose. | | | |
| 11 | V. | Tradition Twelve ties in. | | | |
| 12 | | A. Addiction makes us all - members and groups - equal. | | | |
| 13 | | B. No group is more prestigious than another, recovery is | | | |
| 14 | | available at all meetings. | | | |
| 15 | | C. Endorsing, financing and lending foster self-serving instincts | | | |
| 16 | | which are contrary to N.A. philosophy - "anonymity is | | | |
| 17 | | selfless services". | | | |
| 18 | VI. | Application of Traditiom 6 to members, groups and N.A. as a | | | |
| 19 | • | whole. | | | |
| 20 | VII. | Summary and lead in to Tradition 7 - Tradition Six provides an | | | |
| 21 | | end to controversy and confusion, offering a chance at recovery to | | | |
| 22 | | addicts who seek it. | | | |
| 23 | | · | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

This tradition sets up some guidelines to protect N.A. as a whole and its individual members, and to preserve and ensure our primary purpose: carrying the message to the addict who still suffers. Controversy arises when members endorse or announce outside enterprises, and this damages the atmosphere of recovery in our meetings. Financing, endorsing, or lending our name to outside facilities or enterprises also opens N.A. to legal and financial problems. We must always remember that this is <u>our</u> program - addicts helping addicts. We are not related to facilities that treat addicts, even if we hold our meetings there. Our purpose is not to become rich or influential, but to stay clean and help the addict who still suffers.

The Sixth Tradition tells us to avoid the power struggles associated with endorsement. The underlying principle is letting go of our old ideas of money, property and prestige, and grasping new standards of spiritual and emotional growth.

The Sixth Tradition may seem hard to understand. But when we really take a look and try to understand, its simplicity amazes us. We can see the danger of endorsement, financial support and letting others use our name. We can see how easily such involvements have led to abuse of money, property and prestige, and we have seen the painful results of this abuse.

Let us take a closer look at what this tradition really says. First, a group ought never endorse. To endorse is to sanction, approve, or recommend. Endorsement can either be direct or implied. We see direct endorsements every day in politics and advertising. A direct endorsement is often used to persuade someone to do something, such as

a famous person endorsing a certain product, or a candidate advocating particular views. An implied endorsement is one that is not stated, and is harder to define. How many times have we seen a television star advertise a certain product? The implication is that anyone who buys the product will be as rich and famous as the television star. Sometimes athletic equipment is provided to professional athletes to wear because their fans will believe it is the best, and this benefits the equipment company's sales. This is not usually stated formally; but in these examples, the television star and athletes are implicitly endorsing those products.

Many organizations wish to use the N.A. name in connection with their services. Allowing this would imply endorsement and therefore violate Tradition Six. Hospitals, recovery houses, and probation and parole offices are some of the related facilities we deal with in carrying the N.A. message. These facilities treat addicts, and often refer them to N.A. Some of us may have undergone and benefitted from treatment, but we are careful that if we recommend a facility to someone, we make it clear that we do so personally and not as a member of N.A. We must be careful that when we cooperate with these organizations, we clearly state our policy of non-affiliation to everyone concerned. We are a separate entity, and we do not wish to be associated with any related facility. Each facility has its own successes and failures. We do not wish to be applauded or criticized based on someone else's actions.

"An outside enterprise is an agency, business venture, religion, society, organization, related activity or any other Fellowship. Most of these are easy to identify except for the other fellowships. Narcotics Anonymous is a separate and distinct Fellowship in its own right. Our problem is addiction. The other Twelve Step Fellowships specialize in

other problems and our relationship with them is one of cooperation, not affiliation."

In carrying the message of recovery, we must be careful to keep it simple and within the framework of N.A. Our experience has shown that sharing an ambiguous message by referring to outside enterprises can be detrimental to recovery and result in confusion. "The use of literature, speakers and announcements of other fellowships in our meetings constitutes an implied endorsement of an outside enterprise."

It is best for N.A. not be involved with outside functions because many problems can arise. We have seen that the best way to avoid these problems is to steer clear of any situations which may distract us from our primary purpose. We choose to not participate in conflicts for power and influence.

Narcotics Anonymous does not own or endorse any related facilities, nor does N.A. finance enterprises of any nature. Ownership of anything creates influence, which in turn fosters the very self-serving instincts which are contradictory to the philosophy of the N.A. program.

When money or property are involved, we easily lose sight of our principles. The suffering addict may not seem important if she or he is compared to getting a large sum of money. When prestige is involved, people's egos and pride cause problems. We are not concerned with prestige in N.A. because we reach out to every addict who wants help. To strive for prestige would be disastrous because it would cause us to stray from our primary purpose, which is to carry the message to the suffering addict. After all, our purpose is not to be the most important or the best, but to stay clean and recover.

We allow others, outside of N.A., to struggle for control, while we concentrate on our priority of recovery. Endorsing or lending our name to any related facility or outside enterprise relinquishes some of our

responsibility for our own recovery. We've tried the easier ways, and that's how we got to N.A.

It is not difficult to see how the N.A. program could become diversified, diluted, or misrepresented to fit the need of related facilities or enterprises. One example of this concerned a meeting held in a recovery house which was primarily attended by N.A. members who had once been residents of that recovery house. The recovery house held regular fund-raising functions for their facility, and announced them at the N.A. meetings. In-house residents, as well as other new members, had difficulty distinguishing between which announcements were for N.A. and which were the recovery house announcements. The non-N.A. announcements constituted a violation of Tradition Six because they implied an endorsement of the recovery house.

In another area, several members began attending prayer and meditation groups held at a local church. These members gained much from the sessions and began telling other N.A. members about them. Soon many N.A. members became involved in the prayer and meditation groups and began distributing flyers for them at N.A. meetings. In this instance, new members often wondered if N.A. was a prayer and meditation group. Again, this violated the Sixth Tradition by endorsing an outside enterprise.

Another example involved a birthday celebration announced at N.A. meetings, although the person celebrating the birthday planned to do so at a meeting of another Twelve Step Fellowship. By announcing activities planned for another fellowship, this comprised a violation of Tradition Six.

A final example occurred at a large speaker meeting in a rapidly growing area. This group frequently used speakers from other Twelve Step Fellowships. The secretary of the group thought it was necessary

because the area contained many newcomers who needed to learn how to 1 apply the Twelve Steps and practice the principles of the program. The 2 secretary therefore felt it was important to choose speakers with 3 considerable clean time and speaking experience. 4 This example illustrates a violation of the Sixth Tradition, through affiliation with an 5 6

outside enterprise.

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

What are some better ways of handling these troublesome situations? A first step would be to privately approach the individual involved. Take time to think of an alternative which would be within the Twelve Traditions before approaching the person. For example, in the case of the secretary using speakers from other Twelve Step Fellowships, explain how this violates Tradition Six. Acknowledge that it is often difficult to find experienced N.A. speakers in some areas, and suggest that a solution may be to find long-term N.A. members from another area who are willing to travel to carry the message of recovery. In the instance of the recovery house, it would be wise to suggest that the house place a bulletin board outside the meeting room and post its announcements there.

With the members of the prayer and meditation group, consider sharing about the group verbally on an individual basis, rather than distributing printed flyers. Announcements could also be posted on a bulletin board outside of the meeting room.

Suggest and encourage the N.A. member celebrating the birthday in another fellowship to celebrate it in N.A., too. Explain how much you would appreciate it, how helpful it is for newcomers to see recovering addicts commemorate recovery birthdays in N.A., and that it gives newcomers in N.A. hope and inspiration. If the member wants to celebrate the birthday in another program also, that celebration should be discussed only in that program. N.A. and other Twelve Step

Fellowships are separate, and activities or meeting events in one should 1 not become affiliated with the other. 2 We work for an end to confusion and controversy - for recovery 3 and unity. N.A. needs to remain a separate entity. Lending the N.A. 4 name or financing other organizations would defeat us in our main 5 purpose, sap our energy and divide us. N.A. is a place where an addict 6 can go to be with other addicts and learn the process of recovery. We 7 want to keep our program as simple as possible, and the Sixth Tradition 8 ensures this. By keeping financial matters simple, our freedom will not 9 10 be impaired. 11 Standing firmly on the principles of the Twelve Traditions and 12 surrendering to the group conscience becomes a valuable recovery 13 This cannot be learned by running away. experience. 14 responsible for our recovery and for our actions. We practice the 15 Traditions for our own welfare and the protection of N.A. Let us never lose sight of this goal. Let us strive to keep the 16 principles of the program foremost in mind, so that the many addicts 17 who need and want this new way of life will have a chance to recover. 18 19 20 21 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft 22 23

The Fifth Tradition spells out our primary purpose. The Sixth Tradition tells us how to preserve and protect our groups in certain 26 important ways so that they will be able to fulfill that purpose.

Many of us haven't liked the Sixth Tradition at all. We've found it too negative, too rigid, the dangers it warns against vastly overblown. Members who have had good experiences with other Twelve Step

24

25

27

28

programs, and were stopped from discussing them in N.A. meetings because of this tradition, have been particularly upset.

12.

Yet Tradition Six violations have repeatedly gotten us into serious difficulties. This is understandable when we reflect that money, property and prestige are the very things that repeatedly caused us grief when we were using. They were our obsessions. If we don't take care, they continue to be--with great harm to ourselves and our Fellowship.

The wording of the Sixth Tradition doesn't lend it to a hurried reading. There's so much to it, in fact, that it helps to examine this tradition in small bits. What, for instance, does "endorse" mean in this context? What's a "related facility?" What's an outside enterprise?"

To "endorse," the dictionary says, is to sanction, approve or recommend. Endorsements can be either direct or implied. Many advertisements in magazines or television commercials carry direct endorsements—as when a famous actor or sports figure tells us how good a certain product is. Implied endorsements are harder to define, because they're a form of indirect persuasion. In some commercials nobody directly says, "Buy this product"; instead, we see somebody glamorous or famous using it. The implication is that anybody who uses this product will also be glamorous or famous. Another example: Sports equipment and apparel manufacturers sometimes give professional athletes their products to use. The very fact that the athletes use those products in public constitutes an implied endorsement. It tells us that the athletes really like the equipment or apparel.

What does all this have to do with us in N.A.? With God's help we do a very good job of helping people recover from the disease of drug addiction. Many of the "related facilities" we deal with--hospitals, recovery houses, probation and parole offices--would like to use the Narcotics Anonymous name in connection with their services. They

think it's good advertising. But to let them use our name amounts to an implied endorsement, so we have to say no. Sometimes they're not too happy about this.

Related facilities treat addicts and often refer them to N.A. Some of our members have personally benefited from them. Having had good experiences with certain facilities, some of us have wanted to recommend them to other members. We were told we could make such recommendations privately, but never as N.A. members or at meetings. This, too, has provoked some angry reactions. We didn't see any sense to it.

It does make a lot of sense, though. Endorsing any facility, no matter how good, invites many problems. If we, an N.A. group or Fellowship, endorsed one facility, other facilities we didn't endorse would get mad at us. If we endorsed a facility on one member's recommendation, it would create ill will with other members whose recommendations we turned down. Also, we would be tied to the success or failure of any facility we endorsed; if something went wrong there, it would rebound on us and hurt N.A.

From time to time some of our groups have invited knowledgeable representatives from treatment centers and other related facilities to speak at N.A. meetings. Some groups have posted announcements or read notices having to do with treatment centers--for instance, the opening of a new rehabilitation center. When this is done at N.A. meetings it's an implied endorsement--and violates the Sixth Tradition.

We strive to have good working relationships with treatment centers, hospitals, recovery houses, referral agencies and other related facilities. The success of our mailings to such facilities--and the success of our posting in clubhouses, public display areas and other places--all

depend on how well we cooperate with them. But our policy must always be cooperation--not affiliation.

We can politely say no and explain why when a related facility wants to use our name; the situation is in our control. If a related facility uses our name or even a portion of our program without permission, that's not in our control. It has happened, for example, that treatment centers with which we had relationships started calling themselves "Narcotics Anonymous Treatment Center" or something similar. Some advertised the fact that N.A. meetings took place there, as a way of attracting new clients. We try to reason with facilities that capitalize on our name without permission, explaining the confusion and potential problems this creates.

Usually a friendly, reasonable approach brings positive results. The facility stops using the N.A. name. Occasionally, however, an organization refuses to cooperate with us. Then we have to trust to God that our Fellowship will come to no harm. In one case a treatment center took our Twelve Steps, called them by another name, and telescoped them into a 28-day program. It was clear to concerned N.A. members in the area that this approach had little chance of working, and they tried to get the facility to change it. The members were unsuccessful. At this point there was little more they could do; no outside group is bound by our Traditions. But in having tried hard to get that facility to change its unhelpful approach, they were fulfilling their Sixth Tradition obligations. And attempting to save lives.

Clubhouses run by N.A. members are a particularly sticky issue insofar as the Sixth Tradition is concerned. Started by members for members, they bring recovering addicts together for dances, parties and other social purposes. When we get together socially in a relaxed

clubhouse atmosphere, we're encouraged to build rich friendships and loving relationships.

However, clubhouses have a tendency to call themselves "Narcotics Anonymous" clubhouses. This gives the impression that N.A. has lent its name to those clubhouses when it has not. It leaves newcomers and even some oldtimers thinking the clubhouses are a part of N.A. when they're not. They are a related facility and the use of N.A.'s name violates the Sixth Tradition.

Some of us haven't been able to see what the fuss is all about. Since clubhouses are run by and for N.A. members, what difference does it make if they use N.A.'s name? The difference is that if they do so our entire Fellowship assumes some responsibility for what goes on there. If a problem occurs, N.A. gets the blame, the bad publicity, and our Fellowship suffers. For instance, in one community a clubhouse was cited for health department violations and the local newspaper reported that the "Narcotics Anonymous Clubhouse" had done the violating. In another community a fight broke out between two clubhouses and a stabbing occurred. When the incident appeared in the paper this clubhouse was also called by the name it called itself--"Narcotics Anonymous Clubhouse." Such newspaper accounts do harm our reputation, and addicts who might want to come to N.A. have second thoughts about doing so.

More complications occur when clubhouses use N.A.'s name and, as happens, also rent space for N.A. meetings. In many member's minds, then, the clubhouse and Narcotics Anonymous become one and the same. This affects how donations are spent. Sometimes they're used to defray the expenses of a forthcoming clubhouse dance, instead of being used to further our basic spiritual program. This is a clear violation of

the Sixth Tradition, which prohibits us from financing outsideenterprises.

 Now and again some enterprising members have appropriated our name for commercial purposes in a different way. They've taken the N.A. logo and put it on coffee mugs, T-shirts, jewelry and other items. They have then sold these items at N.A. meetings and conventions.

Entrepreneurship--really, being productive and inventive in earning a living--is a very positive outgrowth of individual recovery. Some of our members do well financially after they stop using. But taking the N.A. logo without permission and making money on it is contrary to the Sixth Tradition. The reasons: Taking anything without permission violates our spiritual program and damages our individual recovery. Too, when a member sells objects marked with N.A.'s name or logo for private gain other members don't know that. They think the money they spend for these objects helps to finance Narcotics Anonymous.

After getting clean, some of our members find jobs in drug treatment or related fields. While they bring a special sensitivity to their work, both the Sixth and the Eighth Traditions make it essential that even the appearance of a conflict of interests be avoided. So members who work in the treatment field make it a point not to hand out cards or post fliers advertising their facilities when they're at N.A. functions. Otherwise they would be putting N.A. in the position of endorsing their facilities or practices.

Our hotlines are an important way in which we carry our message to the suffering addict "out there." We use the hotlines for addicts who want information about N.A. Such contact often leads to a Twelfth Step call. But hotlines do create a dilemma for us sometimes in terms of the Sixth Tradition. Some hotline callers want specific referrals to a hospital

or detox center. The problem is obvious. Those of us manning the 1 hotline want to help the addict but don't want to make a specific referral 2 3 because that would constitute an endorsement of the facility to which we 4 Making an endorsement would violate the Sixth send the caller. Tradition--and create potentially serious difficulties for N.A. If we made 5 a referral and the addict's experience at that treatment center was poor, 6 for example, we might be held morally and even legally responsible. 7 8 Too, if we regularly referred callers to hospitals or treatment centers, we might be offered money to steer addicts to a particular facility. And we 9 might be tempted to make a deal, either for personal gain or to help 10 finance our group's projects. Experience shows that it takes some 11 12 members a long time to resist the temptations offered by money, property or prestige. We had best avoid such temptations as we work on 13 14 our personal recoveries.

Members who manage the hotlines handle requests for referrals in ways that are helpful yet in accord with the Sixth Tradition. If the addict wants a doctor, for instance, they suggest a call to the local medical society, which keeps a list of available physicians. If the addict wants a detox or treatment center, they refer him to a state or city-operated referral service. Many communities have such services. If the addict insists and the situation warrants it, members manning the hotline might offer several alternative facilities. However, they would carefully explain that N.A. can endorse none of them.

Yet, the Sixth Tradition notwithstanding, members on the hotlines also realize they must sometimes use their own judgment, especially if it's a life-or-death situation. For example, an N.A. member on his group's hotline received a call from a woman whose husband had just ingested a large amount of a chemical substance. She feared for his life. She was quickly given the number for the local poison control center;

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

there was no time to waste. "I don't think as a rule we should make referrals of any kind, but I also don't think we should forget our responsibility to another member of the human race," this member later said.

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

29

A hospital or detox center is a "related facility." Then what's an "outside enterprise?" When we first read the Sixth Tradition, many of us weren't sure. In talking to members who knew the Twelve Traditions well we learned that it meant any agency, business venture, society, organization, religion or fellowship other than N.A.

Some of us were troubled by the fact that religion was included. After all, many of us find great joy in the practice of a particular religion. In fact, some of us turned to formal religious worship after we experienced a spiritual awakening in N.A. Every so often a group decides to turn a step-study (or other) meeting into a Bible study meeting. This is a violation of the Sixth Tradition because the Bible is not N.A.-approved literature. Narcotics Anonymous neither endorses the Bible nor any formal religion. To endorse the Bible, though it's cherished by many, would alienate those who did not cherish it. To endorse one religion (as represented by Bible study) would alienate both newcomers and oldtimers who didn't believe in that religion or in any. They would stop coming to meetings and our spiritual program would be lost to them. Alternatively, we would have to give "equal time" to all religions and to those who choose not to believe in them. Religious or philosophical discussions would fill the time we need for our program of recovery.

Reading or distributing unapproved literature of any kind--not only the Bible--constitutes a violation of Tradition Six. That includes materials produced by drug therapy centers, hospitals, and other fellowships. The merits of the literature has nothing to do with it.

Whether or not it's controversial has nothing to do with it. Whether it's self-help or instructional material that could be of great use to our recovery if read privately has nothing to do with it. Whenever we read or pass out unapproved literature at N.A. meetings we're putting N.A. in the position of endorsing both the materials and those who sponsored them.

Over the years many of us have brought literature from another fellowship into N.A. meetings. When we did so it was usually because we also belonged to that other fellowship, or had in the past. We appreciated the help we received in that fellowship and wanted to share its principles with fellow N.A. members. When this has happened, though, it has created much dissension. N.A. members with no connection to any other fellowship have been angered by the introduction of these materials. N.A. members who want to introduce them, or talk about their experiences in the other fellowship, have been equally angered when told they couldn't.

If we look at N.A.'s history we can understand more clearly why feelings run high on this issue. The practice of bringing outside literature to N.A.-especially from Alcoholics Anonymous and other Twelve Step fellowships-began long ago. N.A. had little of its own materials then, and N.A. members improvised as best they could. A Canadian member recalled how hard a struggle it was to establish an N.A. group in his area. He didn't even know N.A. had any literature of its own, so he adapted A.A. materials and paid for the printing of brochures and booklets that members could study. Those conditions no longer prevail. Now N.A. has its own abundant supply of literature that any group anywhere in the world can obtain.

The outside fellowship's literature that turns up most often in our meetings is from Alcoholics Anonymous. When members wish to discuss

their good experiences in another fellowship, it's usually A.A. they're talking about. Many of our members used alcohol as well as other drugs and A.A. became their first Twelve Step program. Some can recall attending A.A. meetings when N.A. was little more than a hope.

Typical is an N.A. member who, many decades ago, started the first N.A. meeting in his area. He had only a few dollars to get started. When he looked for a likely meeting place, everyone he approached turned him down. So for a year he held meetings in his cramped home. Sometimes nobody came. Sometimes addicts who had just quit using came. Sometimes a lot of users showed up. The founding member felt very stressed because the survival of the group seemed to depend solely on him. So, many evenings after his N.A. meeting ended, he rushed to a nearby A.A. meeting to catch the last half. He gratefully recalled, "I felt there was some history there, someplace for me to fall into. I felt supported there, I felt safe."

Narcotics Anonymous was founded in 1953 by addicts who had learned the tools of their trade in A.A. In fact, N.A. was born when more and more people using heroin, cocaine, pills and other non-alcohol drugs showed up at A.A. meetings seeking recovery. They too wanted help and A.A. faced a great dilemma. Its success was based on a single-minded focus on alcohol; its message was directed exclusively at alcoholics. It didn't want to alter or dilute that message, but it did want to help people addicted to other drugs. Concluding that it couldn't accept into its program those whose primary problem wasn't alcohol, A.A. generously offered its steps and traditions for adaptation to any group in a spirit of "cooperation, not affiliation."

This far-sighted solution paved the way for N.A.'s birth, but N.A.'s founders then faced their own dilemma. They needed to adapt A.A.'s Twelve Steps in a way that addicts using drugs other than alcohol could

- 1 identify with. And they needed to create an atmosphere of recovery that
- 2 would be welcoming to drug addicts of all kinds-marijuana users as well
- 3 as heroin users, those on cocaine as well as those on pills, those who had
- 4 been clean for a day as well as those who had been clean for a decade.
- 5 N.A.'s founders knew that the differences between various addicts were
- 6 great and could create an atmosphere of divisiveness instead of recovery.
- 7 They could rip N.A. apart just as it was getting started.

Finally, guided by their Higher Power, the founding members came upon a solution to the dilemma. Not simply converting the First Step to read, "We admitted that we were powerless over drugs," they adapted it to read, "We admitted that we were powerless over our addiction." It was a strikingly intuitive recognition that neither heroin, coke, pot, tranks or any other drug was our problem--that the disease of addiction was the problem. And no matter what we used or how much clean time we had, we were all victims of this disease. For A.A. the disease is alcoholism; for us the disease is addiction. While we owe A.A. a profound debt of gratitude, our philosophies are quite different.

A number of our members used alcohol as well as other drugs. Some of them belong to A.A. as well as N.A. Some of our members also belong to other fellowships, such as Overeaters Anonymous and Gamblers Anonymous. Membership in other fellowships is no more a violation of the Sixth Tradition than being a member of the Rotarians or a local church group. When N.A. members are also in A.A., however, the situation becomes more complicated because many dual members tend to have strong attachments to both fellowships. The historical connection between N.A. and A.A. explains why, but strong attachment makes us want to emphasize the similarities and disregard the differences. We're like people in love who can see only the ways in which they're alike. Being dual members makes it easy to consider

ourselves "dually addicted." But addicted to what? Our N.A. philosophy and approach tell us that dual addiction is a myth. The disease isn't any particular drug. The disease is addiction itself.

For this reason neither the literature nor the language of another fellowship really is appropriate in N.A. A number of our members identify themselves as "addicts and alcoholics," or talk about "sobriety" and living "clean and sober." Again, the implication is that there are two diseases and that those members are suffering from both. When N.A. members talk like that the clarity of our message, the N.A. message, becomes blurred.

None of this means A.A.'s approach is in any way inferior or superior to ours. Alcoholics Anonymous has been extremely successful in helping alcoholics recover; we have been successful in helping drug addicts of all kinds recover. It behooves us neither to adopt a "we're better than they" attitude or to feel we can't stand on our own.

Our members have often slipped into Sixth Tradition violations without being aware that they were doing so. Nevertheless, violations of any tradition are harmful to our Fellowship--sometimes extremely so. But how we attempt to resolve them says something about our own spiritual development. Having been wrong so often in our lives, when we spot a tradition violation, it feels good to be "right." Being "right," though, can trap us into self-righteousness if we're not careful. Self-righteousness in turn leads to anger, accusations and attacks. Attacks lead to counter-attacks. Shouts along the lines of, "You're violating the traditions!" and, "We have autonomy, we can do what we want!" fill the air. But nothing gets accomplished.

The alternative: To do our best to resolve all violations with openmindedness and open discussion. This is in accord with our spiritual principles of love and compassion. It's also the most difficult approach, because it requires a great deal of tact and diplomacy, something many of us have been a little rusty at.

In resolving tradition violations it helps to keep in mind that none of us sets out intentionally to harm the Fellowship. We may at times be thoughtless. We may at times be willful. But we all need our Fellowship, we all want it to grow. We don't consciously choose disunity in N.A. any more than we consciously choose to live miserable lives when we were actively addicted.

Experience shows that tradition violations are best handled by offering alternatives. When we see a member or a group acting in a way that's contrary to the Twelve Traditions it's not useful to say, "Stop that violation!" Instead, we should meditate on the problem and try to figure out some compromise that will be satisfactory to all. Here are some examples involving tradition violations and how they were resolved:

In one instance, N.A. meetings were held in a recovery house well regarded by many of our members. The recovery house regularly held fund-raising functions, which were always announced at the N.A. meetings. Both N.A. members and recovery house residents had trouble figuring out which announcements came from N.A. and which came from the facility. When a few concerned members wanted to do something about this, they were initially rebuffed by the members who favored the recovery house.

Eventually an alternative solution was worked out: To place a bulletin board outside the meeting room, where recovery house fundraising announcements could be posted.

In another situation, a large speaker meeting in a rapidly-growing area almost always had a speaker from another Twelve Step Fellowship. The group secretary justified these speakers by explaining that they were experienced, while most members in his group were newcomers

- who didn't yet know the Twelve Steps or the principles of the program.
- 2 He insisted that all Twelve Step programs are basically the same and
- 3 was initially unmoved by the fact that he was violating the Sixth
- 4 Tradition.

- The alternative solution: It was proposed that N.A. members with long clean time who lived elsewhere, but were willing to travel, could be recruited to act as speakers. It was acknowledged that this would cause the secretary more trouble, but that it was important to have only N.A. speakers. This worked. A sympathetic, understanding approach is much more effective in resolving conflicts than to insist, "You have to do it our way because your way is wrong."
 - A third case involved a member who announced at an N.A. meeting that he would celebrate his approaching birthday at another Twelve Step Fellowship. Several members at the meeting protested, and some harsh words were exchanged.
 - The alternative solution: When things quieted down it was pointed out to the member that in making his announcement pertaining to another fellowship he was violating the Sixth Tradition. He was asked to have a second birthday celebration with his fellow N.A. members, because they would like that very much. Also, seeing a commemorative birthday would be an inspiration to newcomers. He liked the idea.
- In a fourth situation, a meeting in a well established area drew several hundred people a week. Some N.A. members who were also entrepreneurs began to make announcements of sales, set up tables, and sell jewelry, Hawaiian print shirts and other items to members in the meeting hall before and after the meetings. Horrified that their favorite meeting was being turned into a flea market, some members asked the

entrepreneurs to stop. They flatly refused. Nothing that was said would
dissuade them from their selling.

The alternative solution: The issue was brought up at the group's next business meeting. After some dissension, group conscience held that the hall was rented for N.A. meetings exclusively. No announcements would be permitted or tables provided—but members who wanted to sell things could do so outside the meeting hall.

A fifth case was very difficult to resolve. A step-study meeting run by a large group was using literature not approved by N.A. Protests were useless, conflict escalated. Protesting members appealed to the area service committee, which voted to let the practice continue. Then the regional service committee was petitioned. The RSC agreed that a violation was taking place, and refused to recognize the area service committee at regional meetings. It also voted to take the offending group out of the regional directory at the next printing of the directory. The area responded by deciding to withdraw from the region and print its own directory.

Finally, the region decided to take the matter to the Board of Trustees, which came up with an alternative solution. The Trustees agreed that only N.A.-approved literature could be read at N.A. meetings. But the Trustees also said that if some members wanted to meet informally before the regular N.A. meeting on a word of mouth basis, they could read whatever they wanted to without violating the Sixth Tradition. This was agreeable to everybody.

As this case shows, we have formal ways of dealing with tradition violations when the need arises. Often an issue can be resolved in business meetings; if not, further action can be taken. However, the longer the controversy lasts and the larger the number of members

involved, the more intensely emotional things are going to get. The quicker we can resolve violations, the better off we all are.

Resolving violations is a learning process involving lots of trial and error. As we learned to resolve conflicts in N.A., though, we also found that we had a useful tool to use in our personal and business relationships. We found ourselves no longer provoking disputes or exacerbating them; we did our best to resolve issues instead. We also found in time that standing firm on principles--as embodied in the Sixth Tradition and the others--had a positive effect on us. Even if there was anguish involved and even if we didn't always succeed, standing up for principles had a strengthening effect on us. We wound up rejoicing in a new-found sense of responsibility.

The Sixth Tradition encourages us to develop and quicken that sense of responsibility. In protecting N.A.'s good name and reputation, we make our own recovery more solid and ensure that our new way of life remains available to all suffering addicts.

Newsline Articles

This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees in 1984. It represents views at the time of writing.

 Questions regarding Tradition violations arise most frequently over the use of literature and speakers from other Twelve Step Fellowships. A rereading of our Sixth Tradition and the following words of experience may be helpful in resolving these problems before they start.

Tradition Six: "An N.A. group ought never endorse, finance, or lend the N.A. name to any related facility or outside enterprise, lest

problems of money, property or prestige divert us from our primarypurpose."

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29 Narcotics Anonymous, as we know it today, evolved out of a group called A.A. for Addicts, which met in the San Fernando Valley. In 1953, the General Service Office of Alcoholics Anonymous informed this group that they were in violation of the Traditions of A.A. and that A.A. was for alcoholics. This group formed the first meeting of Narcotics Anonymous and since then we have grown from one small meeting in Southern California to a worldwide Fellowship.

We are deeply grateful to A.A. for granting us permission to adapt their Twelve Steps and Traditions to our program. We have gained much from A.A. and wish to maintain a spirit of "cooperation, but not affiliation" with A.A.

The World Service Board of Trustees of N.A. has consistently held that, at N.A. meetings, the use of literature other than N.A. approved literature constitutes a violation of the Traditions. Further, that speakers need to talk about the N.A. Steps, the N.A. Traditions, and N.A. recovery. We need to keep in mind the confusion that can result for the newcomer attending an N.A. meeting, hearing about how to recover in another Twelve Step Fellowship. Any member may attend another Twelve Step Fellowship as part of his or her personal program, but when speaking in Narcotics Anonymous he or she needs to be able to talk about their N.A. experience. We are a separate fellowship in our own right. Under the guidance of a Higher Power, we are growing, we are getting better; we have our own literature and our own experience of recovery. We need to have pride in ourselves as such. It is essential that we adhere to our Traditions including Tradition Six; our survival is dependent upon our standing on our own and not affiliating with another Twelve Step program.

There is much available in the nature of self-help literature, literature from other Twelve Step programs, and inspirational reading which can be helpful to the individual personally, and can be incorporated into that person's recovery. However, there is a vast difference between reading and benefitting from a variety of sources, and bringing them into an N.A. meeting for others to read or listen to.

The use of literature other than N.A. approved literature constitutes a violation of the Traditions. Speakers for N.A. meetings, conventions, functions, etc., need to talk about the N.A. Steps, the N.A. Traditions and N.A. recovery in order to avoid confusion and not be in violation of our Traditions.

If you are concerned about a violation of traditions in your group, area, or region, we suggest that you approach the individual, or individuals involved, with a positive and friendly attitude. Every effort should be made to discuss and resolve possible violations at the time and place they appear to be generated.

Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 1, No. 6B

This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees, in January 1985. It represents views at the time of writing.

As Narcotics Anonymous continues its maturing process, the Twelve Traditions assume larger and larger dimensions in the life of our Fellowship. They become important for the individual member, the autonomous group, the entire service structure. As we vigilantly continue to pursue our primary purpose — to carry the message to the addict who still suffers — we must seek to understand the spiritual guidelines that bind us together.

An understanding of the Traditions of Narcotics Anonymous is a requirement for genuine service to our Fellowship. The Traditions are

the point from which our success has sprung, in bringing our program of 1 recovery to tens of thousands of addicts. The Traditions cannot be taken 2 lightly. They are not merely "suggested", nor are they so hopelessly 3 complex as to be unworkable. Our Basic Text reminds us: "The Twelve 4 Traditions of Narcotics Anonymous are not negotiable." 5 And while it is impossible to say if one tradition is any more 6 important than another (as it is similarly impossible to single out any 7 one step as the most important to recovery), the tradition chosen as the 8 basis of this discussion is one whose significance looms large on the N.A. 9 horizon -- Tradition Six. I believe that the obligations that Tradition Six 10 place on all N.A. members, groups, trusted servants, and service units 11 are imperative to the continued well-being of Narcotics Anonymous. 12 What is Tradition Six? To begin with, it's the one which says, 13 "An N.A. group ought never endorse, finance, or lend the N.A. name to 14 any related facility or outside enterprise, lest problems of money, 15 property, or prestige divert us from our primary purpose." 16 Tradition Six comes immediately after Tradition Five, which 17 states, "Each group has but one primary purpose, to carry the message 18 to the addict who still suffers." 19 The purpose of Tradition Six then would seem to be to enumerate 20 the things that must be done to ensure the furtherance of N.A.'s primary 21 purpose. It lists what we must do to preserve and protect the N.A. 22 23 Program of recovery. There would be no Narcotics Anonymous if Tradition Six were a 24

source of casual or continual violation. If there were no Tradition Six,

N.A. might be destroyed from within, or it might be destroyed from

of all that we must never endorse or finance nor lend the N.A. name to a

What, therefore, does Tradition Six mean? Tradition Six says first

without, but it would most surely be destroyed.

25

26

27

28

- 1 related facility or outside enterprise. By "endorsement" is meant to be an official expression of approval. By "finance" is meant to give money 2 3 in the name of N.A. "To lend" means just that -- to allow another 4 group, organization, or entity to use the N.A. name for its own purposes, 5 however praiseworthy and however close to our own aims. The terms "money, property, and prestige" speak for themselves and warn us of the 6 different ways we can be sidetracked from our primary purpose. 7 8 Possible violations of this Tradition are being constantly brought to the attention of the Board of Trustees. Questions are always being 9 10 asked. It is clear to many N.A. members that to preserve the integrity of our program, Tradition Six must be rigorously adhered to. 11 12 Just in the past three months I have been asked to answer
 - Just in the past three months I have been asked to answer questions such as the following: Can EST literature be read at N.A. meetings?" "Can the rehabilitation center my group meets at tell people it is an N.A. approved facility?" "Can we make announcements at meetings about Hare Krishna?" "About O.A.?" "About the half-way house a lot of our members live at?" "About the A.A. young peoples' dance?"
- A.A. as in ALCOHOLICS ANONYMOUS? Yes, A.A. -- there, I've let the cat out of the bag.
- Alcoholics Anonymous the issue that causes more emotion and more confusion among N.A. members than any other. I believe the time has come for N.A. to discuss and resolve the issue of its relation to the A.A. Fellowship. I believe that this discussion and resolution should occur within the context of our Tradition Six.
- For many, the answer to this issue is very simple; unfortunately, a lot of N.A. members do not agree on just what the simple answer is. To clarify a discussion of the situation, I would like to create two

13

14

15

16

17

hypothetical N.A. members - each representing the two most prevalent 1 2 positions on the issue. Member #1 believes that the A.A. issue doesn't even need to be 3 discussed. For him, A.A. is an outside organization to which he doesn't 4 This member hates the mere mention of A.A. at Narcotics 5 belong. Anonymous meetings and feels people who are so attached to A.A. 6 should stay there, since they obviously have no respect for the N.A. 7 8 traditions. Member #2 went to A.A. before there was N.A. in his area. He 9 sees the A.A. Program as being the parent of N.A. and entitled to a 10 status in the N.A. Program that no other organization has. 11 everybody he knows goes to both N.A. and A.A., he doesn't understand 12 how talking about A.A. at N.A. meetings could possibly be a violation of 13 Tradition Six. He ridicules Member #1 for being an "N.A. purist." 14 While perhaps lacking somewhat in tact and sensitivity, Member 15 #1 is, of course, essentially correct in his interpretation of the Traditions. 16 While Member #2 has a point of view which comes from his love of the 17 A.A. Program of recovery, it is essentially in violation of the N.A. 18 Traditions and therefore an error which really can't be negotiated. 19 Accordingly, this article is not directed at Member #1. It's offered 20 to Member #2 as an honest attempt to explain the rationale and 21 importance of Tradition Six. It is also offered to every N.A. member who 22 has been puzzled, angered, or confused by the A.A. issue. 23 As a beginning to this discussion, I would like to acknowledge as a 24 historical fact that Narcotics Anonymous is deeply indebted to Alcoholics 25 N.A. was founded in July 1953, by addicts who had 26 Anonymous. learned the tools of their recovery from addiction in the A.A. Program. 27 N.A. freely admits its debt in its literature. It says in our White Book 28 that "In N.A. we follow a program adapted from Alcoholics Anonymous.

We are deeply grateful to the A.A. Fellowship for pointing the way for us to a new way of life."

But, from the origin of our Fellowship, N.A. members have always known that it was something different to be in N.A. than to be an A.A. member -- even if (as many people apparently do) a person belongs to both Fellowships.

When I was preparing this article, I began to wonder if there was perhaps some new way I could approach a discussion of Tradition Six in order to more clearly and lovingly explain its spirit and importance. I realize that it's a difficult thing to ask some N.A. members to leave their membership in the much-loved A.A. Program outside the N.A. meeting door. But I knew that I had to find a way of making them realize just how important this was to N.A. unity.

But not only did I want to convince these members who couldn't distinguish between the N.A. and A.A. Programs; I also wanted to impart some of the frustration many N.A. members feel. After all our efforts to explain Tradition Six, we go to our home group and still hear people identifying themselves as "cross addicted alcoholics" while expressing their gratitude to the A.A. (but not the N.A.) program for their "sobriety" (not recovery). Then during the meeting break the latest A.A. dance is announced. I felt that they needed to know how much we love N.A. and how Tradition Six violations tear us up.

So, what could I say differently this time? I had an inspiration and found an answer -- an answer at least to the issue of a new perspective. The answer has to do with history.

I wonder how many realize that both N.A. and A.A. have complex roots and that both of these Fellowships grew and changed to their present shapes? That A.A., for instance, didn't just happen, and like N.A. has its origins in another, "parent" organization? And that the

genius of the A.A. founders lay in their ability to synthesize material 1 2 already there. 3 I bet that not too many people know the details behind some of the above statements. Most people (even A.A. members) seem to believe 4 5 that Bill Wilson and Dr. Bob Armstrong -- the founders of Alcoholics Anonymous -- were inspired by absolutely new ideas which had no 6 7 relation with anything that had ever happened before. This belief, however, is not true and if they were still alive would 8 be contradicted by Bill and Bob themselves. In his book, A.A. Comes of 9 Age, Bill Wilson explained where some of the ideas came from. He 10 discussed, for instance, the medical theories of William D. Silkworth and 11 the pragmatic philosophy of William James as providing a context for 12 13 the A.A. Program. Wilson also extensively discusses the relation of the early A.A. 14 15 groups to a Protestant evangelical religious movement called the Oxford Group -- with its precepts of "confidence, confession, conversion, and 16 17 continuance" -- so crucial that for the first two years of A.A. existence (1935-1937), in order to join A.A. a person had to join the Oxford Group. 18 Bill Wilson makes no bones about A.A.'s debt to the Moral 19 Rearmament movement and to other sources. He acknowledges, for 20 instance, that when he wrote the Twelve Steps that "most of the basic 21 ideas had come from the Oxford Group, William James, and Dr. 22 23 Silkworth." In 1955, at its General Convention, A.A. received a special visitor. 24 They were addressed by an Oxford Group clergyman, a non-alcoholic 25 named Samuel Shoemaker, who was instrumental in starting many early 26 A.A. groups. Bill Wilson expresses his gratitude for Sam Shoemaker in 27

28

the following passage from A.A. Comes of Age:

"It was from Sam Shoemaker that Dr. Bob and I, in the beginning, had absorbed most of the principles that were afterward embodied in the Twelve Steps of Alcoholics Anonymous. A.A. got its ideas of self-examination, acknowledgement of character defects, restitution for harm done, and working with others straight from the Oxford Groups and directly from Sam Shoemaker, their former leader in America, and from nowhere else. He will always be found in our annals as the one whose inspired example and teaching did most to show us how to create the spiritual climate in which we alcoholics may survive and then proceed to grow. A.A. owes a debt to friends in the days of A.A.'s infancy."

In 1937 a remarkable event occurred. Despite the great love and dependence early A.A. had for the Oxford Group, the two split. As Bill Wilson later wrote, "we most reluctantly parted company with these great friends."

What happened? The answer is plain: A.A. recognized that in order to survive as a Fellowship it had to assert its independence, it had to concentrate on its primary purpose, it had to be affiliated with no other group, it could neither endorse nor lend its name to anything or anyone -- not even its beloved parents. In other words, Alcoholics Anonymous decided to follow the Traditions.

My purpose in telling you this is not to diminish the respect that we all have for A.A., but to ask you to see the parallels in the situation: Here were two groups working closely together, possessing similar methods and aims, having members belonging to both, with one group functioning as the "parent" of the other, and with many members sentimentally attached to the original group although the benefits derived from the "child" group were their true reason for joining.

| 1 | The point, I hope, is clear: Some N.A. members who also belong | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | to and love A.A. are probably in the same position as some early A.A. | | | | | |
| 3 | members who belonged to and loved the Oxford Group. Where does one | | | | | |
| 4 | group end and the other begin? | | | | | |
| 5 | The experience of A.A. and the Oxford Group speaks directly to | | | | | |
| 6 | the issue. The answer for A.A. was found in the development of the | | | | | |
| 7 | spirit of Tradition Six. With that principle in mind, the early A.A. | | | | | |
| 8 | members could continue to belong to the Oxford Group if they wished | | | | | |
| 9 | (and many did), but they had to realize that their Oxford Group | | | | | |
| 10 | membership was separate from their A.A. membership and the Oxford | | | | | |
| 11 | Group had to stay outside the A.A. meeting door. | | | | | |
| 12 | N.A. sees both the wisdom and the irony of being guided by A.A.'s | | | | | |
| 13 | experience with the Oxford Group. We believe in this principle so much | | | | | |
| 14 | that we are willing (as A.A. was willing) to apply it to our parent | | | | | |
| 15 | organization. Narcotics Anonymous cannot endorse, lend, nor join its | | | | | |
| 16 | name to Alcoholics Anonymous. A.A. should be mentioned at N.A. | | | | | |
| 17 | meetings as frequently as the Oxford Group is mentioned at A.A. | | | | | |
| 18 | meetings. | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 2, No. 1 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | This article was generated by the Board of Trustees in November | | | | | |
| 23 | 1985 in response to the needs of the Fellowship. It represents the views | | | | | |
| 24 | of the Board of Trustees at the time of writing. | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | The question of just how Narcotics Anonymous relates to all other | | | | | |
| 27 | Fellowships and organizations is one which generates a good deal of | | | | | |
| 28 | controversy within our Fellowship. In spite of the fact that we have a | | | | | |
| 29 | stated policy of "cooperation, not affiliation" with outside organizations, | | | | | |

much confusion remains. The most sensitive issue of this nature involves our relationship to the Fellowship of Alcoholics Anonymous. A constant stream of letters is received by the World Service Board of Trustees asking a variety of questions about this relationship. The time has come for another *Newsline* article to shed some light on this important subject.

Narcotics Anonymous is modeled after, though not identical to, Alcoholics Anonymous. Nearly every N.A. community in existence has leaned to some degree on A.A. in the N.A. group's formative stages. Our relationship with that fellowship over the years has been very real and dynamic. Our Fellowship itself sprang from the turmoil within A.A. over what to do with the addicts knocking on their door. So we will look at those roots for some perspective on our current relationship to A.A.

Bill W., one of A.A.'s co-founders, often said that one of A.A.'s greatest strengths is its single-minded focus on one thing and one thing only. By limiting its primary purpose to carrying the message to alcoholics and avoiding all other activities, A.A. is able to do that one thing supremely well. The atmosphere of identification is preserved by that purity of focus, and alcoholics get help.

From very early on, A.A. was confronted by a perplexing problem: "What do we do with drug addicts? We want to keep our focus on alcohol so the alcoholic hears the message, but these addicts come in here talking about drugs, inadvertently weakening our atmosphere of identification." The steps were written, the Big Book was written—what were they supposed to do, rewrite it all? Allow the atmosphere of identification to get blurry so that no one got a clear sense of belonging? Kick these dying people back out into the streets? The problem must have been a tremendous one for them.

When they finally studied the problem carefully and took a stand in their literature, the solution they outlined possessed their

characteristic common sense and wisdom. They said that while they cannot accept addicts who are not alcoholics as members, they freely offer their steps and traditions for adaptation by any groups who wish to use them. They pledged their support in a spirit of "cooperation, not affiliation." This farsighted solution to a difficult problem paved the way for the development of the Narcotics Anonymous Fellowship.

But still the problem that they wished to avoid would have to be addressed by any group who tried to adapt those principles to drug addicts. How do you achieve the atmosphere of identification so necessary for surrender and recovery if you let all different kinds of addicts in? Can someone with a heroin problem relate to someone with an alcohol or marijuana or Valium problem? How will you ever achieve the unity that the First Tradition says is necessary for recovery? Our Fellowship inherited a tough dilemma.

For some perspective on how we have handled that dilemma, one more look at A.A. history will be helpful. Another thing Bill W. used to frequently write and speak about was what he called the "tenstrike" of A.A. -- the wording of the Third and Eleventh Steps. The whole area of spirituality vs. religion was every bit as perplexing for them in those days as this unity issue has been for us. Bill liked to recount that the simple addition of the words "as we understood Him" after the word "God" laid to rest that controversy in one chop. An issue that had the potential to divide and destroy A.A. was converted into the cornerstone of the program by that simple turn of phrase.

As the founders of Narcotics Anonymous adapted our steps, they came up with a "tenstrike" of perhaps equal importance. Rather than converting the First Step in the most natural, logical way ("We admitted that we were powerless over drugs..."), they made a radical change in that step. They wrote "We admitted that we were powerless over our

addiction..." Drugs are a varied group of substances, the use of any of which is but a symptom of our disease. When addicts gather and focus on drugs, they are usually focusing on their differences, because each of us used a different drug or combination of drugs. The one thing that we all share is the disease of addiction. It was a masterful stroke. With that single turn of phrase the foundation of the Narcotics Anonymous Fellowship was laid.

Our First Step gives us one thing to focus on, so we can do that one thing supremely well. We carry the message to the addict who still suffers. As a bonus, this wording of Step One also takes the focus of our powerlessness off the symptom and places it on the disease itself. The phrase "powerless over a drug" does not go far enough for most of us in ongoing recovery -- the desire to use has been removed -- but "powerless over our addiction" is as relevant to the oldtimer as it is to the newcomer. Our addiction begins to resurface and cause problems in our thoughts and feelings whenever we become complacent in our program of recovery. This process has nothing to do with "drug of choice." We guard against the recurrence of our drug use by reapplying our spiritual principles before our disease takes us that far. So our First Step applies regardless of drug of choice, and regardless of length of clean time. With this "tenstrike" as its foundation, N.A. has begun to flourish as a major worldwide movement, clearly appropriate to contemporary addiction problems. And we've only just begun.

As any given N.A. community matures in its understanding of its own principles (particularly Step One), an interesting fact emerges. The A.A. perspective, with its alcohol-oriented language, and the N.A. approach, with its clear need to shift the focus off the specific drug, don't mix very well. When we try to mix them, we find that we have the same problem as A.A. had with us all along! When our members

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

identify as "addicts and alcoholics" or talk about "sobriety" and living "clean and sober" the clarity of the N.A. message is blurred. The implication in this language is that there are two diseases; that one drug is separate from the pack, so that a separate set of terms is needed when discussing it. At first glance this seems minor, but our experience clearly shows that the full impact of the N.A. message is crippled by this subtle semantic confusion.

It has become clear that our common identification, our unity, and our full surrender as addicts depends on a clear understanding of our most basic fundamentals: We are powerless over a disease that gets progressively worse when we use any drug. It does not matter what drug was at the center for us when we got here. Any drug we use will release our disease all over again. We recover from this disease by applying our Twelve Steps. Our steps are uniquely worded to carry this message clearly, so the rest of our language of recovery must be consistent with those steps. Ironically, we cannot mix these fundamental principles with those of our parent Fellowship without crippling our own message.

Does this mean that A.A.'s approach is inferior to ours, and based on denial or half measures? Of course not! A casual, cursory glance at their success in delivering recovery to alcoholics over the years makes it abundantly clear: Theirs is a top notch program. Their literature, their service structure, the quality of their members' recovery, their sheer numbers, the respect they enjoy from society, these things speak for themselves. Our members ought not embarrass us by adopting a "we're better than them" posture. That can only be counterproductive.

The simple fact is that both Fellowships have a Sixth Tradition for a reason: to keep them from being diverted from their primary purpose. Because of the inherent need of a Twelve Step Fellowship to focus on

"one thing and one thing only so that it can do that one thing supremely well," each Twelve Step Fellowship must stand alone, unaffiliated with everything else. It is in our nature to be separate, to feel separate, and use a separate set of recovery terms, because we each have a separate, unique primary purpose. The focus of A.A. is on the alcoholic, and we ought to respect their perfect right to adhere to their own traditions and protect their focus. If we cannot use language consistent with that, we ought not go to their meetings and undermine that atmosphere. In the same way, N.A. members ought to respect our own primary purpose and identify ourselves at N.A. meetings simply as addicts, and share in a way that keeps our fundamentals clear.

As a Fellowship, we must continue to strive to move forward by not stubbornly clinging to one radical extreme or the other. Our members who have been unintentionally blurring the N.A. message by using drug-specific language such as "sobriety," "alcoholic," "clean and sober," "dope fiend," etc., could help by identifying simply and clearly as addicts, and using the words "clean," "clean time," and "recovery" which imply no particular substance. And we all could help by referring to only our own literature at meetings, thereby avoiding any implied endorsement or affiliation. Our principles stand on their own. For the sake of our development as a Fellowship and the personal recovery of our members, "our approach to the problem of addiction" must shine through clearly in what we say and do at meetings.

Our members who have used these sound arguments to rationalize an anti-A.A. stand, thereby alienating many badly needed stable members, would do well to re-evaluate and reconsider the effects of that kind of behavior. Narcotics Anonymous is a spiritual Fellowship. Love, tolerance, patience and cooperation are essential if we are to live up to that.

| 1 | Let's pull together our energies into our personal spiritual | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | development through our own Twelve Steps. Let's carry our own | | | | | |
| 3 | message clearly. There's a lot of work to be done, and we need each | | | | | |
| 4 | other if we are to do it effectively. Let's get on with it in a spirit of N.A. | | | | | |
| 5 | unity. | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | Reprinted from: Newsline Vol.2, No.6 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | This article was generated by the Board of Trustees in August, | | | | | |
| 11 | 1987 in response to the needs of the Fellowship. It represents the views | | | | | |
| 12 | of the Board of Trustees at the time of writing. | | | | | |
| 13 | , | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | The Relationship of Narcotics Anonymous to Nar-Anon and | | | | | |
| | T3 11 A | | | | | |
| 16 | Families Anonymous: | | | | | |
| | Families Anonymous: | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 17 18 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous. The need to address this issue arose from a confusion | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous. The need to address this issue arose from a confusion between the letter of our Twelve Traditions, and the spirit of love, | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous. The need to address this issue arose from a confusion between the letter of our Twelve Traditions, and the spirit of love, understanding, and caring which we all feel as individuals. | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous. The need to address this issue arose from a confusion between the letter of our Twelve Traditions, and the spirit of love, understanding, and caring which we all feel as individuals. It has become clear to us that the phrase "cooperation not | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous. The need to address this issue arose from a confusion between the letter of our Twelve Traditions, and the spirit of love, understanding, and caring which we all feel as individuals. It has become clear to us that the phrase "cooperation not affiliation" is no longer suitable for Narcotics Anonymous. Undoubtedly | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous. The need to address this issue arose from a confusion between the letter of our Twelve Traditions, and the spirit of love, understanding, and caring which we all feel as individuals. It has become clear to us that the phrase "cooperation not affiliation" is no longer suitable for Narcotics Anonymous. Undoubtedly this attitude and these words have served us over many years, but it | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous. The need to address this issue arose from a confusion between the letter of our Twelve Traditions, and the spirit of love, understanding, and caring which we all feel as individuals. It has become clear to us that the phrase "cooperation not affiliation" is no longer suitable for Narcotics Anonymous. Undoubtedly this attitude and these words have served us over many years, but it appears that the growth and development of our Fellowship demands a | | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | The Board of Trustees has received many inquiries during the past few years which have asked specific questions about how to go about cooperating without affiliating when it comes to Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous. The need to address this issue arose from a confusion between the letter of our Twelve Traditions, and the spirit of love, understanding, and caring which we all feel as individuals. It has become clear to us that the phrase "cooperation not affiliation" is no longer suitable for Narcotics Anonymous. Undoubtedly this attitude and these words have served us over many years, but it | | | | | |

- 1 number of people together in an enterprise, the benefits of which are
- 2 shared." This definition can present a problem in addressing our
- 3 relationship with any other organization, including Nar-Anon and
- 4 Families Anonymous. Strictly speaking, the object or goal of our
- 5 Fellowship is <u>not</u> the same as any other fellowship. In this respect, it is
- 6 impossible to cooperate without also endorsing or affiliating.
- 7 The Board of Trustees believes that a policy, or attitude, or phrase
- 8 which serves our purpose best and guides our Fellowship well is "a policy
- 9 of non-affiliation." This clearly conveys the fact that we do not associate
- 10 with, connect with, or adopt any other organization or purpose.
- 11 Traditions Six ("An N.A. group ought never endorse, finance, or lend the
- 12 N.A. name to any related facility or outside enterprise, lest problems of
- money, property or prestige divert us from our primary purpose") and
- 14 Ten ("N.A. has no opinion on outside issues; hence the N.A. name ought
- never be drawn into public controversy") refer to these issues, and there
- 16 can never be any formal relationship between Narcotics Anonymous and
- 17 any other Fellowship or organization.
- As individuals, we have tremendous respect for these other
- 19 Fellowships and support the need for them. As grateful recovering
- 20 addicts, we carry intense and genuine love and understanding in our
- 21 hearts.
- Adherence to our Twelve Traditions does not preclude or negate
- 23 these feelings. We must remember, however, that Narcotics
- 24 Anonymous, Nar-Anon, and Families Anonymous are separate
- 25 Fellowships, each with its own purpose. These organizations are not
- enmeshed with each other, nor are they interdependent.
- We believe that by reflecting back upon our own paths, we can
- 28 find the way to convey this respect, love, and support, without endorsing
- 29 or affiliating with other Fellowships. Our family members and loved

ones were often instrumental in allowing us to face the consequences of 1 2 our addiction by not helping us! We now have the opportunity to support them by not interfering and also by simply pursuing our own 3 purpose as guided by the spiritual principles of Narcotics Anonymous. 4 While we all may have our own way of supporting our loved ones, N.A. 5 can only remain true to our primary purpose (Tradition Five:"Each 6 group has but one primary purpose--to carry the message to the addict 7 who still suffers") and the guidance provided in Traditions Six ("An 8 N.A. group ought never endorse, finance, or lend the N.A. name to any 9 related facility or outside enterprise, lest problems of money, property or 10 prestige divert us from our primary purpose") and Ten ("N.A. has no 11 opinion on outside issues; hence the N.A. name ought never be drawn 12 into public controversy"). Consistent Fellowship action which is guided 13 by these principles is the kindest and most loving path for us to follow. 14 both for ourselves and our family members and loved ones. This helps 15 these other Fellowships to address their own needs in a sensible, 16 17 appropriate, and non-dependent manner.

Our relationship as a Fellowship with Nar-Anon and Families Anonymous, as with treatment centers, clubhouses or any other organization or enterprise can then be simply and clearly defined as one of providing information. We do, and are willing to, provide information about what we do, where we do it, where our meetings are held, where our conventions are held, etc. We are pleased to provide this information to anyone or everyone who requests it, while at the same time avoiding any type of affiliation or special treatment for any people or organization outside of Narcotics Anonymous.

The Board of Trustees believes that many of the practices which we have engaged in as a Fellowship do not constitute adherence to our Traditions. The intent of these actions was usually sound and it has

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

| 1 | taken many years of experience and study to evaluate them with respect | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | to our Twelve Traditions. These include listing Families Anonymous and | | | | |
| 3 | Nar-Anon meetings in N.A. directories, on flyers for N.A. conventions, or | | | | |
| 4 | in N.A. registration forms, and the practice of obtaining meeting places | | | | |
| 5 | for these other Fellowships at conventions or other N.A. activities. | | | | |
| 6 | Additionally, utilizing speakers from the Nar-Anon and Families | | | | |
| 7 | Anonymous Fellowships is a practice which does not adhere to our | | | | |
| 8 | Traditions. However, we encourage phoneline workers to use common | | | | |
| 9 | sense and good judgment in making known to family members that N.A. | | | | |
| 10 | is for the drug addict and that family members may find family-oriented | | | | |
| 11 | recovery fellowships to be beneficial. | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | Reprinted from: Newsline Vol.4, No.6 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | General Input | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 18 | Should I get into the problem of hot lines and when callers ask | | | | |
| 19 | about detox centers? What's N.A.'s official position on this, if any, and | | | | |
| 20 | does discussion belong in the book? | | | | |
| 21 | This would be a good place to state some of the points being | | | | |
| 22 | brought to light on current WSC Public information work and Handbook. | | | | |
| 23 | We, of course, follow Tradition Ten which makes clear that we have no | | | | |
| 24 | opinion on outside issues. It seems like we recommend the "Yellow | | | | |
| 25 | Pages" for treatment centers and try to carry our message by | | | | |
| 26 | encouraging the caller to attend an N.A. meeting. | | | | |
| 27 | We cannot recommend a treatment center or detox without endorsing | | | | |
| 28 | them. | | | | |

Tradition Six points out a dangerous pitfall for groups and N.A. as a whole. Namely, that money or outside enterprises can easily become obsessions that can direct our attention away from carrying our message of recovery to other addicts.

Endorsements of outside facilities, etc., can be direct or implied. A recommendation could be implied if we allowed outside literature to be used in our meetings, or made announcements from another Twelve Step program, or by inviting a speaker from another Twelve Step program to address a regular N.A. meeting.

The obvious example of a "related facility" is a clubhouse, and the funding and administration of such a place is outside the jurisdiction of Narcotics Anonymous.

Certainly as individuals we may belong to other programs, attend treatment programs or work in rehabilitation centers, etc., but we must not allow these institutions to use the N.A. name in their advertising or funding campaigns, nor to state or imply that Narcotics Anonymous has "approved" or "certified" their treatment facility.

To me this is saying, "Keep it simple." We cannot solve the world's problems; we cannot be all things to all people so let's do one thing and do it well. Thereby avoiding controversy that could split us up.

Our public relations policy represents Narcotics Anonymous. This Tradition is a guideline that can prevent us from doing anything that might be "devastating" to members or "disastrous" (Basic Text p. 65) to N.A. This becomes entirely possible if we allow our personal desires to influence our services. "This Tradition is the basis for our policy of non-

affiliation and is extremely important to the continuation and growth of Narcotics Anonymous." (Basic Text p. 63).

An enterprise is defined as "any effort with a purpose." An "outside enterprise" is one that is not N.A. With the guidance of this principle we can assure ourselves that our works are "not promotion," without the pretense that N.A. is something it is not. It implies for the addict, the group, or the service committee, that solutions can be found within our program. They have been provided for. Group Conscience is an example of this.

Our policy of cooperation is guided to fulfillment by this Tradition. The success of our mailings to treatment centers, hospitals, recovery houses, referral agencies, probation and parole offices, our postings in club houses, at our meeting places, public display areas, and in phone books, are dependent upon our cooperative efforts with these "related facilities" or "outside enterprises."

Placing our P.S.A.'s, or announcements of our meetings or activities, (media coverage can be expected at our conventions. Our primary purpose, group autonomy and personal anonymity can be well protected by knowledgeable P.I. work), are working examples of our efforts to cooperate. Our public relations policy exists at its best through earnest cooperation with the media. To imply that there is any favoritism involved, that there will be any return other than our sincere gratitude for a job well done, or that there will be any kind of exchange, would not be in keeping with our spiritual goals.

This principle promises fulfillment when we become entirely ready to present the N.A. message without distractions, without detracting

from the beauty of that message, allowing it to remain strong and stand on its own. A clear statement of our recovery.

4 *********************

The Sixth Tradition protects us from ourselves. As we come together in Narcotics Anonymous from different backgrounds and walks of life, it would be easy to allow the influences that shaped our lives outside of N.A. to enter in and cause other members to feel uncomfortable and unwanted. This outside endorsement can come in many forms. Non-N.A. literature pertaining to recovery or spirituality, N.A. members talking about a particular church or religion at meetings, endorsing a treatment center or another Twelve Step recovery Fellowship at meetings are all examples of falling short of our spiritual principles. We are not saying that there is anything wrong with, or bad about, other recovery or spiritual sources. We quite simply are not saying anything at all about them. By not endorsing anything, anyone, or any ideas other than recovery from addiction "the N.A. way," we relieve ourselves of the burden of passing judgment.

This same spiritual principle applies to personal recovery as well. If we hear something that helped our recovery at a speaker meeting or from an "old-timer" and want to share what we've learned with others, we try to remember a simple truth. By sharing what was said, rather than who said it, we avoid making heroes out of certain members thus setting them apart from the rest of us. The Sixth Traditions also warns us not to get so lost in the desire for "money, property, and prestige" that we forget about our primary purpose. For the N.A. member, our primary purpose is achieving recovery on a daily basis by working the steps, attending meetings, and relying on our Higher Power to guide us

each moment in every situation. Many of us came into Narcotics Anonymous financially destitute.

As the miracle of recovery began to work in our lives, our pocket books began to "recover" as well. Things like new cars, homes, and savings accounts that seemed so unachievable once, often become a reality in time. If we look at these in the same way as our clean time, we realize they are gifts resulting from the same miracle rather than anything "we earned." This focus gives us gratitude rather than pride.

| 1 | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | | TRADITION SEVEN | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | "Every N.A. group ought to be fully self-supporting, declining | | | | | |
| 6 | outside contributions." | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | Outline | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | I. | Introduction - Self support definiton. | | | | |
| 12 | | A. | The importance of being fully self-supporting. | | | |
| 13 | | | 1. Historical Background(perspective). | | | |
| 14 | | | 2. Insures autonomy. | | | |
| 15 | | | 3. Promotes recovery and self worth. | | | |
| 16 | II. | Self su | pport: | | | |
| 17 | | A. | Meeting group's financial needs through prudent financial | | | |
| 18 | | | practices. | | | |
| 19 | | B. | Responsible election of Trusted Servants (T.S.'s). | | | |
| 20 | | C. | Time and money. | | | |
| 21 | | D. | Meeting attendance. | | | |
| 22 | | E. | Consistent Participation. | | | |
| 23 | | F. | Emotional Support of T.S.'s. | | | |
| 24 | III. | Declin | ing outside Contributions. | | | |
| 25 | | A. | Insuring a loving God directs us and not some outside | | | |
| 26 | | | organization. | | | |
| 27 | | B. | We stand on our own (spiritual ownership). | | | |
| 28 | | C. | Avoiding Pitfalls. | | | |

- 1 IV. Application of Tradition Seven to members, groups, and N.A. as a
- whole.
- 3 IV. Lead in to Tradition Eight.

Blue Review Book Draft

Each group in N.A. has certain monetary obligations which are necessary in fulfilling its primary purpose. Some of these include rent for the meeting site, refreshments and literature for the members. In order for a group to survive, funds must be obtained. Where do they come from? They come from the members of the group. As members, we donate what we can financially to help the group meet its monetary commitments. As members of Narcotics Anonymous, we remember what was given to us freely, and we consider it a privilege to give back freely.

This may seem somewhat paradoxical, because there is no requirement in N.A. that an addict contribute anything - financially or otherwise - to an N.A. group. We know from experience, however, that the individual giving to the support of the whole is basic to the spiritual growth of us all. By contributing to the group, we begin to feel more a part of that group. This also seems to aid in our individual participation within the group.

To be self-supporting financially is also to keep in line with the other traditions. In Tradition Four, we discussed the principle of each group functioning autonomously except in matters that affect other groups or N.A. as a whole. By being self-supporting, we maintain our autonomy. In Tradition Six, we explored the necessity of N.A. maintaining a policy of non-affiliation with organizations outside of our Fellowship.

Independent of outside support, we begin to rely on ourselves for our continued existence. It frees us from the temptation to become caught up in power, property and prestige. At the same time, it protects us from compromise from within or without. The Fellowship of Narcotics

Anonymous is, quite simply, supported by itself. This is true not only in terms of financial donations, but also in terms of personal commitment.

 Being self-supporting as a group has personal value to the individual member. Giving instead of taking, paying our own way instead of cheating, and doing our share instead of tagging along are often for many of us, our first real attempts at becoming responsible.

Some people do not have money at times. This is understandable. However, we as a Fellowship must be aware that we cannot continue to allow others to pay our way in our recovery. When we contribute to our group to the best of our ability, we make our Fellowship stronger and participate in our own recovery. We are a part of N.A. This makes the group more important to us. We know that when we work for something, it means more to us than something just given to us. While we do not buy our way into N.A., we choose to support it with our time and money, because the price of accepting outside support is too high.

Our N.A. groups support themselves primarily because experience has taught us that nothing else works. When we deviate from this policy, we begin to undermine the simple effectiveness of one addict helping another. Dependence upon outside contributions carries the danger of stripping away the independence of the Fellowship. No matter how well-meaning outside benefactors might be, any outside aid cripples, then ultimately removes the self-worth developed by our own support.

Nowhere does it seem easier to become obligated than to someone to whom we are financially indebted. To become dependent on outside financial support is to risk outside influence. This creates danger, however subtle, to compromise, to curry favor, to divert our primary purpose. The people who want to help us are frequently interested in our success. N.A. offers them an answer to the tragedy of an addicted member of their own family or association. We have found that many of

our would-be benefactors have their own perspective of how N.A. should function. These "strings" sooner or later would choke us. There have been many examples of our groups accepting this seemingly necessary help, only to find their primary purpose or their autonomy threatened.

One group accepted free rent of a church basement and experienced pressure to call the God of our understanding by a certain name. One group was invited to carry the N.A. message to a local detox center on the condition that the N.A. members help the residents with their job and apartment hunting upon release. Another group accepted a cash gift from a member's parents, but later was expected to guarantee that their daughter would not cause any further trouble. One group accepted items from a department store for their raffle, only to feel pressured to help with the store's advertising. Yet another group was offered free publication of their meeting times and telephone number in a local newspaper if they would just agree to a feature article with pictures. These are clear violations of our traditions.

There are other instances, more subtle and harder to define, that ask us to depart from our traditions. Our purpose here is not to discuss the integrity of our would-be benefactors, but to emphasize that N.A. can not be disrupted by outside control, or we each stand to lose all we have received through N.A. We acknowledge that funding from outside endowments might seem beneficial, but we must maintain our freedom to help addicts the N.A. way. We do not accept outside contributions because we want to hold on to the freedom we have. We decline all outside contributions for our own sake.

Another consideration is that addicts are often overly dependent people by nature. One of the reasons we practice the Twelve Steps is to recover from a lifetime of sick dependencies on people, places and things. We learn to depend on a Higher Power, Narcotics Anonymous, and

ourselves for our new way of life. Group practice of the Seventh Tradition weans us of dependency on others to meet our needs. We would do our Fellowship woeful disservice if we sold our groups to anyone outside the Fellowship in order to obtain the support which we can and should provide for ourselves.

When financial existence is independent of outside contributions, it creates motivation, self-esteem, caring and pride in our Fellowship. By supporting our local meetings or assisting in an N.A. fundraiser, we are also contributing to our personal recovery.

Some people maintain that as long as we uphold these principles in general, we can afford an occasional exception. After all, couldn't we carry the message farther if we had all the money needed to stock our groups with literature, to rent better meeting places or to publicize N.A.? In fact, aren't there N.A. groups who cannot even afford to fulfill the most modest financial responsibilities? Sometimes the offers of free rent, refreshments, transportation or donations for our fundraisers from outside the N.A. community seem like windfalls to us. But our experience disproves this. Accepting these offers clearly violates this tradition.

Tradition Seven does <u>not</u> say, "declining outside contributions except free refreshments for our dance, or except good prizes for our raffle." No; it says, "declining outside contributions." There are no exceptions! We have found that with prayer and ingenuity we can find a way to stay in operation within the definition of this tradition, and avoid losing our independence. It is better to struggle and trust that our Fellowship will grow in God's time, rather than violate one of our most important traditions by depending on sources outside of N.A.

We know of one group, for example, that resolved prohibitive rents by agreeing to pay one-third of their monthly collection instead of a fixed

amount. Another group does routine chores for the church in lieu of rent. Another situation involved a group meeting in a facility which could not accept monetary compensation for rent. Possible solutions include donating N.A. literature as rent, or considering the meeting as a Hospitals and Institutions meeting. If a church or community center won't accept money for rent, we can donate a new coffee pot or replace some furniture. In other instances, when total payment is not possible, a token compensation should be made to stay within the principle of the Seventh Tradition. However we do it, the important point is that we must be "fully self-supporting, declining outside contributions."

In our discussion of the Seventh Tradition, we have referred mostly to the N.A. group. The groups and members are the foundation of Narcotics Anonymous. However, the larger service structure of N.A. is created by the members and groups and is directly responsible to them. These service committees also have the Twelve Traditions as their spiritual basis and rely on the groups' contributions of time and money for their existence and operation. The members support the groups, who support the areas, who support the regions, who ultimately support the World Service Conference.

Let's see how this happens: when a couple of recovering addicts get together and start an N.A. group, especially in a locale where N.A. is new, the group is usually broke and without most of the basic necessities. If the group secures a meeting place, it might do so on a promise to pay the rent as the money comes in. The group members borrow a coffee pot and scrape together some N.A. literature. They may rely on public service announcements on local radio and T.V. to announce their meetings. They may post flyers on bulletin boards of community centers and laundromats. Many groups have been very imaginative in their low-budget methods of carrying the message. The first N.A. telephone

.11

number usually belongs to one of the members, who soon begins to wonder if his or her privacy will ever be returned! At first, everything is done for nominal sums.

In spite of these hardships, the group eventually gets underway. They meet regularly, attract new members, choose trusted servants, pass the hat, and bank the meager collections. Whatever money the group collects is spent on rent, literature and refreshments. The group establishes a prudent reserve as soon as possible.

When the group expands and splits into other groups, an Area Service Committee is needed. This committee may operate a helpline, print meeting lists and stockpile literature. Now the members are not only participating in their recovery by contributing to their own group, but also supporting their area.

The area then joins a region and begins offering it support. Regions provide public information services, contact and compile listings of hospitals and institutions that might treat still-suffering addicts, participate in WSC committees, and sponsor conventions. These services promote unity in the region.

Through communications provided through the region, the areas become aware of services needed and provided at the world service level that cannot be practically provided by the members and groups individually. These include the World Service Conference, the World Service Office and the World Service Board of Trustees. The World Service Conference provides a forum for exchanging information between regions and subcommittees, and tabulating results of issues requiring Fellowship-wide group conscience. Our World Service Office is the central contact and distribution point of N.A., linking our widespread members and groups into a single Fellowship. This contact is maintained through correspondence and our newsletters. The Trustees

contribute to the continuation and growth of N.A. as a whole and serve as a resource for our Fellowship. The costs of these services (telephone, rent, printing and mailing) are dependent on contributions channeled from members to groups to areas to regions to the world service level.

"Every N.A. group ought to be fully self-supporting, declining outside contributions." In this tradition, we have looked at the positive values that participation in our recovery engenders, the dangers of making ourselves vulnerable to outside influence, and the expansion of the concept of the N.A. group to include the entire N.A. structure.

The primary emphasis of Tradition Seven is on financial self-support. This principle has a wider application in our local groups and in our Fellowship. There is no maximum amount of support for any N.A. member to give to this Fellowship. Each of us has a lot to give - our strength, caring, wisdom, time, energy, money or hospitality - and there is always an addict somewhere who needs and wants what we have to offer. Looking for ways to give rather than to receive is the difference between feeling helpful instead of helpless. As our understanding of the spirituality of our traditions develops, we see more clearly that one addict helping another is the effort each of us makes to assure that the help which was here for us will be here for those after us seeking recovery. Through this effort, we ensure that we ourselves continue to recover.

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

The Seventh Tradition emphasizes the principle of financial independence. As such, it dovetails with many of our other principles

1 and traditions. When we decline outside contributions for the maintenance of our groups, we affirm again the enormous benefit to our 2 3 recovery when we rely solely on ourselves. Self-sufficiency is as important to the healthy growth of our groups as it is to our own growth 4 as individuals. 5 As our recovery deepens, we learn that being selfsupporting isn't just a necessity--it's a privilege. It makes us feel very 6 7 good.

When we depend solely on ourselves, our membership, other good things result. Our unity and common welfare, the heart of the First Tradition, are enhanced. Unity is always strengthened when we work together collectively to provide services for newcomers and for members in general. Then there's Tradition Five, which addresses our primary purpose--to carry the message to the addict who still suffers. outsiders can further our primary purpose for us. It's something we need to do by ourselves, for ourselves--by donating money, time, and energy to our groups, and by passing a portion of our funds along to our areas, regions and the World Service Conference. Not only our local groups but our entire service structure needs to be self-sufficient if we're to adhere to the principles of the Seventh Tradition. Tradition Four, with its emphasis on autonomy, also ties in. Clearly, by remaining strictly selfsupporting, we maintain our autonomy rather than placing it in jeopardy by accepting outside help.

There's another important reason why the Seventh Tradition needs our constant attention and concern. Money has always been an explosive issue with most of us. As active addicts we worked, stole, conned, begged and sold ourselves for it. Yet the more money we got, the more we needed. There was an emptiness inside ourselves that no amount of dollars and cents could fill. The way we handle money, both

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

as individuals and as N.A. members, therefore is fraught with significance for us.

N.A. that meetings would take place as scheduled, so we gave little thought to the expenses involved in running a meeting. If we thought about it at all, we simply assumed the money was coming from "somewhere." Even when the chairperson preceded the passing of the basket with a few words about N.A. being entirely self-supporting, we didn't pay much heed. Surely Narcotics Anonymous, with all its members and its great service structure, received government funds. Or corporate contributions. When we were interested enough to find out that N.A. really didn't accept funds from outsiders, some of us found this foolish. If we belonged to small new groups struggling to stay alive, we appreciated the Seventh Tradition even less. We even heard some long-time members refer to the tradition in a way that made it seem more of an obstacle than a help.

Many of us learned to respect the Seventh Tradition more as we saw what happened when it was violated. We cherish our autonomy-and put that autonomy at risk if, for example, we accept funding from a government agency, corporation, related facility, or other non-N.A. source. Some of those potential funding sources would be glad to give us money to finance some of our projects. But the moment we accept such funds we are, in effect, affiliating ourselves with the donor, which is contrary to the principles discussed in Tradition Six.

Affiliation inevitably means interference with the N.A. way. An outside organization may say, "We'll give you money for this or that and we'll promise not to interfere. No strings attached." The promise is sincerely made. But then a situation comes along that makes the donor feel it has a right to tell us what to do. And we begin to feel that the

donor has this right. The reason is that nowhere does it seem easier to become obligated than to someone to whom we are financially indebted. To become dependent on outside financial support is to risk outside influence. This creates danger, however subtle, to compromise, to curry favor, to divert our primary purpose. The people who want to help us are frequently interested in our success. N.A. offers them an answer to the tragedy of an addicted member of their own families or associations. We have found that many of our would-be benefactors have their own perspective of how N.A. should function. These "strings" sooner or later

There have been many examples of our groups accepting this seemingly necessary help, only to find their primary purpose or autonomy threatened. For example, one N.A. group accepted free rent of a church basement—but then was pressured to call the God of our understanding by a specific name relating to that church's belief. Another group was invited to carry the N.A. message to a local detox center—on the condition that members help the residents with their job and apartment hunting upon release. A third group accepted free items from a department store for their raffle—but later was expected to help with the store's advertising.

A fourth group accepted a substantial cash gift from a member's parents--but then the parents wanted the group to guarantee that their daughter wouldn't cause them any more trouble. This is an example of how the offer of an outside contribution can be detrimental to our unity. In that particular group there was a great deal of dissension both while the parents' offer was being considered and after it was accepted. Some members were enthusiastic about the offer, others were just as vehemently opposed to it. Also, and equally importantly, acceptance of the parents' money destroyed the daughter's anonymity. She was no

would choke us.

longer just another addict, another member; with everybody knowing of the contribution her mother and father made, she became "special." As a result, N.A. was no longer a safe place for her to recover.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

As these examples show, we should always be vigilant and make sure we refuse gifts and donations from non-N.A. sources. We must retain the right and responsibility to make our own decision. No matter how small the contribution offered, we must not look upon it as a trifle. It's never a trifle when we're under outside pressure. And if we do accept funds from the outside in the mistaken belief that "this won't hurt anything." we can never be sure. Nicely but firmly, outside gifts should always be refused or returned. In one situation where money was returned, a treatment center approached an N.A. group and suggested the group start up a meeting at the center. The center said it would take care of all the expenses involved, and that the group could pay the money back later. The group agreed. Almost immediately there was trouble. The center started to control the N.A. meeting, which it wanted for its aftercare program. It also began to charge for attendance. Basically, its aim was to have its own program under the N.A. banner. This caused an uproar in the N.A. group, which realized it had made a mistake and voted to reimburse the center for the expenses that had accrued. Eventually this group was able to pay rent and hold meetings at the treatment center without interference, but a lot of turmoil could have been avoided if the Seventh Tradition had been heeded.

In another case, a few N.A. members started a much-needed meeting in a hospital. Because financing the meeting was a problem, the hospital agreed to provide space and coffee for free. The N.A. members agreed to this proposal, promising to begin paying meeting room rent as soon as they could.

The meeting eventually became very popular. As many as one hundred people sometimes came. A couple of years went by like that, but the group never paid rent.

Then a big controversy rocked the relationship between the hospital and the meeting. Somebody was allegedly slipping drugs to one of the N.A. members at the meetings, and hospital officials intruded on a meeting. They told the member to leave or they would call the police. This action incensed the N.A. group, which felt that the hospital's action had violated N.A.'s Third and Fourth Traditions. But when they protested to the top hospital administrator, she demanded to know why the membership was following the traditions selectively. She referred to the Seventh Tradition, pointing out that the group had never paid rent. The N.A. members were taken aback. They did an inventory and realized that they couldn't expect the hospital to respect the Twelve Traditions if they didn't respect the traditions themselves. The outcome: they voted to begin paying rent.

The Seventh Tradition protects our autonomy; it also helps us to grow, both individually and as a Fellowship. It is, in fact, a powerful therapeutic tool. As active addicts we were highly dependent. With the help of our drugs we might have pretended to be fiercely independent—but that was as far as our independence went. We were highly dependent on our drugs. We were dependent on "people, places and things" to carry us through life. We leaned on others in all kinds of ways we couldn't see at the time, but which were very much a symptom of our addiction.

When we came to N.A. our lifestyles were challenged at every turn. The very First Step, so hard to do, demanded a painful reappraisal. Far from being independent, we were powerless. We were powerless over our addiction-but that admission unraveled the whole

thread of our lives. We were forced to see, sooner or later, how badly the disease of addiction had forced us to fool ourselves. Most of us hadn't stood on our own feet at all; our feet were made of clay. 3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27· 28

29

In its essentials our program of recovery is a reclamation project. It leads us, with God's help, to reclaim ourselves. It leads us to bring out the "I" we were unable to acknowledge before-the good, strong, thoughtful, compassionate and loving selves we were underneath the distortions for which our disease was responsible. The Seventh Tradition does much to help us with our reclamation project. In its insistence that we be self-supporting as a Fellowship it forces us to change our image of ourselves. Here are a bunch of addicts, very dependent people, who have managed to forge themselves into a strong, effective, worldwide Fellowship-and have done so without outside contributions. powerful role model of self-sufficiency. It inspires us to become selfsufficient on a personal level, as well. So, as we work the Twelve Steps and begin recovering from our addiction, we find jobs and careers, better our lives, and reach out to help others better theirs.

The basket we pass around at meetings is a symbol of our determination to be self-supporting. The nickels, dimes and dollars we put in help to defray our home groups' expenses and further N.A. as a whole. Those contributions also say, "I'm assuming my responsibility towards my Fellowship, instead of leaving it to others." We're forced. then, to see ourselves and our relationship with the world in a new, healthier light.

As newcomers we weren't all alike by any means in our reactions as the basket was passed around. Some of us were immediately delighted to contribute what we could. That reaction was much more likely to come from new members who immediately felt "at home" in N.A. and grateful to be there. As one member recalled, "I was so glad to

be able to give something. I'd had so many relapses and was in such terrible shape physically and emotionally. I didn't have anything else but a little change to give. I couldn't talk in meetings and I couldn't do any service work and no newcomers wanted to talk to me and nobody wanted me to sponsor them—but putting a little money in the basket was something I could do."

A lot of us didn't feel that way at all. We felt frightened and uncomfortable in N.A. We resented being at meetings. We felt so needy we didn't think we had anything to contribute—and, indeed, some of us showed up at our first meeting with not even a place to crash. As addicts we might have been big spenders, grandiosely picking up the tab for everyone in a restaurant or bringing drugs for everyone at a party, but now we didn't want to give anything. Far from being responsible—yet—we felt victimized. We felt the world owed us something. We wanted to be taken care of.

Even when we got ourselves out of that extreme dependency, our responses to giving were sometimes very narrow. The change from giving nothing to giving something was certainly dramatic, and led some of us to think we were making a more significant change than we actually were making. An example: One group in a far western state met in a place that had no free parking. Some members needed parking, because they came a considerable distance and had cars. A number of these members were, despite their car ownership, living marginally; every dollar counted. Other members were local ones who walked to the meeting or used public transportation. At a business meeting it was decided that donations would have to cover the parking fees of members who drove to the meetings. The locals heatedly objected, protesting that they wouldn't benefit. They had lost sight of the message of recovery.

which requires us to do all we can to make recovery available to everyone who comes to our doors seeking it.

Because of the financial realities involved, it's often a hard fight to start a new group, keep it going, expand its services, do a more effective job of carrying the message to the addict who still suffers. It can be a real struggle to scrape together money for rent--or even for a coffeepot and a few pieces of literature. Many addicts who want to start a new group are broke or nearly so: they're just beginning to get their lives together.

Under the circumstances, some addicts throw up their hands and say, "It can't be done." Others aren't willing to be that helpless. Many of us recognized that in active addiction we sometimes used a tremendous amount of ingenuity to get our drugs. We recognized that we could apply our ingenuity on behalf of our recovery. Thus some new groups have made temporary arrangements to get a meeting room in exchange for painting the room or cleaning the carpets or fixing the furniture. One new group arranged to give the church renting them a room a third of the donations collected at each meeting, regardless of how much or how little that was.

Some of us had revealing experiences in relation to the Seventh Tradition. When we followed it faithfully things generally went well. When we didn't, our good intentions came to naught. A group of addicts in a European city, for example, wanted to start a group. But money for a meeting place was a huge problem, so they accepted a sympathetic restaurant owner's offer of a free back room. And a friendly newspaper owner allowed them to place small notices in his paper without charge.

Despite all this cooperation, the group never got off the ground. For quite some time hardly any other addicts showed up. Finally the founding members meditated on the problem. The only thing they could

figure out was that ignoring the Seventh Tradition was somehow connected. So they thanked the restaurant owner and the newspaper publisher, found a small meeting room they could afford, and started to pay for meeting announcements. Then a strange thing happened-their group began to grow as it never had before. Yet it wasn't really strange at all. When they were being handed everything for free the founding members didn't put their heart and soul into the effort of making the group work. But once they began to pay the expenses they became much more involved in it and worked much harder to carry the message to other addicts.

Some of us found ourselves wanting to bargain with the Seventh Tradition. We'd done a lot of bargaining when we were addicts--"I'll just use once a day," we'd said, or, "I'll only use this drug, never that one"-so we were very good at that kind of thing. As our new groups took hold and we wanted to see them expand, therefore, some of us bargained again. We promised ourselves and each other we'd uphold the Seventh Tradition in general, and if we did that, an occasional exception wouldn't hurt. We justified ourselves by insisting that the ends were worthwhilewe needed more money to stock our group with literature, to rent better meeting places, start a hotline, whatever. Maybe we didn't accept cash but we compromised by accepting offers of refreshments, free photocopies or donations for our fund-raisers from outside sources.

We were fooling ourselves. Tradition Seven doesn't say, "N.A. ought to be fully self-supporting, declining outside contributions except for free refreshments for our dance or prizes for our raffle." A single exception can bring us great problems. A single exception disproves our self-reliance. And what starts out as a just-this-once exception readily leads to another and another.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

What we finally came to understand was that even the most worthy of projects will have to wait if we don't have the money to support it. This is a very difficult lesson for many of us to learn. When we want to do something for N.A. but can't, we tend to be thrown back to the days when we were using. It was very much a mark of our addiction to expect constant gratification; we refused to wait for anything. We didn't want to wait in doing things for N.A., either, and we could justify our impatience by the goodness of the cause.

It's this impatience that prompts some of us to rationalize saying yes to outside funding. "We need a hotline," our inability to wait might lead us to say, "and that's more important than where the money comes from." Once we temper our impatience, though, we come to an understanding of timing. If the timing is wrong the project in question won't be on a solid footing even if immediate funding for it is somehow found. It will be shaky, always in some danger of going under. If the timing is right, however, the project will have sufficient group support to give it solidity.

One area committee, for example, wasn't receiving sufficient contributions from groups to pay the area phone service bills. Yet the area's phone calls were increasing, as was the cost of service. The solution wasn't to sit back and wait for God to raise the money. The solution wasn't to throw a fund-raiser, which would at best have been a temporary expedient. The solution wasn't to solicit outside contributions. The solution was for group service representatives to let members know what the problem was, why more money was needed. As soon as the members understood how those expensive phone calls were being used-to carry the message to the addict who still suffers--group conscience readily supported the idea of increased donations to cover the area's phone bills. The episode had a unifying effect on the membership, as

well, because the members participated jointly in finding a solution to the problem.

Because the need is there, an N.A. group can start out with practically nothing and have a remarkable growth. Often a group begins with two or three recovering addicts getting together, maybe meeting in somebody's home at first. They post flyers in appropriate places and perhaps get a local radio or television station to announce their meetings as a public service. The first N.A. telephone number for that new group often belongs to one of the members, who gives up his privacy for a time.

In spite of these hardships the group gets underway. New members come, a regular meeting place is found. The group expands and more donations are collected. Now the group can establish a prudent reserve for unexpected expenses and more services. Members grow in number. The group joins with other groups to form an area service committee. The area establishes a hotline, prints meeting schedules and performs other services of benefit to its groups. The area service committee joins a regional committee which itself is linked to N.A.'s service structure at all levels. Thus a group that started with a couple of near-broke addicts is now a large, active body with strong bonds to the entire Fellowship.

No matter how large a group becomes, though, it still depends on the support it gets from individual addict members. When its members continue to contribute--not only money but time, experience and particular talents--the group continues to grow stronger and more effective.

All groups, all committees, our entire service structure to and including world service, are bound by the Seventh Tradition. None can accept funds from outside sources. All moneys for all our services at

every level come from individual member's donations, literature sales, and similar activities.

Not all members, though, are aware of the far-reaching effects of their contributions. Some of us have been in groups where the evening's chairperson simply said, "Now we're going to have the Seventh Tradition," and began passing the basket. But the basket isn't the Seventh Tradition and we were left with the impression that most of the money we put in simply goes for rent, coffee and cookies. Experience shows that members respond much more readily to the passing of the basket when they're told exactly how the money is spent, how it helps in carrying out our primary purpose. The more we understand how the Seventh Tradition works, experience shows, the more generously we respond. As in so many other ways, it's addict helping addict.

Each N.A. group has a financial responsibility to itself and to the larger Fellowship. Our groups keep prudent reserves to meet unexpected outlays and to be sure there's enough money for rent on hand if donations fall short. Beyond this reserve we contribute to the "fund flow"—meaning that a portion of our groups' donations go to further the work of the area, the region, and world services. It's this fund flow that keeps N.A. as a whole functioning.

That Narcotics Anonymous has grown so large and so well attests to the fact that most of our groups cooperate in this way. They recognize that without a steady flow of funds it would be impossible to operate as a vigorous international Fellowship dedicated to carrying the message of hope and recovery whenever possible.

Some groups do not, however, feel as connected to the entire Fellowship. They show it by keeping an extremely large prudent reserve--an entire year's worth of expenses, for example--or allow none of their funds to flow out. The motivation for hanging on to large sums is

understandable. A group may have had a really tough struggle to begin and survive; now that it's successful it wants to hang on to its money as a kind of security blanket. It follows the old maxim, "Charity begins at home."

While it's true that charity-really, our recovery program-begins with our home groups, without an ongoing flow of funds our links to each other everywhere would be broken. Our overall structure would stop functioning and the Fellowship would fall apart. At best we would remain a collection of small, isolated groups. That would be contrary to the intent and spirit of the Seventh Tradition. Carrying the message to the addict who still suffers means carrying it everywhere, to the best of our abilities. Suffering addicts everywhere are our brothers and sisters.

From another standpoint, too, it's unwise for groups to keep large sums available. Temptation can too easily be awakened when lots of money is around and there's easy access to it. Every so often it happens that a member with access to group funds takes some for his own purposes--or "borrows" group funds with every intention of repaying but never does. A trusted servant who was in a group where funds were taken later concluded, "Money has a certain magic in it for us. No matter how honest we are, there's that urge--`just let me feel it.' I can have a pocketful of cash, but let me feel a boxcar full. It just seems to have that kind of draw, and if the money isn't there I don't have to worry about it. The worst combination is a large prudent reserve and poor accountability. Don't put Fort Knox in my living room."

The Seventh Tradition calls upon us to be careful and responsible with our group's funds. We're expected to use the money in a way that conforms to our spiritual program and further it. Treasurers and other trusted servants designated to collect and disburse the money are expected to do so in a prudent and responsible way. Most of the time

groups and trusted servants live up to these expectations in an exemplary manner. Occasionally not. Occasionally sizable sums entrusted to a treasurer have disappeared. Occasionally convention funds have been used to buy flowers and candy for certain participants—which is a frivolous use of N.A. money, having nothing to do with carrying the message to the addict who still suffers. Occasionally those who arrange conventions and other large meetings have requested kickbacks from vendors or otherwise manipulated the funds.

For us to view money casually is tantamount to viewing our disease of addiction casually. We can't afford to do that, either to ourselves or to N.A. When group money is used in unauthorized, dishonest or unwise ways it diminishes our ability to help addicts. It also jeopardizes the survival of those of us who have acted irresponsibly with the Fellowship's money. Many a trusted servant who has taken money from the membership has used it to buy drugs—or, beset with feelings of guilt, went back to using later. When trusted servants have taken money from the membership they've often used it to buy drugs. Even when they've used the stolen money for other purposes, the feelings of guilt that are generated usually drive them to use again. Guilt drives them to use, shame keeps them from returning to N.A. When we fail to adhere to our spiritual program, it's inevitable: we always "pay the dues."

In a spirit of love and compassion, therefore, we must use reasonable care in electing those trusted servants who handle our groups' funds. In a spirit of love and compassion we should not ask members with little clean time to handle funds, even if those members are skilled accountants or bookkeepers. We should not ask members who are in dire straits financially or emotionally to handle funds. We should not re-elect to the treasurer's post members who have shown less than total

responsibility in handling funds, though this sometimes happens. We should elect only the most stable and responsible members to positions that require the administration of funds. Just as important, all groups should set up reasonably strict accounting procedures. This creates a safe atmosphere both for the treasurer and the group as a whole.

As we work the program for a while, and our lives evolve in happier, sounder ways, many of us find ourselves becoming financially stable. It may be for the first time in our lives. It may be for the first time in years. Either way, it makes us feel very good about ourselves to know we've become this self-sufficient. Some of us go on to make fairly substantial sums of money, either in careers or as entrepreneurs. We're filled with gratitude to N.A. and to the Higher Power that led us to its doors. We feel we owe the Fellowship a great debt. Then, in the spirit of giving back that which was given to us, we contribute relatively large sums to N.A.

Yet from the standpoint of the Seventh Tradition, it's also possible 16 17 for N.A. members to give too much of their time and other resources. This sometimes happens. There are new members who become wildly 18 enthusiastic about the possibility of recovery in N.A. They give up their 19 20 jobs or ignore their families, devoting themselves wholly to service. 21 Enthusiasm for N.A. is vital to our success. But going to extremes, no 22 matter what the circumstances, has the opposite effect of the one these 23 members seek. Our recovery depends on leading a balanced life. When we devote so much of ourselves to N.A. that we neglect other important 24 25 facets of our lives, our recovery suffers. In fact, ironical as it may seem, doing too much for N.A. is bad for everybody concerned, the members 26 27 who do the giving and the members who are collectively on the taking For instance, in some cases newly-developing regions have 28 end. depended on certain well-off members to support them financially--29

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

members who paid the phone, printing and other bills almost entirely out of their own pockets. Such reliance on one or two members reawakens our tendency to be so dependent on others. It diminishes the individual self-reliance our program seeks to encourage. It denies other members the opportunity also to serve. Most important of all, it does harm to principles vital to N.A.'s survival—autonomy, anonymity and unity.

Our anonymity is threatened because members who contribute more than their fair share often tend to begin viewing their groups as "theirs"-begin to expect the right to set policy. It's a kind of subconscious arrogance, the message being "I've paid my dues so I'm entitled to run the show." In one such instance a region wanted to open a central office but lacked the necessary funds. Rather than waiting until it had them, it accepted one generous member's offer to put up the money. Once the office was established, that member wanted to run it his way. Much conflict resulted, disrupting the unity in that region.

Not all members who contribute beyond any reasonable measure of fair share want to take over and run things. Some strike an attitude of martyrdom. Their thinking goes, "I give so much and I'm not really appreciated." A trusted servant recalls how, for a number of years, she insisted on paying her own airfare and hotel bills even though she had little money and the Fellowship wanted to take care of her expenses. As she later realized, she'd had a need to see herself as "special": "I carried the financial burden of committee meetings like a cross. I was so good; I cared so much for my beloved program; I began to believe in my most secret heart of hearts that my recovery must be much deeper than that of others because 'I give so much."

This member came to understand, in time, that feeling special means being different. And being different puts us in danger of thinking like an addict once again, not bound by the laws, rules and principles

that apply to others. Feeling different runs counter to the spirit of the Twelfth Tradition, the spirit of anonymity in which each of us is simply one addict among many.

When she gained these insights, this member was able to accept the Fellowship's payment of her expenses. Then she made another discovery: "I felt it as a miracle that "I" was no longer doing the work. The Fellowship was doing the work. I became equal to all the other members. I was still giving my time, my love and my mental abilities to my Fellowship, but I was no longer needing to buy myself a special place in N.A."

Groups follow the Twelve Traditions best when all members contribute what they can based on their financial resources. When we all give our fair share—not significantly less or overwhelmingly more—we're truly a Fellowship of equals as described in the Twelfth Tradition. While members with adequate financial resources may want to put something extra into the basket from time to time, or make a contribution for a special purpose, this is most sensibly done anonymously whenever possible. When we give in order to serve, not to gain power or play the martyr's role, it's in keeping with God's intent. And then it doesn't matter if no one specifically knows of our generosity.

We need to keep in mind, too, that the Seventh Tradition can be interpreted too narrowly. It's about money, but it's about more than money. For our groups to be self-supporting they must count on members' support in a variety of ways. We donate financially what we can. We give our time and energy. We work on projects. We don't rely on just a few members to carry the load; we all pitch in however we can, with whatever skills we can contribute.

As for would-be benefactors, people and organizations who not infrequently want to donate money to us, our purpose here is not to

| 1 | discuss their integrity but to emphasize that N.A. must not be allowed to |
|----|--|
| 2 | be disrupted by outside control. Sometimes the offer of free rent, |
| 3 | refreshments, transportation or donations for our fund-raisers from |
| 4 | outside the N.A. community may seem like windfalls to us. But our |
| 5 | experience clearly disproves this. Accepting such offers is clearly |
| 6 | contrary to the Seventh Tradition. We must maintain our freedom to |
| 7 | help addicts the N.A. way. |
| 8 | We do not accept outside contributions because we want to hold on |
| 9 | to the freedom we have. We decline all outside contributions for our own |
| 10 | sake. The more we in N.A. give of our strength, caring, wisdom, time |
| 11 | and energy, the more the Fellowship becomes "ours." The more it |
| 12 | becomes "ours" the more strength we can draw from it to help other |
| 13 | addicts and to ensure the continuation of our recovery. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Newsline Articles |
| | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees in |
| 19 | 1984. It represents views at the time of writing. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | "Every N.A. group ought to be fully self-supporting, declining |
| 22 | outside contributions." Not only is there an obligation but a duty of |
| 23 | every recovering addict to support both through effort and monies the |
| 24 | things that will help N.A. grow. |
| 25 | It is sad that in our efforts to recover we take great reversals of |
| 26 | attitudes and actions, from high-rolling, grandiose, free-spending people |
| 27 | to tight, selfish individuals with great rationalization and justification for |
| 28 | our actions. |

With this pious and noble attitude, we point at others or say "let George do it, he is more qualified and has more money."

We forget that every one of us had to support our own habits by whatever means we could find. If we could give just a small percentage of the monies or efforts we spent for drugs, how well we would be able to carry the message of recovery to those many unfortunate addicts who have not yet found N.A.

Just think for a moment how much could be accomplished if every addict in N.A. would pledge one cent a day to one service arm of N.A. Greater numbers of people would hear the message of N.A. and be given a chance of recovery.

It seems that in our recovery, memory returns very slowly. We forget where we came from, the way it was, and how we obtained the message of recovery. It was freely given, but there was work and money involved that came from somewhere.

There is work being performed by people who feel that sense of responsibility to their fellows. Phone calls cost money, the printed material that is given away for free costs money, the rent must be paid at a central location so we may be located by those seeking recovery. When the phone rings we want someone to be at the other end; they must be paid. The postage for the letters you write and responses to those letters also costs money.

Remember the work you don't see being done is usually being accomplished by a mere few who ask very little in return. Those few cannot do it alone, without the help of all members in the Fellowship in some small part, contributing towards their recovery and the recovery of those to come.

The survival of N.A. depends on us all. That little something we 1 don't give might be the difference between one addict dying or surviving. 2 3 That addict could be you. 4 Reprinted from: Newsline, Vol. 2, No. 3 5 This article was written by member of the Board of Trustees in 6 November 1985. It represents views at the time of writing. 7 8 This is a program of attraction rather than promotion. One of my 9 first attractions to this program was when I heard "you are a member 10 when you say you are," and that it didn't cost anything to join. 11 12 When they passed around the basket I was skeptical; then someone reassured me that I didn't have to put anything in, and if I 13 needed some, I could even take some out. Well, I never did take any out 14 15 but it was a long time before I ever put anything in. When I realized that we were self-supporting from our own 16 17 contributions, that we paid for our coffee and paid rent for the meeting 18 room, I figured it would only be fair to pitch in. So I'd throw a quarter 19 in the basket, figuring that would cover my share. Oftentimes, I would 20 contribute nothing. I didn't have much money, and I wasn't going to 21 give what I had. I knew someone had to be covering the main bill at the WSO, the 22 23 phone, literature and the WSC. It just somehow didn't feel like it should be my responsibility. 24 25 As I grew and became more involved in the program, and as I started to make more money, I worked my way up to putting in a dollar 26 when I felt I could afford it. Eventually, after I had been clean a long 27 28 time. I made a commitment to put in a dollar whether I felt I could

afford it or not.

In my region when we first decided to open up a phone line and hire a 24-hour answering service, a bunch of us members made a commitment to see that the phonelines stayed open. We were willing to put up the money each month if the rest of the Fellowship didn't contribute enough. It was the first major thing we had done as a region. For years before that, certain members had been the main N.A. contacts. For some reason, we never did have to come up with the money, and the bill got paid every month through our contributions.

We did pretty much the same thing with our central office. Quite a few of our members put up pledges that could be called upon if there was not enough money coming in from the basket. But the money came in and the pledges were not needed.

We, like so many other regions, were experiencing such tremendous growth that we barely had the resources within our Fellowship to keep up with its demands. We had to look at what our expenses were, and how much money was actually being donated by the groups. It was plain that the groups weren't donating enough money for us to be self-supporting. Our expenses for literature were skyrocketing. Our hospital and institution committee had become active as never before, and its need for literature was never ending, yet its primary purpose to carry the message to the addict who is locked up couldn't be ignored. Our public information committee was also getting more and more requests from the public, and that meant more money.

At the world level, it's astronomical. They literally cannot keep up with the demand. This year alone [1985] the Conference passed a budget of over \$150,000 -- up from \$60,000 last year [1984].

What I am trying to get at is that it seems as though it is through our fund-raisers that we meet our financial needs. Time and time again

at our local ASC meetings we see that it's been the fund-raisers that
have pulled us through.

My concern for our future and the concern of many other members I have talked to is this: Where are we headed with these fund-raisers? We frequently become dependent upon them as the means by which we are self-supporting.

We are a worldwide spiritual Fellowship. We take great pride in our Seventh Tradition, that we pay our own way and decline the offer of outside contributions. It has given us a sense of integrity about ourselves and protects us from outside influence. Yet through many of these fund-raisers, a lot of money is generated from people outside of our membership.

Some of this might be unavoidable, yet the trend towards reliance on these fund-raisers as the means by which we support a lot of our service structure can lead us down a perilous path. The primary object of these functions is to carry the message to the addict who is still suffering and to enhance the quality of our own program through unity, love and service.

Last year it was mentioned that if each member in the Fellowship donated \$2.00 a year to the WSC, that it would finance its budget. Just locally in my region we estimate that if each one of our members would contribute \$4.00 a month to our area service committee, it would support our local services. It is not mentioned enough at meetings about what our Seventh Tradition really is or what our needs are. I put \$2.00 in the basket at each meeting, not that I've got the bucks, but because someone told me that that's what it basically takes for each member to contribute for this Fellowship to be self-supporting. Now, I wouldn't have come up with that on my own, because I'm basically cheap when it comes to

people passing around collection plates. It's an old idea that I've had to
get rid of.

Interestingly enough, my God as I understand Him has always provided me with that \$2.00 at each meeting, whereas before, when I wasn't even aware of our needs, I never seemed to have it. For myself and many other addicts, there is nothing more fulfilling than being involved with putting on a function for this Fellowship that is geared towards carrying the message and financially breaking even.

Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 2.No. 5

General Input

I believe any discussion of this subject must begin in the Eleventh Tradition with the words, "Our public relations policy is... "followed with the last part of the Fifth Tradition language,"...to carry the message to the addict who still suffers." Maybe if the Tradition had been written that way it would have helped our understanding. Even though it is not written that way, the current issue before PI and the full Fellowship rest on those concepts.

The duty of the PI committee is to accomplish the tasks quoted in the Eleventh and Fifth Tradition without violating the Sixth and Seventh Traditions. It is possible to look at this duty with two perspectives, one that is impractical and operates with strong restraints and one that is practical with a more open interpretation.

The approach with strong restraints would require that all PI efforts be conducted directly by members and in person. This perspective would avoid any controversy, as the only way our PI efforts would be

accomplished is by one addict talking personally to another addict or a member of the public or groups of the public. This would mean one on one meetings between our members and other addicts or with professionals, or at meetings that our members attend and we pay for the meeting space.

The only permissible indirect or media PI efforts would be those that were completely paid for by N.A. If we took out advertising space and we paid the full commercial cost in a newspaper, magazine, bus bench, billboard, radio or TV media then the strong restraints concept could be followed. Using this approach we would have to refuse discounts and free time or space. Most likely our efforts would be pretty limited. The majority of our efforts, because they would be limited by our finances, would be direct mail efforts of committees trying to target people who influence others or in limited radio or bus bench-type advertising.

The simplicity of this approach is appealing. I am sure that if the Fellowship decides to adopt this perspective, that after the bloody learning period, we would be able to follow the policy. The job of PI would be less nerve racking and become much easier.

This approach would prohibit us from using any media at all unless we paid for it: no signs, no radio, no TV, no newspapers, no magazines, no bulletin boards. There are other prohibitions, but you get the point.

If we had followed this approach from the beginning, I believe there are thousands of addicts who would not be alive today. Very few addicts really see a TV spot or hear a radio PSA or read an N.A. sign and then search us out, get clean and become members. It simply does not happen very often. What does occur are two other things. Family members and the public learn of N.A. then begin to push the addict

towards our public knowledge and public faith in our program. Without the latter, the former does not take place.

There will come a time when N.A. is as well known by the general public as is that other organization. The confidence level in our dependability and reputation will be a major factor in the acceptance by using addicts to take the advice of family and friends that they should join N.A. Until that confidence level is achieved, we will have to keep proving ourselves to every addict. Even after the confidence level is high, the new addict must find identification with other addicts when they come to their first meetings.

If we do not have the ability to use the media without having to pay for the full costs ourselves, then there will continue to be thousands of addicts who never learn of N.A. and continue using. Many will die.

The approach described above is based on the assumption that N.A. is the recipient of a donation when a media outlet publishes or broadcasts something about N.A. Fortunately this is not the case. When a media outlet publishes or broadcasts something about N.A., it is the general public interest that is the beneficiary. N.A. just gets more work to do.

A large percentage of our society is concerned about the general welfare of our society. Of course it is the government who is primarily concerned about the general welfare and they continue to pursue efforts to upgrade the general welfare. Government agencies, public organizations and private companies all find expression of their civic duty in ways that improve the general welfare of society.

For example, heart and lung associations broadcast messages against smoking and eating certain foods. The government and some private companies do the same thing. These messages are presented as part of their public relations policy to inform about the dangers they

have first hand knowledge of and to raise the public confidence level intheir organization.

Sometimes these messages are paid for by the company or agency making the PSA. Sometimes the messages are provided free by the media outlet. An example to look at would be the professional football players association and their support for United Way and more recent efforts to cast drug use in a negative light. Both messages were professionally made at the expense of the players association, but the stations do not charge for their air time. The revenue lost by the TV station is passed on to an individual sponsor or averaged out among all their sponsors as an element of their overhead expense.

In this example, how do the football players individually or collectively benefit from the TV station, the individual advertiser or all advertisers who paid for the air time? They do not benefit in a personal way other than the satisfaction that the public interest is served by such efforts and their reputation as public spirited persons is enhanced.

Who is benefited from the broadcast of N.A. public service announcements? The public is the beneficiary. The message is available to improve the general public welfare because N.A. produced the PSA and cooperated with the station to have it broadcast. There are others involved in this cooperative effort, the advertisers who use the station.

In recent months we have had some confusion about this issue. Some have concluded that N.A. receives a contribution when N.A. public service announcements are broadcast. Unless someone is receiving money and we have not been told about it, I believe we have not received any outside contributions. It is the general public interest that receives the benefit of the contribution. The person who learns from those football player spots that smoking is potentially dangerous and

stops smoking is the person who benefits. They are the one who obtained the contribution.

The same is true of our PSA spots. The addict who learns about N.A. directly from seeing the PSA or from another person who did see the PSA is the beneficiary, they received the contribution. But since thousands or millions may have received the same message, it is considered that the general welfare is the recipient of the contribution.

The recent discussions in our PI committee have confused this issue and we need to get it corrected. Many of our members didn't give the issue much thought but we went ahead using a variety of indirect methods to "carry the message" thinking that it was free. Perhaps too many of us thought that God was putting money in the cash box for those companies who owned the radio or TV stations or bus companies or billboard companies so the companies didn't have to pay the cost themselves.

God doesn't put money in the cash box of anybody. But things do get done for the general welfare of the society. Here is how it works. The media companies are in business to make money. Free time is an expense, so they pass the expense on to someone. They average it out among all their advertisers or allow a company to pay for some specific time. But is it really the company that pays for the time? Not really, they pass the cost of the time on to the consumers of their products. So the truth is consumers pay higher prices for products, which pays for public service time that benefits the general welfare of the society. Does N.A. get a contribution out of this? No.

As an incentive from the government for companies to involve themselves in this circle effort of the consumers to help pay for information distribution that benefits the general welfare, the government allows companies to obtain a tax reduction for sponsoring

public service time. We may want to become involved in that relationship as a matter of our Sixth Tradition efforts, but even that requires some investigation.

As a Sixth Tradition matter the issue is understanding the relationship of the company that pays the media outlet (and obtains the tax deduction). That relationship may be the same as a treatment center, public park, library, club house or hospital that has N.A. meetings on their property. The question this presents is, does the fact that N.A. has a meeting in a certain facility mean we are related to that facility in violation of our Sixth Tradition? Generally the answer is no.

The answer is generally no, because of the way in which we interrelate as a result of having a meeting on the property that is owned by someone else. The basis of that relationship is in the Tenth Tradition: "N.A. has no opinion on outside issues,..." and in the spiritual purpose of the final words in the Sixth Tradition, "... lest problems of money, property or prestige divert us from our primary purpose." As a Tenth Tradition matter, our Fellowship concerns itself only with the program of recovery that our members have developed. As a Fellowship we have no interest in becoming involved in other matters, regardless of whether or not it is the concept of a particular treatment center, a taxation problem of the library or park system or how the government gives tax breaks to companies that advertise in public media outlets. When we get drawn into such controversy we should extricate ourselves as quietly and fast as possible.

The spiritual issue here is freedom for N.A. to believe what we choose to believe. By avoiding concepts and issues of other organizations we retain our independence of thought. The same concept is embedded in the Sixth Tradition with the admonition to never endorse or lend our name lest we be diverted from our primary purpose. Here again the

matter of our spiritual independence from others is the key. If we get tied to a treatment program it is possible for people who do not know better, to assume that N.A. endorses the concepts they foster in their treatment modality. The same could be true of the relationship of N.A. to companies that pay for advertising time allocated to the N.A. messages. If the public is led to believe that our message of recovery, or N.A., is sponsored by a certain company then there is a clear problem.

This would be true if a treatment program where an N.A. meeting is held implied the same relationship concerning the N.A. meeting. If they say the meeting is sponsored by them or imply that N.A. is sponsored by them, then there is a clear Sixth and Tenth Tradition problem.

In these cases the solution is the same and very clear. Every reasonable effort should be made to obtain assistance from the company or treatment center to cease promoting incorrect information about the relationship between N.A. and their company or organization. If this fails, then, in the case of the treatment center, the meeting should move and delete reference to that address in meeting directories.

In the case of media advertisers, the media outlet and the advertiser should be asked to cease implying they sponsor N.A. If they persist, then the media outlet should be asked to discontinue using the public service announcement and return all copies to N.A. We retrieve the media material tape, video tape or other material by letter.

An important point needs to be made at this place. The materials provided by the WSO for the Fellowship are copyrighted material. We (N.A.) provide these materials to the media company owners for their use, but not ownership rights. We could legally demand the return of these tapes or materials, or their destruction if cessation of the offensive relationship to us does not occur.

This is an important reason why WSO does copyright our PSA materials. The material is provided by us as an element in benefiting the public interest and we do, by copyright ownership, retain some legal control over their use. It is not likely it would be necessary to resort to legal measures but they can be done by the WSO if requested and if appropriate.

An overall aspect of the Sixth and Seventh Tradition issue as it relates to P.I. and our meetings is the spiritual foundation upon which these traditions is based. The Traditions tell us to remain independent of thought concerning our recovery concept. It tells us to remain true to, and concentrate on, recovery and not other things or events.

We cannot allow others to tell us what recovery is. This is our program and our collective groups' conscience decides on its content. Outsiders would confuse our message, they would change our concepts or tarnish our spiritual independence if we affiliated with others or became indebted.

Our Seventh Tradition is our statement of abundance and sharing, fulfillment and support; our supply to the newcomer, the addict who still suffers and to oursevles. Our first seven traditions are inherent to the complete individual group; the final six inherent to the complete corporation. Our Seventh Tradition is inherent to the complete corporate group, the complete corporation, the structure of both, and the participation and growth of the individual member into the complete corporate individual, participating to produce the complete corporate group - fully participant to the corporate structure. Corporate is a spiritual word indicating fulfillment through unity within a body.

Our Seventh Tradition is provisional, pivotal and productive. It is where reciprocity is most obviously active, providing the infrastructure or inner strength of our Fellowship.

Our Seventh Tradition is provisional in nature, pivotal in function and productive of itself when shared. It becomes active through sharing the fruits of our recovery in a physical, mental and spiritual manner, duplicating the manner in which we receive them; receiving and sharing from the abundance of our newfound abilities and in doing so, improving and increasing those abilities, giving us the tools to provide and share the spiritual, mental and material wealth that enable us as a Fellowship to stand ready; to attract and provide to those we attract the minute intrinsics that as a whole become hope, the fulfilling implement of a desire so necessary for us to remain here and to recover.

In our recent struggle to carry the message it has become apparent as to an existing problem that we seem to have in Narcotics Anonymous. Although it would be nice if we, as a Fellowship, would never have to discuss the issue of finances, reality dictates that we need to if we are to continue to carry the message and to continue to provide the services that we do.

We struggle locally to continue to keep our helplines in operation and our H&I meetings supplied with literature, and to continue to provide meeting lists and public information on a wider scale. The World Service Conference is presently (dated July, 1987) in the hole some \$40,000 to \$50,000. We continue to provide a great portion of our services through the money we generate from conventions and "fund-

raisers" instead of through the spiritual principle of self sacrifice that is 1 2 the foundation of our Seventh Tradition. One area in another region increased the amount of funds to help 3 provide their services by 800% by reading a statement similar to the one 4 below in all their group meetings. Please consider using it in your group. 5 6 "7th Tradition Time Read: The 7th Tradition has its foundation in the spiritual 7 8 principle of self sacrifice, something most of us were not good at in our active addiction but try to cultivate in our recovery. 9 Gratitude is not something we say, it is something we do. When 10 we practice the principle of self sacrifice in any way we show 11 appreciation for the ability to regularly participate in the miracle of 12 recovery and try to carry the message to those who do not. 13 The funds that make it to our basket provide: our group's rent. 14 literature and refreshments, meeting lists, telephone helplines, literature 15 for our H&I committees, public information and other services on our 16 area, regional and world levels. We keep what we have only by giving it 17 18 away. Newcomers are asked not to contribute. All others are privileged 19 to do so." 20 ********** 21 22 This is the tradition with which we are most familiar because we 23 hear it at almost every meeting as the basket is passed around. However, nobody's membership is dependent upon his/her contribution 24 25 when the basket comes around.

The amount, too, is not important. It is the act of giving, even if

it is only a dime, that carries the weight.

Financial independence is an important part of our new way of life. It demonstrates the changes in us as individuals and as groups. At last, we are learning responsibility, and adherence to this tradition proves it.

7⁻

I would call your attention to the wording of this tradition, "Groups <u>ought</u> to be..." Even here, in this very important area, each group may choose to follow this tradition. It is not written as a law, but worded as a strong suggestion and based on past experience.

If we were to accept gifts or loans from outside sources, they could have hidden price tags attached. It is well known that "he who pays the piper calls the tune." Anything, be it favors, recognition, endorsements, support, anything that diverts us from our primary purpose, or violates another tradition, is too much for us to risk by accepting a contribution from an outside source.

Even a member giving more than his/her fair share can lead to controversy and disharmony.

Where does our money go? Each group has to pay rent, buy coffee, tea, etc., buy literature, key tags and sometimes cakes. Any amount in excess of the group's immediate needs plus a small reserve, is given to the area service committee, which in turn pays rent, supplies literature and refreshments to Hospital and Institution meetings, and pays our N.A. phone bill. We try to send little care packages of literature to new groups starting up and finance the task of informing the community at large that N.A. is alive and well, ready to help other addicts. Engaged in similar functions on a larger scale are our Regional and World Services, both of which depend on our contributions here at the group level, for their very existence.

Think, if you will, how much it cost you to join N.A. I know of other recovery groups that impose a fee to join. What if N.A. had asked

that of you when you joined? Would you have been able to afford to join? I couldn't and my addicted friend in New York couldn't either.

When the basket is being passed, not just tonight, but every night, please think about what N.A. has done for you, how it was here when you needed it and if you want N.A. to be here in the future--please give.

7 ***********************

We have found there is more to being self supporting than providing enough money to pay for things. Carrying out the responsibilities that money brings with it are self supporting gestures in themselves. We do not provide the money for our services, the groups do, and as a committee we cannot be "fully self supporting."

The groups and the Fellowship are obliged to be. As servants we carry out services for the groups and remain "directly responsible to those we serve." In order to preserve our primary purpose, protect the groups' autonomy, and assure the personal anonymity that our Traditions indicate as necessary, we should accept the obligation this responsibility brings with it, and assure the Fellowship's need to be fully self supporting. "Being self supporting is an important part of our new way of life" (Basic Text p. 65).

In P.I. work we receive many generous offers of assistance. T.V. stations may offer to make tapes for us. We may be offered donations by cooperating organizations to help us in our work. Regardless of their intentions, we cannot accept this type of help. "In N.A. our groups not only stand on their own, but demand the right to do so" (Basic Text p. 65).

Some of the basic gestures we can make are drawing a good set of guidelines and setting up realistic budgets. When accepted, they define

our responsibilities to us and the groups, and support our efforts with much needed direction.

New projects arise in P.I. that sometimes exceed the limits of our budget. It may become necessary at these times to stage special fund raisers to obtain the money. These can become unifying for the Fellowship. They strengthen the ties that bind us together with a common purpose. They may also serve as a guide to the Fellowship conscience. The success of the fund raiser can tell us if there is total, limited, or very little approval of the project. We learn to accept conscience. Sometimes the answer is no.

The ability of the fellowship to support our services financially is a valid indicator of how ready we are to proceed with a responsibility. Even if the P.I. sub-committee were able to succeed with a new project, perhaps the local Fellowship would not be ready to support the needs and influx of new members it would bring with them. In P.I. we learn to look at what we don't have, rather than what we have.

Supporting new groups with in-fellowship P.I., supporting fund raisers, conventions, and spiritual celebrations; traveling to and sharing in Fellowship activities and learning days in other areas and regions; regular attendance and sharing recovery in the meeting place, attending and sharing in the Tradition discussions and business portions of our service meetings, continuing to recover through the N.A. program and contribution to the conscience of the Fellowship; a commitment to sharing and communicating within the service structure, and volunteering to do work in our service center; all can be considered Seventh Tradition contributions.

"We all have to pull together, and in pulling together we learn that we really are part of something greater than ourselves" (Basic Text p. 66).

Money is an important part of our existence. Learning to deal with it and its responsibilities are equally important parts of "facing life on its own terms." (Why are we here) P.I. is an area that seems to require a stronger sense of discipline within our Traditions. Our ability to accept personal responsibility is a reflection of our recovery. Our P.I. work is a statement of N.A. recovery.

Lots of different opinions on propriety of fundraising. Any official position?

There has been increasing concern among our members about using our activities as fundraising occasions exclusively with little emphasis on celebrating the miracle of recovery. Additionally, fundraising takes away the important role our groups play in passing on their collection after expenses to support area, Regional and World Services. Our need to be self-supporting is the important factor here. Without the `basket' support, the feeling is that `service' is remote to group affairs and should be self-supporting.

In Narcotics Anonymous we are guided by general consensus and group conscience rather than 'official positions' which would imply the power of enforcement. Our SERVICE structure services the needs of our Fellowship by their direction and through their support, not the other way round.

I had a hard time accepting that some of our trusted servants, especially at the World Level, were provided plane fare and hotel fare to travel to other parts of the World for committee meetings and conferences. I became a member of a world level committee and continued to work extensively at the regional level. I had a kind of pride

that, even though I was not making a lot of money, I always paid my own way to committee meetings. Twice my region helped me out financially, but their funds were very limited and I felt a little guilty accepting even a small amount toward an expensive trip.

I was judging others for accepting money so I judged myself doubly hard. I carried the financial burden of committee meetings like a cross. I was so good; I cared so much for my beloved program; I began to believe in my most secret heart of hearts that my recovery must be deeper than others because "I give so much."

Near the end of my commitment, I was on a committee which needed me at a meeting. My plane fare and hotel were paid by the Fellowship. The miracle that happened for me is that it was no longer "I" who was doing the work. The Fellowship was doing its own work. I was just the member the Fellowship was using to get the work done. I became equal to all the other members, the ones on the committee who were also "just members the Fellowship was using," and the other members at home. I was still giving my time, my love, and my mental abilities to my Fellowship, but I was no longer buying myself a special place in N.A.

21 *************************

Our groups are self-supporting in ways other than the obvious financial aspects. We don't have expert "N.A. counselors" hired to treat our disease. We utilize only our own N.A. experiences with recovery in our literature, our meetings, and interpretation of the Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions. By declining outside contributions, both monetary and philosophical, we keep the N.A. message free from outside pressure and influence.

If we are to be fully self-supporting, then how do we provide the necessary services? The answer is, of course, from our members. Who better to support Narcotics Anonymous than the people that owe their lives to this Fellowship and will benefit directly from the services provided? With freedom comes responsibility. When the basket is passed at our meetings, we contribute based on our ability and our gratitude for what we've been given here. There is no set amount that is proper. For some, a dollar or two per meeting is more than they have. For others this amount is far too small. When our donation is enough that we feel we are "giving up something" or doing without some luxury we want in order to contribute, rather than giving our "spare change" or what is "left over," we develop a deeper sense of belonging and gratitude within ourselves. This comes from unselfish acts of sacrifice.

In addition to monetary contributions, this same principle of spiritual growth applies to our contributions of time and service as well. For those that can't afford to contribute much financially (and those that can!), selfless service can be a key to our recovery. It is an exercise in humility, a statement of gratitude, and a lesson in personal responsibility.

Another way we apply the Seventh Tradition to ourselves is to become "fully self-supporting" in our own lives. In our addiction, most of us were always looking for a "free ride." We may have accomplished this by stealing, sponging off friends and family, collecting government aid when work was available to us, finding a "lover" to support us, or a host of other schemes. As the steps become a reality in our daily living, these options are no longer available to us. We strive to "earn our way" and become "responsible, productive members of society." Although this may seem hard at times, we pray for willingness and would really have

- 1 it no other way. The satisfaction gained from being an asset rather than
- 2 a liability makes all our efforts worthwhile.

3

| 1 | TRADITION EIGHT | | |
|----|---|------|---|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | "Narcotics Anonymous should remain forever nonprofessional, but our | | |
| 4 | | | service centers may employ special workers." |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | Outline |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | I. | Intr | oduction. |
| 9 | | A. | Why is this tradition important? |
| LO | II. | Non | professionalism. |
| Ll | | A. | Define professionalism and non-professionalism. |
| 12 | | В. | Professionalism and our role as NA members contradict each |
| 13 | | | other. |
| 14 | | | 1. Anonymity. |
| 15 | | | 2. Unity. |
| 16 | | | 3. Professional implies organization (Tradition 9). |
| 17 | | C. | This non-professionalism compliments our spiritual principles |
| 18 | | | of giving, self sacrifice, humility, "I can't, we can", |
| 19 | | | sponsorship, empathy (attitude toward authority), caring and |
| 20 | | | sharing, identification. |
| 21 | | D. | Volunteer service structure (not professional). |
| 22 | | | 1. Limitations of volunteer trusted servants (human, not |
| 23 | | | professional, not getting paid). |
| 24 | | | 2. Volunteers serve at their convenience, special workers |
| 25 | | | serve at their employer's convenience. |
| 26 | | | 3. Trusted servants and special workers. |
| 27 | | | a. Similarities and differences. |
| 28 | | | b. What is their relationship to the Fellowship? |

1 III. Special Workers. Need (special workers free members to do what they alone can 2 3 do). Function (special workers do specific tasks). 4 B. C. 5 Accountability and responsibility. 6 D. Addict and non-addict special workers. 7 IV. Service Centers. 8 A. Definition (center of service). Types of service centers (area, regional offices, WSO, etc.). 9 B. Application of Tradition Eight to members, groups, areas, etc. 10 VI. Summary and lead in to Tradition Nine. 11 12 13

Blue Review Book Draft

Tradition Eight has two main aspects: the nonprofessionality of Twelve Step work, and the technical needs of our service centers. The N.A. Program is based on the concept of one addict helping another. By sharing our experience, strength and hope with the addict who still suffers, we offer a program of recovery in which we ourselves are the living examples. N.A. has been called a "hip pocket program" because we carry it wherever we go. We don't require any equipment or special facilities; the only training necessary is experience in working the Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions of N.A. No individual member is better qualified than any other to carry the message of our spiritual recovery from addiction. We do not hire therapists or group leaders, and none of our members is paid to attend meetings or do service work. Instead, we share from our hearts that which has been given freely to us. In return, we are given the gift of life.

Our experience has shown that addicts sharing their own experience and knowledge about the Twelve Steps and recovery seems to be more effective than many of our dealings with non-addict professionals. In N.A. we have learned that the therapeutic value of one addict helping another is truly without parallel.

As they recover from addiction and become employable, many of our members are interested in the helping professions. They seek special training in order to gain professional skills which enable them to earn their living working in special care facilities. Some of these include drug rehabilitation centers. Through their training, these members become professionals in their particular field. As a Fellowship, we respect the right of any member to individual growth and development. All of our

individual members are challenged to work and be of service in any way a Higher Power sees fit to direct them.

Tradition Eight does not say: "N.A. members may not be professionals"; it says: "Narcotics Anonymous should remain forever non-professional." The Eight Tradition gives our members the freedom to work in any professional or non-professional field outside of Narcotics Anonymous. However, those who work in the professional field of drug rehabilitation use the Twelve Traditions to guide them in their relationship with Narcotics Anonymous. They are careful not to use the name of Narcotics Anonymous to attract members into a particular hospital or recovery house, because this would affiliate N.A. with an outside enterprise. Nor do they use the N.A. name to solicit funds for their professional work.

It is our experience that N.A. members employed in the rehabilitative professions must take care to ensure that they do not confuse their work activities with Twelve Step work. Specifically, eight hours of paid labor-even in the field of recovery-does not and cannot become synonymous with effort given from the heart to carry the message of recovery in serving N.A. Aside from our professional endeavors, it is tantamount to our recovery that we freely give what was freely given to us.

To complete our examination of Tradition Eight, we need to define an N.A. service center. It may be at the area, regional or world level. Service centers serve the groups in the geographical area which supports them. Their function is that of a business office--a central point for referral of Twelve Step calls, distribution of public information, maintaining an inventory of literature, and operating a telephone helpline.

In carrying the message, many tasks need to be done. Volunteer work is the backbone of our service, but volunteers work only to the best of their abilities. Some of us offer our services to N.A. as committee members, coffee makers and so forth. We volunteer our talents to benefit Narcotics Anonymous. If we have the ability to type, keep track of funds or otherwise be useful to the Fellowship, we gratefully do so to partially repay the debt we feel we owe to N.A. Some of us, however, do not have skills to perform some of these tasks. The principle of the Eight Tradition takes this into consideration and tells us that we may employ special workers in our service centers. If no member in our area has the skills or is able to volunteer services for these necessary functions, it may be necessary to hire a special worker so we can effectively carry the message of N.A. Without the help of these special workers, we might be unable to respond to many addicts who reach out for help.

In their enthusiasm, some of our members give <u>too</u> freely of what they <u>don't</u> have-money--in copying materials to distribute, opening postal boxes for N.A., etc. So, in the course of carrying the message, it is sometimes necessary to reimburse trusted servants for unusual expenses incurred. These may include monetary burdens resulting from tasks assigned by service boards or committees at the group, area, regional, or world level. It is the responsibility of the service boards or committees at the group, area, regional or world level to develop their own procedures and policies concerning the expenditures through the application of the Second Tradition principle of group conscience.

The Eighth Tradition addresses the use of paid help in our service centers. In our infancy, we used volunteers. But as we grow, we need such professionals as answering services, typists and clerical workers to carry out the administrative needs of our Fellowship. The purpose of

service centers is to provide administrative and logistical assistance to our Fellowship, in order to further our primary purpose. Service centers are also a contact point for addicts seeking recovery and for individuals outside the N.A. community.

The one-to-one relationship of an addict helping another is the key to understanding the Eighth Tradition. One addict carrying the message to another addict is a volunteer commitment, and should never be done for financial gain. We are simply recovering addicts helping other addicts to recover.

Because of the Eighth Tradition, the gift of recovery we receive in Narcotics Anonymous is free. When we consider our new life and all that we have to be grateful for, we become even more grateful when we realize that it was freely given to us. This realization inspires us to guard the Twelve Traditions carefully, ensuring that the miracle of recovery is freely available to all addicts who seek it.

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

There are two main parts to Tradition Eight: the non-professionality of Twelve Step work, and the technical needs of our service workers. Being forever non-professional means that we never hire psychologists, psychiatrists, or mental health workers of any kind. It means we don't employ drug counselors or operate treatment centers. It means we rely on our volunteer efforts, affirming the principle of self-sufficiency as stressed in the Seventh Tradition. We depend solely on each other. Our "treatment" mode is, and always will be, addicts helping addicts. Our survival depends on it.

When we think about the Eighth Tradition we come to see that it's a very spiritual one. While professionals offer their services for fees or salaries, we give freely of our time, energy and expertise, doing so out of the love and concern we feel for our brother and sister addicts. When we work together as volunteers to further our primary purpose, we simultaneously strengthen our unity and reinforce the importance of our primary purpose. When we contribute to the growth and the work of our groups, and do so without pay or even personal credit, we give recognition to the importance of anonymity. In N.A. no member is more "professional" than any other; we're all the same, all recovering addicts.

No matter what our paid work on the outside might consist of, even if it's deemed "professional" by the outside world, in N.A. that doesn't count. In N.A. no member is more of an expert than any other. In some important ways, moreover, all of us can rightly call ourselves experts. We're experts on how the disease of addiction has affected us personally. We're experts on the insidiousness of this disease--how it takes over, how it distorts our lives, how it destroys us. We're experts, each of us in our own way, on the struggles addiction imposes--the struggle to hang on to drugs, to admit our powerlessness over addiction, to stop using and stay clean.

It follows that we're terrific authorities on how to rationalize and excuse drug use, how to ignore self-destructive character traits like egoism or grandiosity and make them seem like virtues. Nobody knows better than we how the disease makes addicts lie, cheat, steal, run away from the truth. We've been there. We know all the pretenses, the explanations, the evasions, the manipulation that comes so easily to addicts.

All this expertise, which we've so painfully and at such cost acquired, we make available to newcomers. We can instantly see

- 1 through them as other people often can't. We can confront them as
- 2 addict to addict. We can also give them the all-important message of
- 3 hope and recovery, because we're living examples of users who went
- 4 from lives of suffering to lives of fulfillment. And if a newcomer or a
- 5 long-standing member needs us, we're always on call--at meetings, over
- 6 coffee, on the phone. We're available twenty-four hours a day, one or
- 7 the other of us, to listen and to help.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

This holds true for all of us in N.A. No individual member is better qualified than any other to carry the message of spiritual recovery from addiction. We don't hire therapists or group leaders, and none of our members is paid to attend meetings or do service work. Instead, we share from our hearts that which has been given freely to us. In return, we are given the gift of life.

None of this means that we're "better" than professionals. We need not and should not adopt a holier-than-thou attitude. Professionals enjoy a high status in our society, often deservedly so. They spend years studying their professions in order to qualify for the academic degrees that give them their professional credentials. After receiving their degrees many continue to build their expertise, not only in professional practice but in more study. We recognize the need for professionals and admire them. A number of our members have benefited from certain kinds of professional intervention. Some were in drug treatment programs and came to N.A. because the professionals in these programs referred them to us. Some members receive helpful counselling or psychotherapy for emotional and family problems.

Yet, despite the respect we have for professionals, N.A. must remain determinedly non-professional. If we employed professionals to run our organization, to make policy and the like, the whole structure of our Fellowship would change. Policy and direction would not be decided

by group conscience; God's will would no longer play a central role in our 1 Volunteer service would take second place and that 2 recovery. unparalleled therapeutic tool for recovery-giving freely to others that 3 which has been freely given to us-would be lost. Very likely some 4 members would even demand pay for the services they had been 5 contributing. But then we would lose everything money can't buy. As 6 one member said, "Money can't possibly give me the spiritual 7 remuneration I get by contributing, doing service work. It's what keeps 8 9 me clean and feeling good about me."

Once they stop using and go on to build solid careers, some of our members become professionals themselves. Some of these professionals build their expertise in the area they know best--the drug addiction and drug treatment fields. With solid professional credentials in hand, they bring a special sensitivity to their work. They know addiction in a way even the most experienced non-professionals can't know.

Nothing in the Eighth Tradition makes it a violation for N.A. 16 members to become professionals in drug treatment or related fields. 17 The tradition doesn't say, "N.A. members should not become 18 professionals," it says, "N.A. should remain forever non-professional." 19 This places a burden of special responsibility on our members who work 20 in professional fields, especially those encompassing drug treatment and 21 mental health. The image of a coat may serve to explain this. When 22 engaged in their professional duties they wear the coat-that is, they're 23 performing professionally and are recognized by those around them as 24 being experts in their fields. When attending N.A. meetings and 25 functions they should take off that coat--become ordinary members, "just 26 27 plain addicts."

Realistically, this means that our professional members refrain from analyzing newcomers (or other members) in a professional way. It

10

11

12

13

14

15

28

means not using professional language. It means not passing out business cards or otherwise advertising their professional availability while they're attending N.A. functions. It means not setting themselves up as "authorities" or "gurus" in matters that concern N.A. Humility and anonymity are essential to our recovery, whether we're professionals or non-professionals.

Members who work in professional fields related to drug addiction and treatment also have to be careful not to confuse their professional activities with their membership activities. Some such members adopt the attitude, "I don't want to be with addicts day and night," and stop coming to meetings regularly. To counsel addicts professionally, though, is not the same as fulfilling the responsibilities of N.A. membership. We all need to work the program in order to survive. In God's eyes we're all addicts striving to be clean, stay clean, and carry the message to other addicts.

The Eighth Tradition insists upon N.A. being wholly non-professional, but it allows us to set up service centers where we may employ special workers. Service centers serve the groups in the geographical area that supports them. They function as a business office. They're a central point for referral of Twelve Step calls, distribution of public information, the maintenance of an inventory of literature, and operation of a hotline.

Many of us are clean and recovering today because of the work earlier members did in setting up service centers. All the authority of our service centers is derived from group conscience. In fact, the autonomy emphasized in the Fourth Tradition, while applying to all our groups, does not apply to any of our service centers. The reason is that the work our service centers do always affects N.A. as a whole. Our service centers are expressly set up to carry out the mandate of the Fifth

Tradition-to carry the message to the addict who still suffers. In N.A.'s early days members didn't think about service centers or about hiring outside employees, special workers. There was so little money available. and so much community antagonism to N.A.'s very existence, members fought, prayed and struggled simply to keep their little groups alive. They often began by holding meetings in their own homes. Years ago the extent of N.A.'s paid help was one part-time shipping clerk. The struggle was hard, but members were few and N.A.'s structure was very simple.

As the years passed, God's will enabled N.A. to grow strong and large-to the point where our Fellowship has groups in many countries and is still expanding. We had a few thousand members; now we have a few hundred thousand members. Volunteer service is as it was, our spiritual program's lifeblood. We give what we can of our talents and skills. We give what we can of our time; many N.A. members spend three or four hours a day and sometimes longer, nearly every day of the week, in service to the Fellowship.

N.A.'s first office manager worked out of the back room of his house, and did much of the routine office work-typing, filing and so on-himself. He also relied on volunteers who couldn't always be counted on when our Fellowship was so young; sometimes they showed up, sometimes they didn't. The office manager spent as much time as he could on the phone with inquiring addicts all over the country, and it became more and more difficult to run things from his home. So eventually, our Fellowship opened a little storefront office in Sun Valley, California and hired our first part-time worker.

As our Fellowship has grown, so have our offices. As our offices provide more services, more addicts join our Fellowship. This requires our offices to expand further, and so we keep increasing in size. Our first

full-time office manager was hired in 1983, and our delivery of services to the membership became more stable and efficient than before. A steady stream of literature now goes out to all who request it. Hundreds of starter kits have been provided to addicts all over the world; as a result, many new groups have started. Today we have a full-time office staff available to handle inquiries and otherwise do the work that the Fellowship requires.

Volunteers can do some of this work but not all of it. To provide efficient and effective services to our ever-growing Fellowship we've had to supplement volunteer work with skilled help not always available among the membership. There are many examples of this. Areas and regions sometimes need to hire attorneys to help them with "not-for-profit" applications and other legal matters. The demand for literature distribution is always high and can't always be met by volunteers. The countless hours needed to respond to inquiries by phone or mail can't always be given by members who have work and family obligations, as well as obligations to the Fellowship.

Consequently, we've established service centers--N.A. offices--to meet the needs of our ever-growing membership. Set up at area, regional and world levels, they can't always rely solely on volunteer work for all the typing, printing, bookkeeping and other tasks necessary on a routine basis. Though volunteers are available, they don't constantly have the time or the exact skills required. It's in recognition of this fact that the Eighth Tradition enables us to employ special workers to keep our service centers staffed and operating.

When we first heard that N.A. employs special workers, people who get paid for their work, some of us were taken aback. When we learned that some of these special workers were also N.A. members, we were even more surprised. Some of us reacted with resentment,

demanding to know why these N.A. members get paid for doing some of the same kinds of work other members do as service. It took us awhile to realize that if a service center needs to fill a full-time typist job, for instance, it can't very well expect a skilled typist who's also in N.A. to put in an eight-hour stint for nothing. That typist needs to earn a living like everybody else. After we put our resentment aside we could also see that sometimes it was desirable to hire skilled office workers who were also N.A. members, depending on the circumstances. They would bring a special understanding to their work, and therefore be better able to meet addicts' needs.

Special workers' salaries are comparable to those paid by non-profit organizations generally, but they have no special privileges. They're expected to do their jobs as any other employees would be. If volunteers don't show up for service because they're feeling down or have pressing personal matters to attend to, the service center has to accept it. The work may get delayed, but volunteers can't be fired. Special workers can be fired as can any other paid employees, regardless of whether or not they're also N.A. members.

The Eighth Tradition requires us to be very clear about the differences between special workers and trusted servants. Special workers who aren't addicts can't be members of N.A. Special workers are hired by our service centers and, while generally responsible to the membership, are directly responsible to the area or other employer. Trusted servants, on the other hand, are directly responsible to the membership. Special workers are hired for the skills they possess--skills then needed by their N.A. employers. Trusted servants are selected on the basis of their experience, strength and hope for recovery--and on the depth of their understanding of the Twelve Steps and Traditions. While

special workers get paid for their services, trusted servants consider it a privilege to "give."

Occasionally special workers who are also N.A. members become confused about their roles. They provide help and information to addicts throughout their workday. At times they may even take a few minutes off, in a conversation with a desperate addict, to carry the message of recovery. So they may come to see themselves less as special workers and more as trusted servants. This is inappropriate. Trusted servants are elected by group conscience; they can be voted out of office by group conscience. Their function is to make policy decisions that will further the Fellowship's goals. Special workers are hard working, conscientious employees who also further the Fellowship's goals, but on a different level and in a more limited way. It's important to keep the distinction between special workers and trusted servants always in mind.

The Eighth Tradition enables us to have the best of both worlds. As N.A. members we're always "amateurs" in the best sense of the word--non-professionals who nevertheless have much expertise and who make the miracle of recovery freely available to all addicts who seek it. As a Fellowship that's continually expanding we have the right to hire the kinds of support services we need. Having both volunteers and special workers enables us to meet the needs of members and addicts still seeking recovery as effectively and efficiently as possible.

25 General Input

This tradition refers mainly to our Twelfth Step, carrying the N.A.
message of hope to other addicts, and this is the task which must be kept

non-professional. A member does Twelfth Step work for personal and 1 spiritual growth, not for money. 2 Neither do our members attend meetings in a professional 3 capacity, even though they may be researchers or counselors in the 4 addiction field. Our past experience and special understanding of the 5 disease of addiction may especially suit some of us for work as 6 counselors, etc., but we must not confuse our jobs with the program. 7 Within N.A. we are all equals, co-addicts with the same needs and 8 responsibilities. Designating certain members as professionals would 9 destroy our unity and possibly set up a dangerous hierarchy. 10 In our "White Book," a service center is defined as "a place where 11 N.A. services are offered on a continuing basis." Our World Service 12 13 Office in California is a good example. A clubhouse should not be confused with a service office. Clubs 14 are separate facilities, operated on the principle of cooperation, not 15 16 affiliation. Our service centers, however, must hire individuals to run them. 17 Accountants, clerks, typists, janitors, etc., are needed for any office to 18 19 run efficiently, and N.A. is no exception. These "special workers", as Tradition Eight calls them, are not 20 paid for their Twelfth Step work, even though in the course of their jobs, 21 they may answer inquiries and questions or help someone come into the 22 program or a treatment facility. They may be professional secretaries, 23 editors or accountants for Narcotics Anonymous centers, and they are 24

not professional Twelfth Step workers or professional members of N.A.

Traditions and answer to a service committee which ensures that they

All of these employees work within the bounds of our Twelve

are "directly responsible to those they serve".

25

26

27

1 *********************

Earlier on, some of our areas and regions found it necessary to hire attorneys or advisors to aid us with application for "not-for-profit" status. They found this status to be unavoidable in acquiring checking accounts, mailing permits and post office boxes.

As we progressed and expanded we found it necessary to employ telephone answering services that would free our available members to do the actual Twelfth Step work and provide the twenty-four hour services necessary to be productive in that capacity. ("For the therapeutic value of one addict helping another is without parallel".) (How it works.)

With the exciting expansion of our Fellowship and the advent of service offices around the world, it is becoming necessary to employ more special workers. An increase in areas and regions indicates the necessity for more central offices.

These offices can play a vital role in our work by handling calls from people interested in P.I. services, receiving mail and mailing out literature to addicts and people who come into contact with addicts, and providing a center and work space for our other activities. Special workers can handle most of our day to day work load. They can provide and reproduce materials that carry our message to addicts throughout the world. "The difference between professionals and special workers should be defined with clarity. Professionals work in specific professions which do not direct services of N.A. but are for personal gain. Professionals do not follow N.A. Traditions. Our special workers, on the other hand, work within N.A. Traditions, and are directly responsible always to those they serve, to the Fellowship" (Basic Text, p. 67).

"Many other organizations wish to ride on the N.A. name. To allow them to do so would be an implied endorsement..." (Basic Text, p. 64). "A clubhouse or halfway house, or similar facility, is not an N.A. service center and is not affiliated with N.A. An N.A. service center is, very simply, a place where N.A. services can be offered on a continuing basis" (p. 67). They exist solely to help us carry out our services in aid of our groups. Our continuing existence is based on a simple spiritual maxim. We have to give it away to keep it.

"We are simply addicts of equal status freely helping one another" (p. 68). Organizations have been known to offer us travel expenses, and/or stipends to speak to them about N.A. We can be offered money by a cooperating organization to help us in our work. "Our policy concerning money is clearly stated. We decline any outside contributions. Our Fellowship is fully self supporting. We accept no funding, endowments, loans and/or gifts. Everything has its price, regardless of intent" (p. 67). The services N.A. offers outside of N.A. are at no cost and free from implications. In the past we have been living examples of the price for being spiritually unfulfilled.

1 2

One special worker was hired part-time to run the regional service center. She slowly found herself putting in more and more hours. At the beginning she was able to keep the office running smoothly, providing the Fellowship with a valuable service. With the additional hours, slowly she found her attitude changing. She felt her ego and pride telling her that if she wasn't there the phones wouldn't be

answered, the letters mailed, etc. She no longer looked forward to the calls or visits, they had become intrusions on her "domain."

She knew that something just wasn't right, she felt disconnected. After discussing the Eighth Tradition with her sponsor, writing, and praying, she redefined her purpose there. She found that her serenity was restored. She cut back to her original part-time commitment and the office ran even better than before!

Input on Special Workers

Essay #1

How are special workers different from trusted servants within the N.A. service structure? Are the roles of trusted servant and special worker by their nature mutually exclusive? That is, can the same person function in both roles at the same time, or is there necessarily a role conflict there. These are the questions which we have been asked to address, and which this essay seeks to explore.

The obvious difference between a special worker and a trusted servant is that the former is employed by a service unit of the Fellowship and the latter is a volunteer member of one of those service units. As such, the trusted servant is in a leadership role, as defined by our Second Tradition, and a special worker is hired to bring some special skill or training to bear to carry out the will of a service board of committee.

In exploring whether the roles are mutually exclusive, four areas of possible conflict of interest come to mind. First, are the service position and the job both involved in the same category of service? For example, is the employee working on the job and as a service position in the same field of work: H&I for example. Second, at what level of service—i.e. group, area, region or world—does the special worker wish to

hold a position? Third, at what level is the special worker employed by the service center--i.e. management, clerical, shipping? Finally, is the service position one of the "group conscience representative" positions, such as GSR, ASR or RSR?

3.0

It seems evident that management level staff should never take a position within the area of service that they work. That would constitute far too much influence in one local area's activities in that category of service, and therefore compromise the employee's commitment to serve in an even-handed way. I will have more to say about undue influence by virtue of the position a bit later. For purposes of this point, it seems clear to me that employees with such conflicts should be required to relinquish any service positions within their particular category of service, and to refrain from accepting any during their employment.

As to the second point, the level of the service position, it seems to be self-evident that none should hold any service positions at the world level. For those already functioning as parts of one of the three branches of World Service, to cross over to another would not seem to be sound. We must retain our objectivity as we serve those service boards and committees. Also, there is a clear distinction between the trusted servant's role as a leader ("our leaders are but trusted servants") and the special worker's leg-work in implementing the trusted servants directives. We should be restricted by our personnel policy from holding any world level service positions.

At levels below the world level, things become less clear. At the regional level and below (getting progressively less problematic as you move toward the group level) all four points must be considered together when looking for a role conflict. Besides the concern about the category of service discussed in point one above, it is here that the final two points should be considered.

I think it is more potentially troublesome for a management level staff member to get involved in service than for a support level staff member. A secretary or warehouse worker, for example, would not likely have any role conflict as secretary of the area, and perhaps not even as ASR. The job responsibilities do not differ greatly from any other similarly employed person who is not a special worker of the Fellowship. A management employee, on the other hand, does work directly with philosophical and developmental issues of the Fellowship, and therefore may experience a role conflict when addressing those same issues in a local service environment.

For a management level staff member, it seems to me to be unwise to serve in a service representative role. He would then be a link in the conduit of the same Fellowshipwide group conscience that he must objectively follow on the job. In addition, such an employee would likely exert undue influence in discussions up for a vote. While this may not be all bad--after all the special worker has access to a lot more good information than perhaps anyone else in the Fellowship--it is not all good either, because he may wittingly or unwittingly use that information to sway the vote in favor of his philosophical position. Such a well-informed, potentially influential person would do better to function in an objective consulting capacity when asked, and steer clear of direct involvement in the grass-roots decision-making process..

It seems to me that any special worker should feel free to hold service positions at the group level and at the area level when the above noted conflicts of interest are not present. Such service is an integral part of many members spiritual program of recovery, and no element of the Fellowship should be given the authority to restrict their rights as members of N.A. to express their recovery in that way. Only when a direct role conflict can be established should any such restrictions apply. In those cases the special worker should accept the limitations as "part of the territory," willingly surrendering certain privileges for the overall best interests of the Fellowship.

In summary, there are only two cases in which I feel the personnel policy should restrict employees from engaging in service, but in those two cases I feel it is appropriate for the rule to be hard and fast. These two are: 1) No WSO employee should hold a world service position; and

2) No WSO employee should hold a position in a committee that corresponds to that employee's WSO department.

Management level employees should be asked to be particularly conscientious and avoid exerting undue influence by virtue of their jobs. They should also avoid group conscience representative positions or high profile positions for that reason. Support level staff members should not be restricted from participation in the local service structure unless they, in consultation with their sponsors, perceive a conflict of interest.

Special workers are first and foremost recovering addicts, and should be allowed to fully participate in N.A. as such, unless an identifiable conflict of interest would make such participation unwise.

Essay #2

Regardless of the specific position, a special worker's job involves a mixture of job skills, work ethics, knowledge of the N.A. Fellowship (addicts and non-addicts), experience in service and recovery (addicts), and common sense.

Ideally, a job description will serve to guide the special worker in making decisions about crossover between recovery, service, and job. When a job description is not specific, it falls upon the individual to use all resources available in examining these situations.

Special workers do work for the Fellowship, however theydo not work for any one N.A. member, group, or service committee. Specific assignments may be given to provide services to certain members, groups, or committees. In these situations, the worker should devote his/her skills, knowledge, and experience to the job and not to the person(s).

Special workers who are also N.A. members do not become nonmembers during working hours or after hours. There is, however, a definite need to understand and reflect job performance and job duties as having definite priority over personal opinion and personal involvement. An addict special worker will reflect his/her experience and recovery in the performance of duties, but must also be able to perform these duties without allowing personal issues to BECOME the same as job duties. An N.A. member may never be asked to give up or set aside the Twelve Steps of N.A. in the work setting as a special worker, just as a non-addict special worker must never be asked to set aside their personal values. If these situations result in inadequate performance or conflicting ideas about job duties, then it becomes clear that administrative evaluation is necessary (as opposed to program or recovery evaluation).

All special worker positions (management, clerical, addict, non-addict, area, regional, world service office, etc.) result from Fellowship and Group Conscience action in one way or another. Monitoring, managing, and evaluation of these positions likewise follow the same process. It is important to outline this and follow this process in order to avoid the non-spiritual and self-defeating situations in which special workers serve any individual(s). Special workers work for the Fellowship under the guidance and management of their supervisor(s). These supervisor(s) report to the committee or board who is responsible for administering the service center. This committee or board is accountable to the Fellowship who elected them. It is this channel of communication and responsibility which provides the integrity and safeguards called for in Traditions 8 and 9.

The "trust" referred to in Tradition 2 means that the N.A. members who elect trusted servants trust the people to utilize our principles and trust the process for accountability. It does not imply that if trusted servants make mistakes, they have violated this trust. The trust is that they will use the principles of N.A. in motivation and learning from honest mistakes or mis-judgements. If people are elected or hired who demonstrate repeatedly that they are not willing or capable of this approach, the Fellowship has grounds for removal.

Trusted servants, likewise, do not serve special workers. The relationship between trusted servants and special workers is one of true

"cooperation" (working jointly with common purpose and goal) as opposed to subservience of any kind. The only time this relationship takes on different characteristics is when the committee or board hires/fires/manages the special workers. Even this aspect of the relationship is pervaded by our principles (Traditions 2, 8 & 9). In other words, the employer/employee relationship is not subject to personalities or personal issues because of the channel of responsibility/accountability outlined above.

As an N.A. member and a special worker, the avenue is always open to give personal input to groups or service committees. If we trust the program and structure, we can do this and have faith that the input will be processed according to Fellowship needs and desires. This eliminates the need to attempt consciously or unconsciously to add further influence. The involvement of a special worker in other service aspects of the N.A. Fellowship can be clearly defined and guided by personnel policies. Where not possible, the individual must rely on their Higher Power, the 12 Steps, and other workers and members to find guidance.

If a committee or group chooses to elect a person to a position, it is incumbent upon the person and on those who elect him/her to evaluate the person with respect to qualifications and also to the possible ramifications of his/her special worker position. It then becomes the individual's responsibility to decide whether to accept or not, and also to determine how best to achieve their own need and desire to be of service. Circumstances change over time and it is unrealistic to write specifics about what methods or positions in service do or do not conflict with common welfare and unity.

The fact that special workers are paid money for their time and skills does not alter any of the above. It can be a source of personal issues which complicate the understanding of the relationships.

Special workers must necessarily find ways to set realistic limits in terms of hours worked and devotion to job, just as trusted servants must also. There is such a thing as work or service "beyond the call of

realisms," and it can render special workers and trusted servants ineffective, non-productive, and inefficient in their health, job, recovery, service, and personal life. This can have profound negative effects on the Fellowship and to the members. It is this concept which demands that members, trusted servants, and special workers devote their best efforts to understanding, nurturing, and developing these relationships within our Fellowship.

Essay #3

Speaking solely from my own personal experience, I must view this situation as one having multi-facted ramifications.

In the involvement of my recovery I had the opportunity to serve my Fellowship on many levels as a "trusted servant" prior to my employment, as a special worker.

In the very beginning of my tenure at the office I continued my service involvement at the regional level on two separate committees.

On one committee, because of its low profile, there was little or no problem with my involvement other than personal "burnout"...I had been on said committee for over four years.

The other committee posed a distinctly different problem because of its "high profile." On this committee, I was frequently looked at as "the" source, period. No matter how anonymous or member oriented a posture I took, I was never accepted in such a light. Often my comments were twisted and distorted, and in every case it became the "office's view," not my personal opinion based on my own experience in service and recovery.

I would like to say, yes, restrict special workers from participation in service, but deep in my heart I know it would be sounding a death toll to many of us in our recovery. What has provided the springboard of growth for many addicts in their personal growth in recovery would be shut off, and many of us would no doubt wither and die on the vine.

I feel special workers have distinct responsibilities to and for the Fellowship as a whole in our capacities as employees. We interact on a unilateral level with many world and regional level trusted servants, but this does not preclude our down the line responsibility to the addict who hasn't yet walked in the doors of an N.A. meeting anywhere in the world!

Hopefully, in years to come the Fellowship will mature to the degree that they understand more clearly our role as special workers and view us as equal members of a team effort, fulfilling our potential as special workers in assisting the efforts worldwide to ensure and provide that no addict seeking recovery need ever die!

We must be allowed to be of service to our Fellowship on some level in order to maintain our personal commitment to our recovery. Working at the WSO will never take the place of recovery, and service commitments are a part of that process. I do not feel that I would accept a service commitment that would be in direct conflict with my work responsibilities, but we need to be given the opportunity and ability to make these sort of conscientious decisions ourselves. I know that the argument against this is that special workers who are recovering members of N.A. have the potential of welding unprecedented influence of our local Fellowship with regards to key issues. That somehow we will use our influence negatively, and that safeguards against this are in order. Does this mean that because we are paid we are automatically viewed as "untrustworthy." I think not. However, I do feel we need a clearer understanding of what the Second, Eighth and Ninth Traditions mean to us all, Fellowshipwide.

Essay #4

 All I can share is my personal experience. It has not been practical for me, as a special worker, to be involved in a trusted servant position at any level outside the group. I attempted, after first being hired by the Office, to continue active participation within my area

service committee, and in a very limited way at a regional level. Neither of these was comfortable at the time.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32 Many people perceived that I would be able to bring more and better information to the service committee meetings. That is, in part, true. However, the information provided by anyone is always slanted by personal bias and perception and, in the same way, is always perceived by others based on their personal bias and perception. My case, I'm sure, is no different. Yet, my comments are taken by many as being more accurate than other member's opinions or experience, simply because of the fact that I have direct contact with a wider element of the Fellowship. That wouldn't make me as uncomfortable, I don't think, if I was a world level trusted servant, representing N.A. group conscience, but that's not the case.

Sitting at area service committee meetings, even in the back row, trying to be inconspicuous, has led to requests for my opinions and input on various topics and/or decisions. Of course, some of that is based on the fact that I have been involved in service and have some years clean. However, the weight given my comments, sometimes seems to go beyond that would be given based only on clean time and service experience. Even at a group level, I have encountered similar situations. The most recent example is that of being asked to participate in an adhoc meeting of one of my regular meetings, to discuss approval literature. I decided I'd like to share my feelings and said okay. The immediate response was something like, "Oh, great. We know that you have all the information about what's been going on with It Works." I decided to attend the adhoc meetings anyway, but tried to confine my remarks specifically to personal thoughts about the literature involved. There were others involved in that adhoc committee who serve at a world level (not as special workers) and they did bring up happenings around the world regarding this literature. Although, I would not have initiated those discussions personally, they did not seem to cause any problems once they were begun.

The most important awareness I have obtained, in thinking about and experiencing this over the last three years, is that if I do choose to be involved in service at the group/area level, I must contribute only personal experience. I cannot, in good conscience, share information which has come specifically because of my job. I can, of course, bring up information which I know has been sent out to regions or areas and suggest that copies of such information be obtained through appropriate channels.

As for participation at regional or world level, the same holds true. However, there is a much greater possibility of conflict of interests. The larger the number of members becomes, as represented by trusted servants, the more weight that trusted servant's vote carries. For example, my individual comment during the ASC meeting will be one of many. However, my comment as an ASR at an RSC meeting will be one of fewer. And my comments as an RSR on the Conference floor will be one of even fewer. At those levels, my personal representation and presentation takes on much greater importance and can be severely altered by exposure to circumstances and information gained through my employment or by my feelings of loyalty to my employer.

Essay #5

The following are discussion points. It is my belief that a clear suggested policy for special workers service activity should exist. The main potential problems seem to be that, on one hand, if special workers are limited in their freedom to take part in service they may be frustrated by that, while on the other hand, their participation seems extremely likely to be seen as the voice of their office at service meetings.

While we assume and hope for the good sense and discretion of special workers, the potential for harm to be caused to their personal recoveries, or to the relationship between the office and the Fellowship does exist. A policy which clearly limits the involvement of these

workers could prevent these possible negative outcomes. On the other hand, it could be argued that special workers might make excellent trusted servants and may gain in their personal recoveries through service activity. It is my feeling that the first concern outweighs the second.

I feel it should be boiled down to a policy something like what follows:

5

Management level office staff would be recommended to take part only in group level service, excluding GSR. Participation in H&I panels is okay, but in the service committee it is not. Working on a convention committee is okay. If requested, as a member, to speak about personal recovery at conventions or meetings, these workers may participate.

Secretaries, clerical staff, shipping staff, and accounting personnel may participate in group or area level service, but not be ASR.

No special worker should participate at regional or world levels of service. In addition, the following guideline should be considered. We all know that many members of Narcotics Anonymous, at times, have a high profile in service even though they may not have a position. Part of the reason for these policies for special workers is to prevent a special worker from assuming a high profile. In addition to suggesting the above guidelines for special workers participation in specific service activities, it is suggested that special workers remain low key in the service structure of Narcotics Anonymous. Any special worker may submit input to the service structure on matters that N.A. is dealing with. However, due to the inevitable development that although they mean to be speaking as just another member, they would still be seen as a special worker, these guidelines are proposed.

Essay #6

The following is my input for consideration on the Special Worker issue:

There is no defined relationship for Special Workers to a local service body. It is often stated that we relinquish our rights to serve when we accept a position as a Special Worker. I do not believe that this should be the case. I don't believe that any members rights to serve can be relinquished simply by deciding to work for the Fellowship.

I believe that a Special Worker's service should come into question when and only when there is an obvious conflict with that members position. A member should never be dictated to about his/her service commitments. These are personal decisions that should not be interfered with. Our positions as Special Workers are not our recovery. Service commitments do play a vital role in our personal recovery. This could never be dictated or controlled. If any type of documentation is going to be done on this issue then it should center on the obvious conflicts of personal service as it relates to the operation of the office. There should be no definitive statement forbidding certain types of service, but rather, some suggestions about the levels of service that we get involved with and their relationships to the function of the office.

Essay #7

 The employment of special workers is a relatively new application of the Eight Tradition and there still exists, within the worldwide Fellowship, some differences of opinion about special workers and their roles in Narcotics Anonymous. Many members still believe that being paid for service related work somehow makes it unspiritual or not really service. But, then it's also said that if you're a special worker you shouldn't hold a trusted servant position, because there's a conflict of interest.

2 3 5

1

12

13 14

6

22 23 24

21

29 30 31

37

38

39

personal decision to not be involved in general service. These decisions were based on two major concerns. 1.

That members in our area and region tend to look to us as the "keepers of all knowledge" and put far too much weight on our opinions; OR they exhibit fear and jealousy and feel that the WSO is trying to take over N.A. by having their employees hold positions in the service structure. In either case, it didn't do us or the Fellowship any good.

Since our employment at the WSO, each of us has come to a

2. That, as special workers, we already hold a service position, we are part of the service structure of N.A. True, we are employed at a particular job, but it is more than just a job. We each have a personal investment in our work here at the WSO that is different from that experienced at any other job, because we too are members of N.A. A part of our job is being of service to N.A. as a whole, and it may actually be more than we would normally provide in an elected position.

We came to a general agreement that it is inappropriate, and unnecessary, to hold more than one general service position within Narcotics Anonymous. Therefore, since we felt that our jobs here as special workers are a service position, we do not feel it desirable to hold another position in general service.

This, however, applies only to general service, positions beyond the group level, including GSR. There are plenty of other ways in which we can be of service on a personal level. Unanimity was strong on this topic, each of us felt the need to provide personal service.

Until hearing from the entire staff, we could not reach unanimous agreement regarding whether or not the job position at WSO should determine or limit an employee's options in accepting positions in the service structure. For example, should the recommendation to stay out of other service positions apply only to coordinators and other staff members who have direct contact with conference committees? Or, does any job position at the WSO result in being looked at differently by other members? Also, can every job position at WSO be said to be a service position within the Fellowship and, if so, should every employee be asked not to hold another service position? And, since this concept is still

somewhat new within the Fellowship and just now finding its way into our literature and service manuals, do we have the right to mandate that members hold only one position?

In general, we agreed that no special worker should hold another position within N.A. general services. This is a real new concept to most of us. Some of us felt fear and discomfort, even anger at first, simply because it's new and different. We all feel that being of service is an important part of our recovery, it's near and dear to our hearts. Also, as addicts, we react strongly at the suggestion that we might be prevented from doing something we want to do. We spent a couple of hours talking before we came to a conclusion that was generally acceptable to us all. We explored spiritual principles and how we apply them in every area of our lives. We looked at our motives and shared our experiences with each other. This was a good process because we all came away with a better understanding.

Although non-addict special workers are not faced with this problem, the contribution they make to the N.A. Fellowship is no less. The viewpoints of all special workers are important. After you have read this, if you would write your own brief essay, sharing your thoughts and feelings, then we can have a discussion among all the staff members.

"Thoughts on the N.A. Literature Process"

The following letter contains the conscience of the C&P Regional Literature Committee on the topics of the use of a professional writer in our literature process and some thoughts on the current status of the World Literature Committee. This was written out of concern that maybe we could do better in the future. Please consider some of our suggestions and discuss them and send us your thoughts.

The term "professional writer" as a title is a violation of our Eighth Tradition. If this person were a "special writer," and employed

just like a special worker, this would conform with our traditions. The concept of the other non-NA affiliated persons. In some way, a liberal interpretation of the Eighth Tradition has been used so that we could employ the services of a "professional writer".

The Eighth Tradition is vital to the stability of N.A. as a whole. In order to understand this tradition we need to define "non-professional service centers" and "special workers." With an understanding of these terms, this important tradition is self-explanatory.

In this tradition we say we have no professionals. By this, we mean we have no staff psychiatrists, doctors, lawyers, counselors, etc. Our Program works by one addict helping another. By employing professionals in N.A., we would destroy our unity. We are simply addicts of equal status freely helping one another.

We recognize and admire the professionals. Many of our members are professionals in their own right. It is just that there is no room for professionalism in N.A.

A service center is defined as a place where N.A. service committees operate. The World Service Office or local regional and area offices are examples of service centers. A clubhouse or halfway house, or similar facility, is not an N.A. service center and is not affiliated with N.A. A service center is, very simply, a place where N.A. services are offered on a continuing basis.

"Service centers may employ special workers." This statement means that service centers may employ workers for such skills such as phone answering, clerical work, or printing. Such employees are directly responsible to a service committee. As N.A. grows, the demand for these workers will grow. Special workers are necessary to ensure efficiency in an ever-expanding Fellowship.

The difference between professional and special workers should be defined for clarity. Professionals work in specific professions which do not direct services of N.A., but are for personal gain. Professionals do not follow N.A. Traditions. Our special workers, on the other hand, work within our Traditions and are directly responsible always to those they serve, to the Fellowship.

In regards to our Eighth Tradition, we do not single out our members as "professional"; by not placing professional status on any member, we insure that we remain "forever non-professional".

True group conscience cannot be expressed by any one individual, contracted outside the Fellowship. Whenever possible we should employ the services of an N.A. member. We feel that someone who is not an addict (a professional outside the Fellowship), cannot possibly understand what we go through. They can sympathize with our situation, but cannot empathize, as we can with each other.

If we are to use a "Professional Writer" in Narcotics Anonymous, this committee feels that it has a workable solution. This person could sit in on the committee meetings and advise on punctuation, continuity, flow, and grammar, that would better express what it is we want to say. The writer should be utilized more as a consultant and humble participant - without a title such as Advisor (this might imply status). Some questions remain unanswered if this were to be implemented:

- 1) Do we want this person at all literature committee functions, or iust on major works (such as IT WORKS: HOW & WHY);
- 2) Are we hiring a service, or the person performing the service;
- 3) Can this idea be used in other committees?

This committee has formed some answers regarding the work, IT WORKS: HOW & WHY - THE 12 STEPS. Instead of any of the three options presented in the 1987 WSC Agenda, we would like to return to

- the blue book review and input form and have no time constraints (other 1 than the normal nine months review and input period followed by a one 2 year approval period) be placed on when to have the book ready for 3 4 approval. Seeing the flaws in the white copy, we decided to look at the reasons why and how it happened. We have a question as to exactly 5 how this writer was chosen, and who instructed them on what and how 6 7 to write. The idea that an individual (professional or not) can pull together the divine conscience of N.A. is debatable. 8 The white copy clearly expresses a selectiveness of thought in which the group conscience 9 of N.A. is omitted; therefore, the white copy is terminally flawed and no 10 amount of patchwork will rescue its intent. The premise of using a 11 12 professional writer to create unbiased, God-conscious literature of N.A. is It is felt that through this process, the opinions of an 13 also flawed.
- Through the discussion, it was realized that changes in the WLC could be made to:
 - 1) Make it a more productive committee; and

individual have crept into our literature.

2) Make sure that this situation does not happen again.

It is felt by some of the members that literature submitted to the WLC from members and member regions is "disappearing in the shuffle," while we are seeing literature (for review and input and approval) that has not come from the Fellowship.

We discussed the way the WLC performed its functions in the past. Two levels of input were used to write the BASIC TEXT: one was from members attending the workshops and submitting written and oral input - group conscience unanimously approving the writings of the many individuals; another way was Fellowship-wide input on what had been written. This was done in open committee meetings. Over time,

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- the WLC required that for members to be considered Registered Active
- 2 Members (RAMs), they must meet the following requirements:
 - 1) Three to five years clean;

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 2) Previous World Literature experience;
- 3) Doing enough work to be considered "active."

As the process evolved, fewer people and a narrower committee was reviewing input and literature. Many previous members were not encouraged to continue supporting the committee. Many of the newer committee members have come to believe that there was room for an outside professional to write N.A. literature. We feel that the process could be opened up to include more people and better serve the Fellowship.

The process of "farming out" literature to member regions has become an under-utilized tool of the WLC. If the WLC receives a piece of literature (such as "UNITY" or "IN LOVING SERVICE") or an idea (a meditation book), from members or regions, it should then give a participating region (other than the one that submitted the material) a chance to have a workshop on it. Then, when work is completed, send it out for Fellowship review and input, etc. Our committee has asked the WLC on a few occasions for work, but has never been guided as to what to do. We came away from this workshop more informed and with a conscience as to how to use a professional writer in N.A., but we were concerned about the future.

We feel that all non-elected N.A. positions should, if possible, be filled with addicts so that we keep this "all in the family." This may help eliminate some future controversy.

27 **********************

As we learn in the Seventh Tradition, N.A. does not hire expert is a loving God expressed through our group conscience and the twenty-four

spiritual principles (Steps & Traditions). We have found through hard learned experience that Twelve Step work is better carried out as an avocation instead of a vocation. Some of our members happen to work in the treatment of addiction field, but this has nothing to do with their membership in our Fellowship. If asked, most would say that their job could hardly be described as Twelve Step work. By not having "professional N.A. members" the spiritual principle of anonymity is maintained. In other works, we all belong here on an equal level.

The Eighth Tradition does tell us that we may employ special workers. Most often this refers to people working for WSO or other regional offices. There are other occasions when we employ the services of others: Answering services for our helplines; lawyers to help with contracts, incorporation, etc. (for conventions and service committees); DJ's or bands to provide music at functions; and most recently, WSO hired a professional writer to write the book "It Works: How and Why."

When we utilize the services of special workers we have a right to expect high quality service because we are paying for it. By the same token, we have to remember our responsibility, as employers, and not be too unreasonable either. We should not expect large discounts or extra services simply because we are a "worthy cause." This would not be in keeping with the spiritual principle of our Seventh Tradition.

In our personal recovery, the Eighth Tradition teaches us the spiritual principle of giving of ourselves without expecting something in return. The humility we gain from working the steps not only makes this possible, but allows us an avenue to fulfill our Eleventh and Twelfth Steps.

| 1 | | | | | |
|----|---|------------|---|--------------|--|
| 2 | TRADITION NINE | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | "N.A. as such ought never be organized; but we may create service | | | | |
| 5 | boards or committees directly responsible to those they serve." | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | Outline | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | I. | Defin | ne "NA as such". | | |
| 10 | | (aı | NA, NA as such and NA as a whole all differen | nt?) | |
| 11 | | A. | Define organization (different forms of). | | |
| 12 | | | . Types appropriate and not appropriate to | NA. | |
| 13 | | В. | leed not be organized. | | |
| 14 | | C . | Need for a degree of organization (include co | oncept: "The | |
| 15 | | | roup conscience of the 2nd Tradition is | implemented | |
| 16 | | | hrough the 9th Tradition). | | |
| 17 | | | . Growth. | | |
| 18 | | | Communication. | | |
| 19 | | | 3. Consistency. | V | |
| 20 | | | . Historical Basis. | | |
| 21 | | | 5. Formats. | | |
| 22 | | | Starting/stopping meetings on time. | | |
| 23 | | D. | How is disorganization (anarchy) a threat? | | |
| 24 | | E. | Dangers of too much organization. | | |
| 25 | | | for the member. | | |
| 26 | | | 2. for the group. | | |
| 27 | | | 3. for the service structure. | | |
| 28 | | | for NA as a whole. | | |
| 29 | | F. | Balance of organization. | | |

| 1 | III. | Service Boards and Committees. | | |
|----|------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | | A. | Need (key word is service). | |
| 3 | | B. | What are they (differentiation). | |
| 4 | | | 1. General. | |
| 5 | | | 2. Specific (service structure). | |
| 6 | | | 3. Makeup of each. | |
| 7 | | C. | Purpose. | |
| 8 | | D. | How and why we create them. | |
| 9 | | E. | How do we dissolve them? | |
| 10 | IV. | How o | do our service boards and committees relate to "NA as such"? | |
| 11 | | A. | Part of, separate from, or both or neither? | |
| 12 | | B. | Direct responsibility. | |
| 13 | | | 1. What is direct(or indirect) responsibility? | |
| 14 | | | 2. What is responsibility? | |
| 15 | | | 3. Direct responsibility vs. autonomy. | |
| 16 | | | 4. Trust (reciprocal). | |
| 17 | V. | Applic | cation of Tradition 9 to members, groups and N.A. as a | |
| 18 | | whole | | |
| 19 | VI. | Conclu | usion and lead in to Tradition 10. | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

The Ninth Tradition explains how we, as a Fellowship, structure ourselves to provide the services of N.A. What is "N.A., as such?" N.A., as such, is: addicts meeting together in groups for the sole purpose of recovery from addiction, having no outside affiliations or financial support. The basic units of N.A. as such are the individual members and groups. It is not N.A., as such, that is organized, but rather the service structure we create. Our directly responsible service boards and committees are composed of our leaders; those members in whom we have invested our trust. They do the jobs that are required in order to make the N.A. message recovery from addiction more generally available.

N.A. groups are unorganized in the sense that there is no authority within them. Secretaries, treasurers, group service representatives, coffee makers, members and newcomers are all equal. No individual runs any group or otherwise governs anything. Our Ninth Tradition says we need no bosses or leaders who govern our Fellowship. Our groups adhere to the spiritual principles of the Twelve Traditions because they must in order to survive. It is the suffering of addiction which disciplines us to this adherence; we need no disciplinarians in N.A.

The service boards and committees we create make it possible for us to be a unified Fellowship. However, even they are not an organization in the sense of being an authority or having power. Service committees at the world level are not "above" or "below" services at any other level of the Fellowship. None has the power to rule, censor, or dictate. Our experience has shown that addicts don't take orders well, especially from anyone viewed as an "authority." These boards and

committees simply make suggestions and act in ways which are directly responsible to aid in carrying the message to the addict who still suffers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

The spiritual strength of Narcotics Anonymous is expressed in our groups. Ultimate authority over any aspect of our service structure is always maintained by the group conscience. All service boards and committees would cease to operate without the support and backing of our groups. It is our groups which provide the trusted servants who make up the service structure. One simple example shows us that if groups stopped electing GSR's to area service committees, the regional and world service structures would begin collapsing. Therefore the services provided by N.A. at each level are governed by the group conscience. N.A. is thus immune to outside control or influence, thanks to the Twelve Traditions which give us such an untraditional "organization."

The Ninth Tradition makes Narcotics Anonymous as a whole Without the service structure, our groups would have no possible. connection with each other-no link, no relationship. There would be no unified "we," just individual groups. Our service boards and committees are all a part of N.A. There is no "us" and "them" or "we" and "they"-it is all "us" and "we." The N.A. member is the foundation of all service in Narcotics Anonymous. We are responsible for creating our service boards and committees, and our trusted servants are then in turn directly responsible to our group conscience. Because each group elects a group service representative to an area service committee, and likewise each area service committee elects a representative to a regional service committee, and each regional service committee elects a representative to our World Service Conference, we have a unified worldwide Fellowship. This service structure is not "N.A., as such," but it is a part of N.A. and is the supporting structure of our Fellowship.

If N.A., as such, were organized in a corporate sense with an authoritative structure, members in charge might see themselves as a governing body. If, by some chance, we accepted this oligarchy, N.A. members would lose the freedom to make decisions for ourselves. We would experience stifled growth and a feeling of uselessness to ourselves and the community. Our responsibility for our own recovery would be eliminated because we wouldn't have to make decisions. They would be made for us. However, by having no governing bodies in N.A., we gain the freedom to choose and be responsible in our recovery. Each member is encouraged to take part in the Fellowship and contribute to the growth and strength of N.A.

Any attempt to organize recovering addicts personally or collectively would most likely prove futile. Imposing such control would contradict the principles of the program. Individually, we surrender our illusions of management and control of our lives to the God of our understanding when we take the Twelve Steps. Meeting together in groups, we share spiritually in the guidance of our Fellowship as part of the group conscience.

In order to meet together regularly, we form a structure. The group steering committee, composed of its members and led by trusted servants, is the primary example of a service board or committee directly responsible to those it serves. Each of the service boards and committees is different from the rest, because it serves different needs. Each one focuses its activities, such as public information, literature distribution or telephone helplines, on a specialized area of carrying the message of recovery.

Each N.A. group has a structure. Trusted servants of the group do business for N.A., as such. Members are elected to serve in some cases and volunteer in others. Those whom we entrust to lead us are

guided by our Ultimate Authority - God as we understand Him - working through the collective conscience of the group's members. Our trusted servants do the business required by the group to help N.A. survive and grow. They pay rent, answer letters, buy and distribute literature and perform other duties according to the group's desire to provide a suitable atmosphere of recovery. Sometimes the simplicity of this concept eludes us.

Our traditions are really quite simple and clear. We have on one hand "N.A., as such,"--the spiritual principles which make recovery possible, and members who use those principles to recover from addiction. On the other hand, are N.A. service boards and committees directly responsible to those they serve. These include the group officers or steering committee, area service committees, regional service committee, World Service Conference, World Service Board, World Service Office, and other committees. We thus have a clear means by which our principles may remain intact.

As a Fellowship, we conduct business to nurture our program and foster growth. Doing business for N.A. is a real necessity. All of the business we do as trusted servants is guided by the same principle of direct responsibility to the group conscience. No matter what the scope or size of the services offered, the same principle applies. Our World Service Conference does not differ substantially from the group renting a meeting place, buying and distributing literature, or communicating questions to group members. Our world service arms (the World Service Office, World Service Conference, and World Service Board) implement the group conscience of the N.A. Fellowship between World Service Conference meetings, just as the group's trusted servants implement group conscience between business meetings. Trusted servants act on

the collective decision of the group conscience in carrying out the business of N.A.

Our service boards and committees exist because we create them to provide services according to our needs. Experience has shown that we can best serve N.A. when our service boards and committees are directly responsible to those they serve. Service not directly responsible to those they serve ultimately fails. They contribute to confusion and disunity, and seldom achieve their goal. Directly responsible services, however, nurture growth and unity for Narcotics Anonymous. They help us carry our message. These services are generally successful and help N.A. to flourish.

We have considered unity as one important reason for creating service boards and committees. What are some other reasons? Is even this minimal organization necessary? Could we get along without a World Service Board or a World Service Conference? What purpose does an area service committee serve?

Most of us have long histories of rebelling against authority. It thus is no surprise that some members felt that the entire service structure should be discarded. It took us some time to become aware of the spiritual significance of the work of our service boards and committees. We slowly realized how much we owed to those addicts who came before us, who worked, through various service committees, to prepare the way for us.

If individual groups could meet all the needs of addicts who still suffer, we would have no need for service boards and committees. The same applies to our members and groups: if we could have stopped using alone, then we would have no need for meetings. But we could never do it alone. We see that there are many services which are beyond the resources of a single group. This book you now hold in your hands and

the N.A. Basic Text could never have been written by just one addict or 1 group; they are the result of thousands of hours of effort by countless 2 recovering members dedicated to carring to the addict who still suffers. 3 We see that the organizational structure of N.A. is absolutely crucial if 4 we are to be effective in reaching out to suffering addicts. 5 6 We create service boards and committees to help us further our 7 one primary purpose. Our service structure has done and continues to do 8 more to advance our growth than anything else. We surely would not have come so far if not for the willingness and dedication of our trusted 9 servants to participate in service committee work beyond the equally 10 11 vital individual and group levels of service. 12 We, as a Fellowship, may only create service boards or committees 13 directly responsible to our members. It is our spiritual duty to see that all service done in the name of N.A., all business conducted for N.A., 14 15 remains directly responsible to the members of N.A. Our very lives 16 depend on it. 17 18 19 1986/1987 Unpublished Draft 20 "N.A. as such" always was and always will be addicts meeting 21 together to fulfill their primary purpose--carrying the message, weaving 22 23 an atmosphere of recovery. It's addicts helping addicts.

Service is at the heart of our program of recovery, and the Ninth Tradition is at the heart of our service structure. Our groups, our committees, our service boards and centers protect and support "N.A. as such." They enable it to survive.

24

25

26

N.A. as such never changes. N.A. as such can never be organized. It can't be organized because spiritual faith and a spiritual way of life can never be organized. But our service structure is something else. It can be organized. And it does change all the time to meet our changing needs, further our primary purpose, protect our anonymity, and promote our unity.

For instance, our groups are welded into a cohesive Fellowship with shared goals and outlooks. That's a form of organization. Our steps and traditions are numbered from one to twelve, and are to be worked in chronological order for the greatest spiritual impact. That's a form of organization. We meet at certain designated times in certain designated places as set down in our directories. That, too, is a form of organization. We make our group decisions by an organized yet spiritual process--by group conscience.

The alternative to such organization would be chaos. We would do our steps in helter-skelter fashion, losing their impact and their power. We would never know when to go to meetings or what would transpire there. Group decisions would be made on the basis of power and impulse.

No individual runs any N.A. group or collection of groups. We don't have political aims, social aims, any kind of aims beyond our primary purpose. We don't show up at meetings because we're required to check in or punch in. We don't involve ourselves in service or carry the message because anyone says we must. The "must" comes from within.

As groups and individuals we adhere to the Twelve Steps and the Twelve Traditions because we've learned that this is the only way we'll survive. As one member put it, "Instead of being organized in a formal, bureaucratic sense, the tie that binds us together is in the heart, not the

head, not the law, not some club or fist. Just the heart." The part of N.A. that's organized, our service structure, enhances the love, compassion and eagerness to give that which comes from the heart.

Yet most of us have long histories of rebelling against authority. This rebellious attitude is one many of us brought into N.A., as well. We saw how N.A. is made up of different parts, from the local committees to the World Service Office. We saw what seemed like an exceedingly large and complicated service structure. And our first impulse was to dismantle it. Get rid of it, of anything that even hinted of bureaucracy, with its implications of some members being "above" and others "below," was impossible.

Many of us felt that way until we began to do committee and similar kinds of service work ourselves. Even if we didn't get involved in that kind of work, many of us eventually realized the importance of maintaining N.A.'s structure. Our sevice boards and committees—our service structure—has no power to rule, censor or dictate. Our boards and committees simply make suggestions and otherwise act in ways that help carry the message to the addict who still suffers. They also link us to groups far and near, enabling us to be a unified Fellowship.

Without our service structure our groups would have no links with each other, no connection, no relationship. And while recovery would still take place on the basis of two addicts sitting down together to share, we would be much less effective in conducting our overall program of recovery than we now are. We would be much less effective in reaching all addicts "out there" than we now are. Our God-given program would be very limited, as our history amply shows.

One member, for instance, recalled how his area handled hospital and institution outreach at first, before members created a service structure to support this outreach. A number of members in this area

were concerned about carrying the message to addicts in jails, others weren't. Some jails in the area were visited regularly, some sporadically, some not at all. Whenever a member suggested organizing an H&I committee, many other members argued against it. For a time the majority thinking was, "Who needs it?" The idea of organizing a formal committee was strongly resisted. Gradually, though, this resistance melted. Finally, at one business meeting, group conscience did vote to form an H&I committee. It proved to be very effective. From then on members were able to do their H&I work much more systematically. They knew what their individual responsibilities were, who was going where and when to meet with jailed addicts. As a result, the group's H&I activities increased nearly ten-fold in a short time.

That was on a local level. The same thing-better organization, more effectiveness-holds true at area, regional and world levels, as well. An oldtimer remembered how it was when N.A. as such didn't have the service structure it now has: "We floundered. It was a sad thing, a little meeting would get started in the Middle West or somewhere, and a lack of service boards and committees just meant that members couldn't get in touch with anybody. They couldn't find out where the nearest established meeting was, they couldn't get help, they didn't have anybody to turn to. It was a tough time, but we grew and we learned. We have to have more organization in terms of service boards and committees, trusted servants and other volunteers being directly responsible to those they serve, getting the job done, keeping the Fellowship informed, and so on. Our history tells us that without the service boards and committees we would never have grown."

Every N.A. group has a structure. Trusted servants of the group do the business required by the group to help N.A. survive and grow. They pay rent, answer letters, buy and distribute literature, and perform

other duties in accordance with the group's desire to provide a suitable atmosphere of recovery. Those whom we entrust to lead us are guided by our Ultimate Authority--God as we understand Him--working through the collective conscience of the group's members.

Individual members voting their group conscience create our service boards and committees. Each board and committee is different from the rest because it serves different needs. Each focuses its activities on a specialized area, such as public information or telephone hotlines, to help carry the message of recovery. Members select and elect the trusted servants who serve on these boards and committees. These trusted servants are then directly responsible to the group conscience that selected or elected them.

This is what the Ninth Tradition means when it says that "we may create service boards or committees directly responsible to those they serve." It's through our trusted servants who perform their selfless service work that we're joined together in a worldwide Fellowship. Each group, using group conscience, elects a group service representative to an area service committee, which elects a representative to a regional service committee, which elects a representative to the World Service Conference.

At all levels our service structure serves as a communications center, solves problems, distributes literature and in other ways facilitates the business of N.A. Our World Service Conference doesn't differ substantially from the group that rents a meeting place, buys and distributes literature or communicates questions to group members. Our world service arms--the World Service Office, World Service Conference and World Service Board--implement the group conscience of our Fellowship between World Service Conference meetings, just as any group's trusted servants implement group conscience between meetings.

Thus do we clasp hands in unity. If the reverse were to happen--if the groups stopped electing group service representatives to the area service committees--the regional and world service structures would begin collapsing. Soon they would come to a total halt. So would our Fellowship.

All this makes it clear that everything begins with the individual group. No matter how many committees and service boards we have, no matter how many new ones are formed, our spiritual growth always springs from our groups. Our strength is always expressed in our groups. The service boards and committees spring from our groups and, just like the groups themselves, are part of N.A. Though some members at least initially feel alienated from the service structure, it belongs to them—to everyone in N.A. There is no "us" vs. "them" in N.A., "us" being members generally and "them" being those who serve in the service structure. There is no "we" and "they" in N.A. All of it is "us." All of it is "we." The individual member is the foundation of all service in N.A.

Some of us wondered whether we did have a real say in the running of N.A. When we spoke up, presented our ideas, we were pleasantly surprised. Except when an occasional dictatorial committee head operates on the basis of self-will rather than God's will, we're definitely listened to. An example: A few years ago N.A.'s Board of Trustees wasn't as actively involved as it now is. A number of members thought the Trustees should be more involved in N.A.'s affairs, and they now are. Another example: One member suggested a book covering the Twelve Steps and the Twelve Traditions be created. The suggestion was considered and accepted, which is how this book came to be. A third example: A member wrote a letter suggesting that medallions be given out to mark clean time. The membership got medallions.

The Ninth Tradition says that we "may" create service boards and committees. It doesn't say we must, it doesn't say we're expected to. The distinction is important. If we "may" create them it's because their existence is important to our spiritual program, a way of strengthening N.A. and its purpose. This imposes several responsibilities on us. Group conscience must always be the "creator" of our boards and committees, which assures us that they won't be created frivolously or for unimportant ends. Boards and committees must always keep in mind the specific purposes for which they were formed, and avoid taking care of business they weren't meant to handle. They should disband when the specific purpose for which they were formed is accomplished.

One member was on an ad-hoc committee formed to work out some problems between the World Service Conference and the World Service Office. As soon as that mission was accomplished, the committee dissolved. The member recalled the experience with a little awe: "The committee was hot for a bit, then it ended. I was impressed how that thing was crested and how it was dissolved the moment the need for it disappeared. I can remember the feeling I had--"You mean it's over?" All the status I had all of a sudden vanished. I was just a regular guy again. There's something that's so obvious to me about N.A. As long as there's a need for service, it's there. Once the need goes, so does the service."

The vast majority of the members who volunteer their services for boards and committee work are dedicated and very hard-working. But all of those who engage in volunteer work now, and those who might later on, are addicts. The disease of addiction wreaks havoc in all our lives. We're all especially vulnerable to the traps of pride and ego. All of us have to be careful not to focus on "status", on feeling superior to other members for whatever reason. Whenever any of us lets such

feelings hold sway, spiritual service changes to a quest for personal power. And that always places our recovery in jeopardy.

Members who serve on boards and committees have many such traps to guard against. For instance, when committee members see themselves as terribly self-important, they can get bogged down writing guidelines for the way their committees should work. The guidelines loom so large they become an end in themselves, and the committees forget their real purpose for being. In the same vein, committees can make their procedural aspects during meetings all-important. Then someone is always yelling "Out of order!" but the actual committee work doesn't get done.

When committee members let pride and ego get in the way of selfless service work they stop abiding by the spirit and intent of the Ninth Tradition. For instance, they refuse to make themselves responsible to the membership--or do so in a very perfunctory way. They hang on to their committees even when there's no longer any purpose for them. They carry on functions they weren't directed to carry out. To stray from our Traditions in these and other ways impairs our spiritual program.

Too, whenever we let pride and ego get in the way of our selfless service, our judgment and decision-making powers suffer. We make mistakes that lead to confusion and disunity, and falter in our primary purpose.

Ours is not a punitive organization. We know only too well that we're our own best disciplinarians. When pride and ego take over, when we go counter to our spiritual principles, we inevitably pick up the dues. We put our recovery at risk. This is how we discipline ourselves. Mostly our committees run very well, but now and then a committee does behave in a way that harms the membership. Then the membership has

a responsibility to take action. Such action always has to be in accord with our spiritual principles. A loving God, as He expresses Himself through our group conscience, is our ultimate authority in these matters.

The exact action to be taken differs with the circumstances. In one group a poorly-functioning secretary was voted out of office by group conscience. In another instance, a committee that was conflict-ridden and proposing motions that made little sense was dealt with in a different way. The membership voted down all of these motions, but otherwise ignored the committee. Before long, unable to function without support, the committee fell apart.

Actually, everybody involved in this last incident fell short of the constructive roles they might have played. The committee members had a responsibility to deal with their internal conflict and shift the focus of attention back to their primary purpose. They weren't being accountable to those they served. The membership as a whole had a responsibility to confront the disruptive behavior, rather than ignoring it, and bring everyone's energies back to carrying the message of recovery. All members, whether or not they're serving on a committee, have a responsibility to do what they can to change disunity to unity.

To ensure that boards and committees operate within the principles of the Ninth Tradition, groups should elect as trusted servants only those members who have the qualifications for the task at hand, who have sufficient clean time, and who are leading relatively stable lives. Members wanting or being considered for committee positions should ask themselves honestly whether they have the time and skills to do the job. Service positions should never be given or taken as a reward for clean time. Clean time is its own reward, making service work possible.

Members of service boards and committees who do the best job never forget how easily their disease can take over, causing their humility to slip away. They make sure to take their inventories. They make sure to ask God humbly to remove their character defects. They remain in prayerful contact with their Higher Power. They serve with gratitude, always remembering that they hold their positions by virtue of group conscience.

Like the Eighth Tradition, the Ninth enables us to have the best of two worlds. We will never be organized in a stifling, autocratic bureaucracy in which some members are more equal than others. At the same time, though, we can form those bodies--committees, boards and the like-that best meet the needs of our expanding Fellowship. Narcotics Anonymous, as a whole, including its service structure, is constantly changing and evolving. The service structure we have today bears little resemblance to what it was in N.A.'s early days. Tomorrow it may be different again. As long as it remains flexible and responsive to members' needs, and preserves our spiritual program, it will serve well. In line with God's will and with God's help, N.A.'s service structure will take whatever form required to reach addicts everywhere who seek our way of recovery.

Newsline Articles

2

3

1

This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees in 1985. It represents views at the time of writing.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4

The service structure of Narcotics Anonymous allows for one-third of the members of the Board of Trustees to be non-addicts.

Non-addicts are chosen as Trustees because of special expertise they may provide the Fellowship. Experts in the fields of law. iournalism. medicine. business administration, religion. prison administration, counselling, broadcasting, writing, nursing, the judiciary and other professions, who are also knowledgeable and appreciative of the Twelve Step spiritual recovery program of Narcotics Anonymous, are potential non-addict Trustees. Those of you who have participated in the growth of Narcotics Anonymous which occurs annually at the World Service Conference would agree with the need for additional expert assistance in some of the problem areas we have encountered such as tax administrative procedures and parliamentary procedures. Subcommittees would benefit from medical opinion, journalistic experience, prison experts and so on. Some of this expertise is available within the Fellowship, but more is needed. Non-addict Trustees are not chosen as spokespersons for Narcotics Anonymous, nor are they trail blazers for N.A. They must work within the Twelve Traditions, even though they are non-addicts. They provide advice and their counsel can be solicited by anyone in the Fellowship. They can recognize needs within the Fellowship and bring their ideas to open forum discussion at the Trustees' meetings and at the World Service Conference. They can strengthen N.A. and support its growth without starting meetings

themselves, but indirectly by mentioning Narcotics Anonymous in press releases or at medical meetings, or in medical, nursing, legal journals and symposiums. This work can be done in conjunction with Narcotics Anonymous by participating in the workings of the World Service Conference, at various workshops and committees.

Conference, at various workshops and committees.

When they meet with other professionals and share their conviction that addiction need not be fatal and that Narcotics Anonymous offers a proven recovery program for addicts, they provide information which may not be known to these professionals. This is "spreading the message" and is done within the spirit of the Eleventh Tradition, which speaks of growth through attraction rather than promotion.

The positions of non-addict Trustees are not honorary positions. There is a lot of homework, and a lot of travel and real dedication is required.

How can non-addicts become Trustees? Their names must be placed in nomination at the World Service Conference by a member of the Conference, such as the RSR's, the Trustees, or other voting participants. The candidates must be present to speak to the Conference of their qualifications and to accept the position if elected. If you know a non-addict who has special gifts or expertise to offer the Fellowship, present his or her credentials to your local trusted servants, GSR's or ASR, so that your RSR can nominate that individual at the World Service Conference. It is essential that they be interested and they must be willing to attend the World Service Conference at their own expense. If nominated and selected, air fare home will be reimbursed if funds are available. Trustees should attend four regular meetings of the World Service Board of Trustees per year. One is held at the time of the World Service Conference, which is held annually in late April, one which is

- traditionally held at the World Convention over Labor Day weekend at various locations [the 1986 world convention will be held in London,
- 3 England], and two others which are held in November and February at
- 4 the World Service Office in Van Nuys, California. Reimbursement for
- 5 travel to these meetings will be provided if funds are available.

6

7

8

9

Currently I am one of two non-addict members of the Board of Trustees. I am a physician, but that doesn't mean we cannot have other physicians. Generally, however, it would be good to have some balance of representation from other professions.

Please don't think that non-addicts have a natural handle on 10 honesty or are free of anger, guilt and resentment. Association with 11 addicts does not come by natural inclination. Predictable unconscious 12 factors are usually involved. There is a greater chance than not that the 13 14 non-addict Trustee or non-addict Trustee-elect is a co-addict (parent, child, spouse or close friend of an addict), thus affected with some 15 emotional and spiritual deficits, characteristic of the disease of co-16 addiction. Unless co-addiction is recognized and dealt with in a recovery 17 program such as Nar-Anon, the co-addict trustee can do serious damage 18 to himself or herself and to members of the Fellowship, or to the 19 Fellowship as a whole. Narcotics Anonymous does not need "enablers," 20 21 persons serving with all sincerity but actually serving sick needs which 22 have been unrecognized. These remarks should not be taken to mean 23 that Nar-Anon is a prerequisite or mandatory for non-addict Trustees. but objectivity is enhanced by Nar-Anon attendance for the non-addicts 24 working with Narcotics Anonymous. Speaking for myself, I was late 25 (considering the number of years I spent treating addicts) in recognizing 26 the impact of co-addiction in my life. I had long been addicted to addicts, 27 but I did not know why. The Nar-Anon recovery program helped me to 28 "see." Some new awareness comes to me regularly by working the Nar-29

Anon Program, just as it does for addicts in working the recovery program of Narcotics Anonymous.

When I awoke to realize how deeply I was involved in helping addicts — me, a co-addict — my first inclination was to stop because I might be more dangerous than helpful. Further reflection suggested to me that I should stick around because what better way to force a recovery program for me and a greater in-depth understanding of the relationship between co-addiction and addiction. If co-addiction places us in the role of the helper, then let us learn to help in a loving and spiritual way. Jimmy K., one of N.A.'s first recovering addicts, pencilled in the cover of my Basic Text, "...Recovery is not only the area of the addict — we all need to strip away the illusions from the past."

Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 2, No. 1A

Around here this tradition has become the butt of many jokes. I misquote it frequently myself when I am unprepared for the task at hand, or I've forgotten to bring something such as literature to a meeting. "Oh well, we shouldn't be organized anyway." You can see right away that the difference is between "unorganized" and disorganized." Unfortunately, we often achieve both. In this tradition the word "organized" refers to personal power or governmental control.

General Input

Tradition Nine, then, ensures that no one can issue orders or directives and expect obedience. There are no rules of conduct for members so we don't need the traditional methods of enforcing

compliance. We can't expel, censor, or otherwise punish anyone who chooses to join this fellowship. Neither may we judge another's actions.

If anyone leads N.A. at all, it is by example so that our most humble members are often our greatest leaders.

Members and committees can and do make requests and suggestions but it is the other person's choice as to how to respond and whether or not to implement the suggestion.

Gratefully, we do draw on the experience of older members and the other twelve step programs, but we remain free to learn from our own mistakes and forge new pathways within the framework of the Twelve steps and Traditions.

We do have to form committees, etc., to serve the continuing needs of N.A. but the emphasis is on service and our primary purpose of bringing a new, clean way of life to all addicts who want it. We have servants, not senators.

This tradition clearly states that our committees are answerable to the fellowship for their actions and decisions. Therefore, members individually or collectively may request a committee to account for any and all of its actions, expenditures, etc.

The officers of groups and committees are rotated to share the responsibilities and give more people the opportunity to grow through service work. Any heavy-handed authoritarian officers are easily ditched at the next election.

Tradition Nine does not create chaos in N.A., as one might think, because there is one unspoken principle underlying our Fellowship which tends to weed out the rebels and the egotists. That principle simply says, there are no rewards or punishments in nature, only consequences.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

And the result of not employing the Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions is using--inevitable death or incarceration for any one of us.

"This Tradition defines the way our Fellowship functions (Basic Text p. 67). It is the principle that creates our services and makes us an important part of "the ties that bind us together". The principle of service is important to our recovery and personal participation in it can only strengthen our unity.

There is work to be done that could only detract from the activities relating directly to recovery. Boards or committees are created by the groups, areas, and regions and become extensions of them, remaining "directly responsible to those they serve".

The business of N.A. does not belong in groups. We hold business meetings not directly relating to recovery, allowing the groups to concentrate on their primary purpose, and remain fully self supporting of our services. "Without this principle our Fellowship would be in opposition to spiritual principles (Basic Text p. 68).

We remain responsible to the groups and to this principle by remaining loyal to our guidelines, our Traditions, and the service structure. If we step outside the service structure, haphazardly, quoting this tradition, we are not responsible to the Fellowship, have set ourselves apart from it, and detract from its unity.

"The Ninth Tradition goes on to define the nature of things that we can do to help N.A. It says that we may create service boards or committees to serve the needs of the Fellowship. They exist solely to serve the needs of the Fellowship. This is the nature of our service structure as it has evolved and has been defined in the N.A. Service Manual" (Basic Text p.68).

The problem of service boards and committees being directly or not directly responsible to the membership. Is this being covered elsewhere or my responsibility?

How could this important item not be addressed? The way I understand this tradition, we keep our service boards and committees directly responsible in the sense that each has to report to the Fellowship and gather the input and general support to effectively achieve Fellowship goals. The alternative would be a system of interlocking boards and committees, each responsible to each other and only generally interested in keeping up appearances by showing some interest in what the Fellowship wants around election time.

This approach wouldn't cut it in N.A. because too much depends on the capacity of our service effort to capture the imagination and give spiritual and emotional outlets to the members who alone can provide the services. If the Fellowship gets bored with a phony service effort they just stop showing up and the whole avenue of effort fades.

Should I get into aspects of N.A.'s service structure? Some say it's not part of N.A., others disagree. Doesn't it belong in the book?

Tradition Nine needs some description of how we create service boards and committees directly responsible to those they serve without organizing N.A.

When I first got into service in 1975, I was told that the service structure was not a part of N.A. The explanation for this was that N.A. exists independently of our service structure and that all the structure is for is to service members of the Fellowship. This made sense to me and many others. As we grew in service, we came to understand that the structure was of, by and for N.A. but it was still considered not a part of N.A. because the positions were "structural" in the sense that they were arbitrary constructs which had no reality of their own, as would be the

3

case with a corporation or a government. The Fellowship decisively went 1 against this viewpoint in 1983 (check date) with a few crucial changes in 2 chapter six of "Narcotics Anonymous" relating to Traditions Four and 3 Nine. This material contained the lines, "all else is not N.A." This 4 resolved the matter as far as the book changes went, but no clear 5 statement resulted in positive terms which might be helpful to the work 6 at hand. It is not clear that the Fellowship was making the service 7 structure part of the Fellowship or acting to resolve a conflict within the 8 material of our book.

Items which need to be included are the way we form our committees based on a real need. The way our members have trouble keeping their focus on helping others and getting the good feeling which comes to us through selfless service. Some service committees have successfully come together and taken group inventories of themselves and reunified their efforts.

While it may seem obvious to you, our members can get so caught up in "who" is doing the service that they can forget what is being done or undone. Some of the reasons why this occurs has been covered in this input under other questions. Especially, I mean that the quality of recovery is reflected in the quality of service. Some service committees are God-centered, faithful and preoccupied with how their actions affect those they serve. Others get caught up in rules, guidelines and procedures resulting, in a great deal of oration and choosing of sides about "how" to do the service, and the service effort itself goes out the window and members attracted to serve are run off by the conflicts. It

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would be my hope that this material could help alleviate some of these problems.

What do they mean we shouldn't be organized? Is this our excuse to wander about in chaos and confusion? No, what the Ninth Tradition is speaking to is that we shouldn't set up a hierarchy. In Narcotics Anonymous the pyramid of service is inverted so that the broader the spectrum served, the more answerable the servants are. For example, in most businesses the top officers of the company "run the show", telling the middle managers how to perform, who tell supervisors how to do their jobs, who instruct the workers of their duties. In N.A., the groups tell the area service committees how they want to be served, the ASC's tell the regions, and the regions instruct the World Service Conference of their wishes. Each level of service remains "directly responsible to those they serve," thus assuring the spiritual fulfillment of our Second Tradition.

In our personal recovery, the spiritual lesson of the Ninth Tradition can be found in the words, ..."that remain directly responsible to those they serve." Every mental and physical action we take serves something. When we go to our jobs, we perform a service to our employer and the people that use our products or services. When we spend time with our families or friends we serve their need for our companionship. When we share at meetings we serve the "atmosphere of recovery" that is maintained there. As we serve, we also teach. No matter what we do, where we go, whether we want to or not, we are teachers.

If we meet a stranger and smile, we teach love. If we are suspicious and unfriendly we teach fear. If we do nothing at all, we teach indifference. The Ninth Tradition says we are directly responsible to those we serve. That means we have a choice as to who or what we serve as well. This principle allows us to put the knowledge and power gained from the Eleventh Step into action. We can also serve our disease. Whichever we choose to serve, the choice is ours to make and we are responsible for the results.

| 1 | | TRADITION TEN | | | | | | | |
|----|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | " | 'N.A. has no opinion on outside issues; hence, the N.A. name ought | | | | | | | |
| 4 | · | never be drawn into public controversy." | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | Outline | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | I. | Intr | Introduction. | | | | | | |
| 9 | | A. | Collective Narcotics Anonymous Fellowship conscience. | | | | | | |
| 10 | | B. | Result of our collective experience (historical examples). | | | | | | |
| 11 | | C. | How this tradition ties in with unity. | | | | | | |
| 12 | | D. | How does this tradition protect us? | | | | | | |
| 13 | II. | No o | No opinions. | | | | | | |
| 14 | | A. | Our opinion is that we have no opinion on outside issues. | | | | | | |
| 15 | | B. | Results of expressing personal opinions on outside issues. | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | 1. Alienation. | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | 2. Misconception. | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | 3. Being opinionated is an aspect of our disease | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | personal opinion vs. personal surrender. | | | | | | |
| 20 | III. | Outs | tside Issues. | | | | | | |
| 21 | | A. | Definition. | | | | | | |
| 22 | | В. | Inside issues. | | | | | | |
| 23 | | C. | Historical examples. | | | | | | |
| 24 | IV. | Drav | Drawn into Public Controversy. | | | | | | |
| 25 | | A. | How might this happen. | | | | | | |
| 26 | | В. | Consequences. | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | 1. Diverted from primary purpose. | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | 2. Affects N.A.'s reputation. | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | 3. Dissension. | | | | | | |

| 1 | | C. | Avoiding public controversy. | | | |
|---|----|-----|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | V. | Sum | nmary. | | | |
| 3 | | A. | Application of Tradition 10 to members, groups, areas, etc. | | | |
| 4 | | В. | Relationship to other traditions. | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

What does it mean that N.A. should have no opinion on outside issues? The Tenth Tradition explains the reason: "hence the N.A. name ought never be drawn into public controversy." This tradition is designed to save us from ourselves.

Most of us have opinions on just about everything, and many of us are eager to voice those opinions. We were great persuaders in our addiction, and in our recovery are likely to be even better. We think we know just how things ought to be, what is right, and how the world should be run. We are great advice givers and are really good at proclaiming how others ought to live their lives. We may think we could improve on governments, social programs and could really design a wonderful world if given the opportunity.

There are many examples in which the potential exists for our groups or service boards and committees to be drawn into controversy. An example of this would be an N.A. member publicly speaking for N.A. who then expressed opinions on issues outside the principles of N.A. and his or her own recovery. We have seen members use such a platform to state their own views on issues in which they are personally involved, and this can be a source of confusion for those unfamiliar with Tradition Ten. It should be clarified that no member of N.A. speaks for Narcotics Anonymous. The program of Narcotics Anonymous speaks for itself.

To state outright that we, as a Fellowship, have "no opinion on outside issues" leaves no doubt as to where we stand. Quite simply, we stand aside or separate when political, educational, medical, psychological, social or religious issues are being discussed.

Narcotics Anonymous has no opinion on any of these outside issues. As individual members we may have opinions; however, when publicly carrying the N.A. message, we have none at all. N.A. has no one person or group representing the Fellowship as a whole. The group conscience of the Fellowship is our only voice, and is sought only on issues pertaining to N.A.

Tradition Ten promises anonymity for the protection of Narcotics Anonymous. It teaches us that we need to sacrifice our personal opinions when speaking publicly as N.A. members. We do not use the program of Narcotics Anonymous as a platform for our own ideas and personal convictions, nor do we express any opinions on any outside issues.

If individual members acted as spokespersons for N.A., they could quickly be labeled as "experts." Imagine how some of us would react to the sudden label of "expert" after years of being society's outcasts. Most of our egos would explode, and the humility we require for recovery would be severely jeopardized. Addicts might end up quibbling among themselves for the position of N.A. spokesperson, and in the fury, lose sight of the goal of recovery and helping the suffering addict. For this reason, the Fellowship of Narcotics Anonymous chooses not to participate in controversy. We do not call ourselves experts at anything; it is only through a power greater than ourselves that we have the ability to stay clean and help other addicts to do the same.

A break in this tradition occurred in the following manner. An individual member received in-house treatment at a rehabilitation center. As part of his treatment he was introduced to the Narcotics Anonymous Program. This individual believed that without the treatment center, he would not have found N.A. or recovery. Six months after his discharge from the treatment center, he appeared on a radio talk show as part of a public information effort. When asked about his

recovery and his involvement in N.A., he spoke at great length about the treatment center. He named it, praised its methods, and expressed the opinion that everyone seeking recovery should first go through a treatment center which used the type of therapy his treatment center employed.

As a result of his statements, other treatment centers, which used different methods, stopped referring addicts to N.A. Medical and psychological experts began debating the pro's and con's of the treatment methods. In addition, some N.A. members, who did not go through treatment centers, became defensive about their recovery, and others who had gone through different treatment centers argued the success of their methods. The focus of all these individuals then became treatment centers, and the N.A. message of recovery was lost.

If N.A. were to take a stand on any outside issue, someone would always disagree with us. Expressing opinions on any issue would alienate addicts both in and out of N.A. The strength and unity of Narcotics Anonymous is also affected by its reputation with the general public. A violation of Tradition Ten would surely alienate professionals in the medical and social services who refer suffering addicts to N.A.

The unity which Tradition Ten ensures is vital for the survival and growth of Narcotics Anonymous, as are all of the Twelve Traditions. The N.A. Fellowship needs to concentrate its energy and resources exclusively on recovery from addiction. This priority does not leave room for discussion or controversy about anything other than recovery. We can never forget that getting and staying clean is the most important thing any of us has ever done. We have to keep our priorities in order.

Addicts recovering in the Fellowship of Narcotics Anonymous need to be free to go through the process of exchanging old ways for new ways. If we were tied up in press conferences, public harassment or the

like, addicts would not recover. Breaking Tradition Ten would reverse our progress as well as sever the ties that bind us together.

The strict maintenance of Tradition Ten and all Twelve Traditions ensures unity as well as singleness of purpose. Our credibility and ability to further our primary purpose are strengthened by eliminating controversy, internal dissension and outside pressures. The spiritual principles which attract us to a new way of life remain strong and are solidified as addicts everywhere seek and find recovery. "As long as the ties that bind us together are stronger than those that would tear us apart, all will be well."

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

Reading the words of the Tenth Tradition for the first time, some of us were left confused. What are "outside issues?" We learned that anything not directly concerned with the N.A. Program of recovery is an outside issue. Some of us remained confused. We got the impression that as long as we were N.A. members we had the right to our opinions on non-N.A. matters. We concluded--wrongly--that we had to keep our thoughts on such matters strictly to ourselves.

We weren't happy about that. As addicts we were very opinionated, and forceful about voicing our opinions. We were great persuaders, always ready to tell other people how to run their lives. As members of N.A.many of us still have opinions on just about everything, and we are eager to voice them and to give advice to anybody who will listen.

As those of us who questioned the Tenth Tradition came to see, however, it doesn't prevent us from speaking up. It doesn't keep us from having, expressing or acting upon any and all of our strongly-felt opinions. We're free to say what we please, work for the political candidates of our choice, join whatever religious or social organization we like, involve ourselves in any cause that's meaningful to us. What Tradition Ten does require is that we do so as individuals, not as identified members of N.A. Thus, no N.A. group, committee or service board may back a political candidate, or work for or against any social program.

The reason is self-evident: we don't want to be drawn into harmful conflict or controversy. Imagine what could easily happen if the Tenth Tradition didn't exist. One of our groups somewhere might, for example, make a public announcement supporting a particular candidate for office or urging members to join a particular church. Another group in the area might, for reasons it considered just as valid, support an opposing candidate or another church. The result: controversy, members pitted against each other, disunity instead of unity. It's to avoid fights internally or with the community that no one in our Fellowship may get up on a soapbox, either figuratively or literally, and say, "I'm a member of Narcotics Anonymous and this is what I believe..." As ordinary citizens we may believe and express what we wish. As N.A. members, and so identified, we may not.

None of us speaks for N.A. or represents the Fellowship. If we did identify ourselves as members of N.A. and then went on to express our opinions to the world, confusion would result. People listening would naturally assume that what we had to say reflected the viewpoint of N.A. as a whole--and some people would vehemently disagree with our position. They might easily become outraged. In the case of the

community, outrage might cost us some cooperation necessary to our functioning. That's why we are very careful what we say in public. For instance, if one of our members is asked to speak at a school, we make it a point to restrict our talk to the N.A. Program. Even if specifically asked to comment on the prevention of drug abuse we refrain from doing so. N.A. has no official viewpoint on prevention, a subject about which there are many conflicting ideas, and so we avoid controversy by avoiding the subject altogether. From the standpoint of our program of recovery, it's an outside issue.

When we refrain from voicing our opinions on outside issues within our own meetings, we're also furthering our God-given program. Newcomers attend our meetings because they're interested in our program of recovery, not because they want to hear debates on extraneous subjects. Upon hearing opinions with which they might strongly differ, those newcomers may quickly decide that our way of recovery isn't really for them. They would leave in the mistaken belief that N.A. takes stands on controversial outside issues not in accord with their own viewpoints. Too, our meeting time is precious; it should not be wasted on issues not directly concerned with recovery. Our only formal belief, as expressed in the Third Tradition, is the importance of having a desire to stop using.

Therefore, we suspend our personal opinions when, for public information purposes, we speak on behalf of N.A. In fact, we don't have "official" spokespersons in Narcotics Anonymous. If we had such spokespersons they could quickly be labelled—and view themselves as"experts." Think of how some of us would react to suddenly being "experts" after years of having been society's outcasts. Very likely our egos would explode, and the humility we require for recovery would be severely jeopardized. We might easily forget the limitations the Tenth

Tradition places upon us, and publicly give our thoughts on topics having 1 2

nothing to do with our program of recovery. We might also end up

fighting among ourselves for the position of N.A. spokesperson, and in

the process lose sight of our goals--help for the addict who still suffers.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

The Tenth Tradition therefore teaches us that we need to sacrifice our personal opinions when speaking publicly as N.A. members, and that we may not use N.A. as a platform for our own ideas and convictions. Should we disregard this teaching, we run the very real risk of bringing a storm of criticism upon our Fellowship.

In one case where this happened an N.A. member had received inhouse treatment at a rehabilitation center. As part of his treatment he was introduced to the Narcotics Anonymous Program. This member believed that without the treatment center he would not have found N.A., nor recovery. Six months after his discharge from the treatment center he appeared on a radio talk show as part of a public information effort. He spoke at length, making no secret of the fact that he was an N.A. member. He went into great detail about the treatment centernaming it, praising it, saying that everyone who sought recovery should first go through the type of therapy his treatment center employed.

Because of this member's statements, other treatment centers using different methods stopped referring addicts to N.A. Medical and psychological experts began debating the pros and cons of the various treatment methods. Worse yet, some other N.A. members who hadn't gone through treatment centers became defensive about their recovery. Others who had gone through treatment centers using different approaches argued vehemently in favor of those methods. The focus of attention thus became treatment centers and their methods, while N.A.'s message of recovery was lost.

The Tenth Tradition's declaration that, as a Fellowship, we have no opinion on outside issues leaves no doubt as to where we stand. Quite simply, we stand aside or separate when political, educational, medical, psychological, social or religious issues are discussed. We stand aside, too, when a discussion centers on the relative merits of other Twelve Step programs. In this way we follow the principles of this tradition and also reaffirm our Fifth Tradition and its focus on our primary purpose, which is to carry the message of recovery.

When we give it careful thought, the Tenth Tradition makes a lot It protects us from ourselves, from any impulse to be confrontive or argumentative, and is entirely consistent with all our If we embraced or promoted certain outside causes or principles. projects, regardless of how meritorious they were, we'd be deflected from our primary purpose. We'd be violating the Sixth Tradition's ban on endorsing, financing or lending our name to outside enterprises. And, inevitably, we'd be drawn into the kind of controversy the Tenth Tradition warns us against, and lose sight of the unity and common welfare stressed in Tradition One. No matter what the cause, program or project, there's bound to be disagreement about it-if not about the goals then about how those goals are to be achieved. No matter which side we took, we would offend the other side, both within our Fellowship and without. Thus we would court disunity as well as criticism from the outside. We would disrupt the good working relationships we now have with many persons and organizations, including some medical and social service professionals who refer addicts to N.A. They would stop making these referrals. We would put ourselves in a no-win situation.

The more controversial the issue, the more vulnerable we'd become if we took a stand for or against. From time to time, for example, newspapers, treatment centers and even government committees ask

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

N.A. for its opinion on methadone maintenance programs. 1 programs evoke heated debate: some experts in the drug treatment field 2 believe they help addicts, others believe they hurt addicts. Whichever 3 side we formally took would be the wrong one because it would bring us 4 much hostility. Even more to the point, we as a Fellowship aren't 5 experts. We're not experts on methadone programs. We're not even 6 experts on the "drug problem" as it exists in the United States or any 7 other nation. The only thing we can speak about as a Fellowship is our 8 own program of recovery, and even then we're not so much experts as 9 we are instruments of a Higher Power. We must always remember that 10 it's only through a Power greater than ourselves that we have the ability 11 12 to stay clean and help other addicts do the same.

The larger N.A. grows, the more relevant the Tenth Tradition becomes. When our Fellowship was small and obscure, few outsiders knew much about us, much less sought our opinion on drug addiction and related matters. Today we're a worldwide Twelve Step program, known and respected. Our opinions on drug-related matters are often sought. Our advice is often asked for. This is a confirmation of our success, but we must not allow ourselves to become complacent. Neither success nor size will protect us from the damaging effects of controversy. That there's increasing interest in our opinions makes it even more important for us to say, "No comment" with regard to outside issues.

That "the N.A. name ought never be drawn into public controversy" might be misinterpreted as meaning that it's best to avoid any kind of controversy in N.A. Actually, there's a big difference between public controversy and private discussions or debates. Within our Fellowship, as within all families, there are times when our members disagree with each other. The fact that so many of us hold strong

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

opinions about a great many issues, including those having to do with 1 N.A. and its program, virtually guarantees some disagreement. 2

When our private debates don't become bitter and therefore divisive, when we don't bring our internal conflicts into public view, a certain amount of controversy is good for us. Bouncing different opinions back and forth can clarify issues and clear up confusion. It's usually better to spend some time arguing an issue back and forth, even if we seem to get bogged down for a while, than to act hastily and impulsively.

Group conscience doesn't always assert itself right away.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

While internal debate about matters related to our program of recovery is in accord with our principles, the Tenth Tradition tells us that arguments about outside issues are not. Our priority is recovery from addiction, which requires us to devote our energies and resources exclusively to carrying out that priority. It doesn't leave room for discussion or controversy about anything other than recovery. meetings are not appropriate forums for debates on politics, religion, economics, medicine, social programs, environmental issues or any other aspect of life that doesn't directly concern recovery as we practice it.

Limiting our meeting talk to matters affecting recovery the N.A. way also helps preserve the unity so vital for our Fellowship's growth and survival. We can easily imagine what would happen if, for example, a member at an N.A. meeting "shared" his dislike of the mayor of his city with the other people in the room. Another member would angrily A third member would chime in, come to the mayor's defense. disagreeing in some respects with the first two. A fourth member might shout that the rest were violating the Tenth Tradition. In the hubbub the needs of newcomers would be ignored and the furtherance of our spiritual program neglected.

It's not only in our regular meetings that we can get bogged down in outside issues, stir up controversy, and breach the Tenth Tradition. It can happen in our area service and other committees, too. For instance, members of a service committee may spend a valuable hour discussing another fellowship, or the validity of various treatment programs, or politics, or religion--when, in fact, all such topics are outside issues that don't belong on our agendas. It's an hour that should have been put to positive use around matters of recovery. And we must, of course, be especially careful to adhere to the Tenth Tradition in our dealings with the outside world. For example, a public information committee manning a booth at an insurance company-sponsored health fair may be asked what N.A. thinks about methadone maintenance. If those committee members say something either positive or negative about methadone maintenance, they're going counter to the Tenth Tradition. Listeners will assume that what the N.A. members are saying constitutes official N.A. policy. This will then put N.A. as a whole in conflict with those people who disagree with the stated position. The only response in accord with Tradition Ten is, "N.A. has no opinion on this."

A third example that underscores the need for strict adherence to Tradition Ten concerns a true incident, which took place in a Trustee's meeting. The issue under discussion was the controversial subject of AIDS, and whether or not it was an outside issue for N.A. The decision the Trustees finally came to was that AIDS is not an outside issue when we discuss it in terms of its effect on our members, our recovery, and our unity. The decision the Trustees finally came to was that AIDS is not an outside issue for N.A. On the other hand, the question of whether addicts should be tested for AIDS is an outside issue in terms of the Tenth Tradition. We have no policy on that. We have no opinion on any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the medical or technical aspects of AIDS. We're not experts on the subject, nor can we pretend to be; these are definitely outside issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

Newcomers who are legally on medication sometimes ask established members whether they should stop using those drugs. Members who fall ill or have accidents and are advised by their physicians to take medications as part of their treatment sometimes ask whether they actually should use those drugs. In the context of the Tenth Tradition such questions are very much an outside issue. We're We don't know medicine. Even members who are in not doctors. medical fields aren't professionally involved with those persons asking whether or not to use a given prescription drug. We're not empowered, formally or informally, to give medical advice. If we did so we might well be giving advice hazardous to the patient's health, and also creating serious legal difficulties for our Fellowship. If we did give medical advice and the outcome was tragic-if, for instance, a member advised to stop taking anti-depressant drugs became suicidal--we would also be burdened with guilt. Such guilt opens the door to using. Under the circumstances, the only answer we can give when asked if an N.A. member should use any prescription drug is, "I don't know."

Saying "I don't know," or, "No comment," or, "I don't have an opinion on that" is a very hard step for many of us, whether the topic is prescription drugs or any other outside issue. We're not easily humble. Some of us think we know the answers to almost everything, and we want to give those answers to everybody who will listen. Some of us think we have the solutions to problems, whatever those problems happen to be, and we want to voice them. The active ego is never content to be still.

To emphasize again, the Tenth Tradition aims to keep us from being drawn into divisive, destructive arguments, either within our

Fellowship or with organizations in the community. Public controversy 1 2 can keep us from getting community cooperation and can drive newcomers away. Even if others want to draw us into disputes, or press 3 for an "official" N.A. position on an outside issue, we must all resist the 4 pressure. As N.A. members we have a responsibility, both individually 5 6 and collectively, to adhere to the Tenth Tradition. 7 8 9 General Input 10 Tradition Ten, in keeping with the spiritual nature of our 11 program, ensures the survival of Narcotics Anonymous. To be effective, 12 the name N.A. must be known and respected and to a certain extent our 13 14 growth depends on our good reputation. Our membership consists of people of different nationalities, 15 religions, races and political opinions. To take one position on any of 16 these or other issues would surely divide us from within, not to mention 17 discouraging potential new members and referrals from doctors or 18 19 treatment programs. 20 Consequently, N.A. as a whole and individuals speaking as members of N.A., whether at regular meetings or to the public, do not 21 22 take sides or express opinions on controversial subjects, not even on issues which may at first appear pertinent to the Fellowship such as 23 24 drug and alcohol laws. 25 Of course, we may join committees, groups or rallies that interest us and work toward change as individuals, but we must always 26

remember to keep these activities separate from our N.A. lives.

For our recovery we need a free, unbiased, non-controversial 1 atmosphere in which to grow. 2 3 ********** 4 There seems to be a difference of opinion about whether someone 5 on methadone maintenance program is clean? 6 There is little left to discuss on this. Methadone is a drug. The 7 fact that people addicted to methadone want to call themselves clean has 8 nothing to do with N.A. recovery. Some of our members came to us 9 while taking methadone and are clean today. Many others never made 10 11 it. Perhaps in the past, methadone users were regarded as trying to 12 do something about their problem and were shown 'consideration' 13 similar to other addicts who come to us while still using and will 14 hopefully be open to our message, get clean and stay clean. This was 15 apparently taken to be endorsement of methadone usage and many of 16 the methadone maintenance addicts would come to our meetings, share 17 accept service positions fostered on them by members lacking 18 knowledge in this area, and a whole pattern of difficulty ensued. 19 A statement by the Board of Trustees addressed this question (The 20 statement referred to is included in this document). 21 "NA has no opinion on outside issues, hence the NA name ought 22 never be drawn into public controversy." As one member put it, "This 23 means we mind our own business!" The business of Narcotics 24 Anonymous is carrying the message of hope and freedom that just for 25 today, you never have to use again against your will. 26 This spiritual principle frees us from any distractions in carrying 27

our message.

The 6th Tradition tells us that we don't endorse any outside enterprises, but Tradition 10 goes a step further. It tells us we don't endorse or oppose anything outside NA. We have no opinion on politics, other forms of treatment or recovery, religions, or even the use of drugs. As addicts, it would be easy to stand on our soap box and tell the world what we think about any number of topics but that would not help us carry our message any more effectively. In fact, it would inevitably turn someone against us, not to mention the controversy that would be created within our own Fellowship. Our experience has shown that when we lay judgment aside from the disease of addiction, we are fulfilling our primary purpose.

This spiritual principle is a valuable tool to our personal growth as well, and the steps give us the ability to implement it into our lives. Step One teaches us there is nothing external about our powerlessness; if we are not the problem, then there is no solution. In Steps 4-7 we realize the futility of gossip, criticism, and passing judgment on other people, places, and things. In Steps 8-10 we get an opportunity to repair some of the damage caused by our opinionated behavior and try not to repeat the same mistakes in the future. Tradition 10 provides us with an excellent guideline to keep from repeating those mistakes.

By having "no opinion on outside issues," we don't have to be the world's foremost authority on everything anymore. This frees our mind to become more aware of ourselves and to become more God-conscious in our daily living. Most people would think of giving up opinions as losing a part of yourself or becoming an unthinking clone. What we've come to realize over and over throughout our recovery is that when we give up something, we don't lose, we gain. We gave up drugs and gained a new life. When we gave up judging and denial, we gained acceptance. When we gave up worry and fear, we gained serenity. When we gave up

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

resentments, we gained love. Rather than losing our personality, by surrendering to the spiritual principle of Tradition 10, we begin to find our true selves.

| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | TRADITION ELEVEN | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | "Our public relations policy is based on attraction rather than | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | promotion; we need always maintain personal anonymity at the level of | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | press, radio and films." | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| . 8 | Outline | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | I. | Wha | ıt is ov | ır publ | ic relations policy? | | | | |
| 11 | | A. | Prin | ciples. | | | | | |
| 12 | | | 1. | Inte | rrelationship with other Traditions (3, 6, 7, 8, 10, | | | | |
| 13 | | | | and | 12). | | | | |
| 14 | | | В. | App | lication in the role of P.I., H & I and Literature; | | | | |
| 15 | | | | serv | ice centers; the group; conventions. | | | | |
| 16 | | | | a. | Our conduct. | | | | |
| 17 | | | | b. | Not using conventions for P.I. | | | | |
| 18 | II. | Attr | action | Rathe | r than Promotion. | | | | |
| 19 | | A. | Attr | action. | | | | | |
| 20 | | | 1. | Defi | nition. | | | | |
| 21 | | | 2. | Reco | very is attractive to suffering addicts. | | | | |
| 22 | | | | a. | members. | | | | |
| 23 | | | | b. | groups. | | | | |
| 24 | | В. | Pron | notion. | | | | | |
| 25 | | | 1. | Defi | nition. | | | | |
| 26 | | | 2. | Cons | sequences. | | | | |
| 27 | | | | a. | for the Fellowship. | | | | |
| 28 | | | | b. | for individual members. | | | | |
| 29 | | 3. | N.A. | needs | no promotion. | | | | |

| 1 | III. | Personal Anonymity at the level of press, radio, films. | | |
|----|------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | A. | Spiritual principle of anonymity. | |
| 3 | | | 1. the heart of the program. | |
| 4 | | В. | Protecting ourselves from ourselves. | |
| 5 | | | 1. member. | |
| 6 | | | 2. Fellowship. | |
| 7 | | C. | Public communications vs. Fellowship communications. | |
| 8 | IV. | Application to members, groups and N.A. as a whole. | | |
| 9 | V. | Summary and lead in to Tradition Twelve. | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

This tradition illustrates how Narcotics Anonymous can offer recovery to the suffering addict through means of attraction. What is it about our fellowship and its members that attracts the suffering addict? There are many aspects of our program that are attractive, but most importantly, we are living drug-free lives. Once we enter the doors and are exposed to clean addicts, something magical happens. We are somehow made aware of the love, caring and concern of the members. We hear and see things with which we, as addicts, can identify. The awareness generated through unity and care creates a desire for total abstinence, thereby developing self-worth, esteem, reliance and the desire to become a respectful, contributing member society.

There is no substitute for the adage, "One addict helping another is without parallel." One of the key attitudes which first attracts us to N.A. is hope.

As we go through life, many things catch our attention. If they have something to offer us, we are interested in pursuing them. This is the means by which N.A. attracts its members. What we have to offer is a clean way of life, and a Fellowship which provides an atmosphere of love and concern for all drug addicts.

N.A. has no need of promotion. Members of Narcotics Anonymous are living, breathing examples of recovery from addiction. In effect, this Tradition ensures publicly the spiritual condition of our Fellowship. In our dealings with the public, our spiritual condition will be evident.

Promotion of N.A. would take away the spiritual aspects of the program. Promotion is pressure. It is the glorification of a product. It announces only the features of the program, not the spirit of it.

Promotion is pushing-selling something regardless of the price in terms of human dignity or spiritual values. Promoting often is an end in itself, and its use in N.A. would reflect self-glorification. We should never misrepresent what we offer, even if by doing so we might be able to get a few more addicts to attend our meetings. It is easy to make promises. If we bribed addicts with any rewards other than recovery, they would probably flock to our doors. But how many would have a desire to stop using? How many would leave as soon as they found out that we could neither grant nor keep any promises? How many would never come back? How many would die without ever having a chance to find recovery? In order to effectively carry the message of recovery, we do not use promotion to encourage addicts to come to us, nor do we use promotion to make ourselves more acceptable. Our recovery speaks for itself.

Tradition Eleven illustrates that we, as a Fellowship, have an ongoing relationship with society. If we are to carry the very precious message given to us, then this relationship must be maintained in a responsible and loving manner. Perhaps in no other area does the need for anonymity become so crucial.

The message of recovery can be carried in many ways. If we are to reach the addict who still suffers, we must make our presence known to the general public. To broaden the scope of those we reach, many groups and service committees have turned to the use of radio, press and television. These types of media link us with the general public and can reach segments of society. Our message is best delivered with a quiet dignity, offering the hope of a drug-free life.

There is no need to recruit new members. However, the media may be utilized to let the community know that N.A. exists, that the Fellowship is available. Contact with press, radio, films, and T.V. can be

1 a sensitive area. The N.A. Public Information Handbook helps clarify 2 this issue. These guidelines, which describe how to protect the Fellowship and individuals selected to be in the public eye, can prevent 3 any misconceptions. Public information committees can be established at 4 area and regional levels, where knowledge and experience with the 5 traditions are available. Even where N.A. is completely new and an 6 7 area service structure has not been established, those wishing to use the 8 media should consult the nearest pubic information committee or the 9 World Service Conference Public Information Committee.

The maintenance of anonymity serves a two-fold function: it protects individual members from the pressure or temptation to speak for N.A. as a whole. It also protects the Fellowship, as a whole, from being judged by the words or actions of one recovering individual. It is possible that addicts would seek fame just to see their names in the paper. It would be very damaging to N.A. if a member publicly broke anonymity and then relapsed. The public view of the person who had gone to N.A., but had not stayed clean, might result in assumptions that the N.A. program does not work. In a situation such as this, countless future members might then painfully complete the dying process of active addiction.

Another danger of broken anonymity relates to the possibility that an addict could be frightened away by seeing an N.A. member give public testimony. They might think they would be required to do the same if they became involved in the N.A. Program. For this reason, breaking anonymity is against the traditions. The last thing addicts need in our recovery from addiction is a spotlight on our lives.

When we came to this Fellowship, we learned that we were not the center of the universe. We came to N.A. to recover from drug addiction. We remain first to stay abstinent, and then to carry the

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

message that recovery is possible. Any other activity can only take away from what we have to offer. If we can help an addict stop using and find a new way of life, we will all benefit.

Anonymity is a point of personal freedom and recovery. No members of N.A. should ever place themselves in a position in which they have to make a statement for N.A. as a whole. No one member is N.A., and no one member can speak for N.A. There is no elite class, nor are there any special members. Each of us has our own story and our own recovery. Individually, we are powerless; but as a Fellowship, we bring the hope of recovery to countless suffering addicts throughout the world.

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

 How do we let the addict who still suffers know that we exist? How do we let the addict who still suffers know how we, as addicts ourselves, were helped by the N.A. Program of recovery? Word of mouth is one way; we spread the word where and when appropriate. But word of mouth isn't sufficient to reach addicts everywhere whose lives might be saved if only they knew about our program. Because of our love for N.A., and our belief in its effectiveness, we would like every addict in the world to become aware of our existence. But that raises an important problem—the problem of reaching addicts who haven't heard of us, and reaching, too, those who have dealings with them—courts, for instance, and parole officers and treatment centers. Just as important, we must make ourselves known while remaining true to our spiritual principles.

That's what the Eleventh Tradition, and its focus on public relations, is 2 all about.

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

For some of us the very idea of "public relations" is controversial. We tend to think of public relations in the Madison Avenue sense--as akin to advertising. Advertising is a technique for selling the public goods and services--car manufacturers, banks, airlines and hundreds of thousands of other companies advertise. Public relations, a close cousin, sells the public on the company itself-the main purpose of p.r., as it's generally known, is to enhance the company's image. In N.A. "public relations" has a very different purpose. We're not out to sell anything to anybody-not a product, not a service, not our image. We simply want to make sure that as many addicts as possible know that we exist, know that we offer a spiritual program of recovery that's available to every addict who wants it.

The Eleventh Tradition states that our public relations policy is based on attraction rather than promotion. That makes us all, each of us who's an N.A. member, in a sense personally responsible for our Fellowship's public relations. Even if we never specifically involve ourselves in public information committee work, every one of us is a walking advertisement calling attention to N.A. We may be a positive advertisement. We may be a negative advertisement. But to the extent that people around us know we belong to N.A., or discover this fact, how we conduct ourselves strongly reflects on Narcotics Anonymous's image in our communities.

If meetings are noisy and rowdy, or marred by violence, we've created a negative advertisement for N.A. If an N.A. member gets in trouble with the law, the public doesn't simply see it as just another person having performed an unlawful act. The public's immediate reaction generally is, "All those N.A. members are probably like that!"

- 1 And when newspapers point out that a lawbreaker is an N.A. member,
- 2 readers aren't likely to consider the fact that the vast majority of us lead
- 3 lawful, productive, principled lives. They're much more likely to lump us
- 4 all together. This is detrimental to our reputation generally and scares
- 5 off addicts whose lives might be saved.

We create a positive image for N.A. when we hold orderly meetings and lead abstinent, normal, law-abiding lives. We don't make headlines that way--we wouldn't want to--but we favorably impress those who know our history. It's the most powerful way we have of telling the world that N.A.'s spiritual program of recovery truly works.

There was a time when we weren't loved, weren't respected by the communities in which we held our meetings. In fact, up until a decade or so ago (written in 1987), some major cities in the U.S. and abroad didn't allow us to hold meetings. They gave various reasons for making our meetings illegal-mainly, officials were fearful about the very idea of addicts banding together in groups. Some long-time members can recall the antagonism of parole officers in a number of localities: to attend N.A. meetings, these officers said, would be a violation of parole. Today things are very different. Today parole officers and others in the criminal justice system generally encourage addicts to join our Fellowship-they recognize the value of Narcotics Anonymous.

When the communities in which we live view us positively, they're much more likely to rent space to our groups, refer addicts to us, or otherwise cooperate with us in important ways. When active addicts who want to change their lives see us leading happy, fulfilling lives we're carrying the message of recovery in the best way possible--by our example. This is what the Eleventh Tradition means in stating that the Fellowship's public relations policy is based on "attraction." When we

lead lives that others want for themselves we attract them to our program.

The Eleventh Tradition makes a distinction between attraction and promotion, a distinction that goes to the heart of N.A.'s public relations policy. We can see the difference between the two by imagining a building with many wonderful activities going on inside. If the door is open, and we peek inside, and we want to go in-that's attraction. If somebody in a fancy suit stands outside urging us in-that's promotion.

There's a tremendous psychological, philosophical and spiritual difference between attraction and promotion. If we simply wanted to pack our groups with "bodies" we could do so easily enough by promoting our program the way laundry detergents and toothpastes are promoted—the hard-sell way.

We could, for instance, stand on streetcorners and hand out leaflets, knock on doors and ask people if they want recovery today. We could push N.A. as the great drug addiction cure-all. We could work up statistics "proving," say, that five out of every six addicts who stay in N.A. for at least three months stop using forever. We could offer \$100 to every active addict who attends N.A. meetings four weeks in a row. We could make tantalizing promises: "Come to N.A. and you'll get a job in the helping professions," or, "Come to N.A. and you'll find a girlfriend or boyfriend."

We could do such things and more to promote N.A. as though we were glorifying a product, but the results would be counterproductive. As addicts we were constantly being pressured by those around us to stop using, and we paid no attention. If N.A. used pressure to bring in active addicts they wouldn't respond to us, either. Well-meaning relatives, spouses, lovers and friends offered us bribes of one kind or

another to get us to stop using, with no good effect. If N.A. used such tactics, it would also fail. Addicts know hype and hustle only too well.

Promoting is a futile and useless effort, one that falls on deaf ears unless-as the Third Tradition emphasizes-the addict himself or herself has a desire to stop using. The atmosphere of recovery we generate in our meetings is the very opposite of promotion. It is, instead, attraction of the most potent kind. Newcomers, not really knowing what to expect, hear us sharing our experiences, our strengths, our hopes. They hear us talk about what our lives were like before we surrendered to the Twelve Steps and what our lives are like now.

What makes our meetings and our program attractive to newcomers, however, is not only the message of hope and recovery we carry but the manner in which we carry it. We must take care not to get carried away in talking about the terribly self-destructive lives we led as addicts; if we make our "war stories" too lurid, too dramatic and detailed, we're not really offering anything attractive. If, by contrast, we talk more about how strikingly our lives have bettered, that's attractive. When newcomers hear us speak with heartfelt gratitude about the Higher Power that led each of us to N.A., that's attractive. We should never spend so much time talking about the dark past that we run out of time for the much brighter present.

Our sense of unity, our concern for the common welfare, the caring we show each other--these, too, make a strong impression. They, too, create a desire for total abstinence on the part of new members. They're powerful selling points to newcomers who wonder whether our program might really be for them.

We don't need to promote ourselves, but we do need to let active addicts know that our meetings exist and are open to them. We do accomplish this practically by creating public information committees.

They in turn create informational materials in accord with our Public 1 2 Information Handbook which are left in appropriate places. At times our public information committees also use public service announcements for 3 use in newspapers, on radio or television. And we also arrange for 4 5 formal or informal contact with treatment centers and other related facilities. Contact of this kind proved useful, for example, when an N.A. 6 group held a dance and neighbors called the police to complain of the 7 8 noise. The police came and inquired whether a lot of drinking was going on. None was, but the police officers seemed skeptical. A few days later 9 10 several members from that group called on the police administrators of that precinct to explain our Fellowship and its program of total 11 abstinence from all drugs. The neighbors were also contacted and 12 explanations offered. These visits cleared up any misunderstandings and 13 14 helped N.A. gain a positive image in that community.

At times, too, we're asked for information or interviews at the level of press, radio, films and television. In line with the Eleventh Tradition we must never agree to grant interviews, appear on talk shows, accept speaking engagements or the like on our own. We must always obtain clearance and advice from our public information committees at the area and regional levels. Our Public Information Handbook, which offers guidelines related to all aspects of public relations, should also be consulted. Even where N.A. is completely new and no area service structure has been established, members and groups wishing to use the media should consult the nearest public information committee or the World Service Conference Public Information Committee before making any arrangements. By taking such precautionary steps we strengthen our unity and promote our common welfare. On a personal level, when we seek counsel from appropriate

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

N.A. sources before engaging in public relations work of any kind we
surrender our egos, an act that benefits our recovery.

In the past some groups avoided any contact with the media. When N.A. members did agree to interviews or appearances on panels related to addiction they didn't reveal the fact that they belonged to N.A. They didn't give their names. They made a point of appearing totally anonymous in keeping with the way the Eleventh Tradition was interpreted.

Over time, however, our Fellowship's thinking about this tradition has undergone an evolution. Trusted servants involved in public information work now recognize that in specific circumstances and under safeguards--as outlined in the WSC P.I. Committee guidelines--the mass media can effectively carry the message to large numbers of addicts who still suffer. While the Eleventh Tradition stresses "personal anonymity" this doesn't necessarily prohibit members from revealing that they belong to our Fellowship. It definitely does prohibit us from giving names and other details that would result in identifying us personally. When appearing on television we take additional precautions to make sure we cannot be recognized.

An incident in a western city illustrates how mass media exposure can help further our growth and be an effective instrument for reaching addicts who are unaware of our existence. It so happened that a hotel in the city played host to an N.A. convention and, simultaneously, to a meeting of the city's teacher's union, which was then on strike. The local news media was well represented in the hotel because of the teacher's strike. One reporter spotted the N.A. convention and thought it would make a good story. An interview with an N.A. member and a non-addict special worker was arranged by the local P.I. committee. The story that subsequently appeared about N.A. was a factual, straightforward

- 1 account. As a result, calls to the N.A. hotline in that city jumped.
- 2 Schools in the area asked for N.A. speakers. Many newcomers joined
- 3 N.A.--addicts who hadn't known about our Fellowship until the
- 4 newspaper story appeared.
- This was an unusual circumstance in the sense that we usually
- 6 don't do public relations work at our conventions. In general we tend to
- 7 view our conventions as celebrations of recovery rather than as vehicles
- 8 for publicity. We don't want to turn these gatherings into media events.
- 9 But the incident does point up the positive results media attention can
- 10 have.
- Nevertheless, we must always be careful to follow our public
- 12 relations guidelines because media contact is a double-edged sword. It
- presents a number of potential pitfalls that can bring us bad will instead
- of good will, and can harm rather than further our primary purpose. We
- 15 can't control the attitude of the mass media. We can't control what
- 16 reporters will write or how interviewers will present us to the public.
- 17 Some may have a biased view of addicts or drug treatment programs.
- 18 They may, deliberately or not, distort information given to them. They
- 19 may be seeking personal information about certain members, especially
- 20 those who are celebrities in their fields.
- In general, the wisest policy in dealing with interviewers is to give
- 22 as little information about ourselves as possible and none about other
- 23 members. To present factual information about N.A. is our only purpose
- 24 in granting interviews. Experience shows that when the questioning
- 25 becomes too personal, or the member being interviewed is in doubt about
- 26 what to say, the safest answer is, "I don't know," or "I can't comment on
- 27 that."
- On a personal level, those of us who appear on interview shows
- 29 and the like are very vulnerable. We may truly want to retain personal

anonymity and technically that's not difficult. But sometimes anonymity is at war with our desire for recognition. We want to be stars; a craving for attention is a symptom of our disease. As users we got plenty of attention-of a negative kind. Being interviewed or appearing on a panel or talk show is a positive way of gaining attention, but if no one knows who we are we don't get that attention. The temptation to break personal anonymity and tell the world who we are is great, therefore, and occasionally members who do public relations work succumb to it. They may even rationalize this breach of the Eleventh Tradition as an act of courage, a way of making an even stronger impact on behalf of N.A.

Far from actually helping N.A., though, members who fall into this trap are much more likely to harm the Fellowship and jeopardize their own recoveries. The pattern has occurred too often to be mere coincidence. We have seen many members' recovery suffer from this kind of self-will; we have seen too many members relapse. Breaking our personal anonymity at a public level is disastrous because when we do so we're no longer carrying the message. Instead, we're pushing humility aside and giving free rein to our egos, which have been so destructive to us.

The keys to recovery are selflessness and humility. When we seek counsel from appropriate N.A. sources before engaging in public relations work, and remain within N.A.'s public information guidelines, we surrender our egos for the common welfare. This surrender, this expression of humility, greatly benefits our recovery.

There are other reasons why we must resist the desire to be stars. When we think we're stars we're very likely to get carried away and allow ourselves to become self-appointed spokespersons for N.A. That easily leads us to make pronouncements that go far beyond a factual

presentation of N.A. And those pronouncements usually relate to outside issues--precisely the issues Tradition Ten warns us against talking about.

Also, when one N.A. member does become a star--that is, gets lots of media attention and exposure--other members may react with resentment and envy. They want the same exposure. For the sake of unity, therefore, those of us who do appear in the mass media should be very discreet about it. We should avoid bragging to other members that we are or will be in the public spotlight. Public relations work at the level of the mass media is done to best effect when as few people as possible, both in N.A. and on the outside, know the actual identities of the members being interviewed.

Finally, when some members break their anonymity during a public relations presentation it makes other members feel much less secure about their own anonymity. We all need to consider N.A. a safe place where we can talk freely and securely about our disease of addiction, about the things it has done to us and made us do. If any of us goes public, many other members feel threatened. We become fearful. Among the fears members have expressed is that they might be required to reveal their own names publicly, or that their names will be leaked, or that a television crew will appear at a meeting someday. All of us are concerned with the preservation of our anonymity. If word got out that they were addicts, many members could lose their jobs or have their careers destroyed. We need to make sure, when we participate in P.I. work, that this doesn't happen.

Experience shows that members who handle media exposure best are those with considerable clean time, a deep understanding of the Twelve Steps and the Twelve Traditions, and a marked reluctance to be interviewed. The less they want to be stars, the likelier it is that they

will adhere to the principles of the Eleventh Tradition when in the public 1 2 spotlight. The Eleventh Tradition doesn't say we should refrain from 3 engaging in public relations; it does say we should have a clearly-defined 4 public relations policy, one in accord with our spiritual program. As long 5 as we stay clean, keep a low profile, present ourselves anonymously, 6 7 stick to the facts, work with a public information committee and credit our program and our God with bringing about the miracle of recovery. 8 we have in P.R. a powerful instrument to help us carry the message. 9 It's important to always remind ourselves, as well, that even when 10 we're not directly involved in public relations work, we're still doing 11 Whatever our service responsibilities, whatever 12 public relations. committees we belong to, whatever and wherever we attend meetings. 13 conventions and the like, we're "attractions in action." That is. 14 wherever we are, our behavior reflects on the Fellowship as a whole. 15 The more constructive the image we individually present, the more 16 effective we all are as public relations representatives for N.A. 17 18 19 **Newsline Article** 20 21 Anonymity, Yours and Mine This article was written by a member of the Board of Trustees in 22 1984. It represents views at the time of writing. 23 24 We, like many of you in the Fellowship, have become increasingly 25 concerned with the Eleventh and Twelfth Tradition violations which 26

have been cropping up with increasing and alarming regularity.

We are a program of attraction, not promotion, and as we have 1 2 grown more and more public ourselves, attracting more and more public figures, it is critical for their recovery and our own that we honor our 3 Traditions concerning anonymity. Unfortunately, public figures sell 4 newspapers, magazines, and obtain viewers for television programs. 5 Members of the press can be quite unscrupulous in pursuing information 6 7 regarding these people. We would caution the Fellowship in these dealings with the media, lest violations of the Traditions result. 8 We, the WSB, and our other N.A. service organizations, have no 9 control over the media. In our dealings with them, we need to be careful 10 11 of setting ourselves up as an authority regarding the Fellowship or acting as a spokesperson for Narcotics Anonymous. A good rule of thumb is, 12 13 "when in doubt, don't say anything." We need to be aware that each and every member of Narcotics 14 15 Anonymous deserves recovery without notoriety. We need to continue to reach the addict who still suffers, which requires that we scrupulously 16 17 maintain our Eleventh and Twelfth Traditions. 18 Reprinted from: Newsline Vol. 1, No. 5 19 20 21 22 General Input 23 This tradition indicates that we are free to use every means 24 25 available to inform the public that N.A. is here, offering help and friendship to all who want a drug-free lifestyle. It also states, explicitly, 26 how we are to handle such publicity. That is by not breaking our 27

anonymity. We want to publicize our principles, not people.

This is the opposite of most promotional practices which are 1 anxious to advertise how many prominent public figures support them. 2 Our public information committee was formed to co-ordinate and 3 initiate ways of reaching addicts who may never have heard of N.A. We 4 have had several members interviewed recently on radio and T.V. 5 programs, but in keeping with Tradition Eleven, full names were not 6 used, nor were their faces shown on camera, which can be easily 7 accomplished through various photographic techniques. 8 No one speaks for nor represents N.A. at any level. We may 9 appear as members of the program, but not as spokesmen. 10 This is in keeping with the spirituality of our programme. Since 11 many of us have been very egotistical, remaining anonymous helps us 12 stay humble, even when called upon to serve in a public capacity. 13 Tradition Eleven deals with anonymity at a public level only. 14 N.A. would not be able to grow if none of us ever broke our anonymity to 15 friends, neighbors or co-workers. Each member chooses when to tell 16 someone else that she/he belongs to N.A. We should be especially careful 17 not to break the anonymity of another member. 18 In this way, each member is an active guardian of our Fellowship. 19 20 ********** 21 "If an addict has never heard of us, he cannot seek us out. If 22 those who work with addicts are unaware of our existence, they cannot 23 refer them to us. One of the important things we can do to further our 24 primary purpose is to let people know who, what, and where we are. If 25 we do this and keep our reputation good, we will surely grow" (Basic 26

27

Text p. 68).

"This Tradition deals with our relationship to those outside the Fellowship. It tells us how to conduct our efforts at a public level" (Basic Text p. 69). Our responsibility is set before us. In order for our Fellowship to become whole, there is an area of need to be fulfilled. Public Information is the means chosen to fulfill it, and our responsibilities are defined by this principle.

"Our recovery speaks for itself" (Basic Text p. 69). We should make an effort to let it do just that; through verbalizing and humanizing it in our P.S.A.'s or our mailings, and personally presenting it through public contact. Humility seems to be the key to our work. Our program is a power greater than addiction, and when our work is representative of that, it will be a statement for it.

Strong P.I. work will present N.A. to the public and unify the Fellowship, while carrying the message to the addict who still suffers. This is dependent upon our ability to be honest about the Fellowship on a public level, our willingness to surrender to the program for our needs, and our open mindedness within the guidelines presented to us. When our public announcements reflect our recovery, their honesty will unite our Fellowship.

Promotion, by definition, means "to advance by degree". Our public relations policy is based on the attraction of the program itself. Our attraction is that we are successes in our own right. As groups gather together we offer recovery. We have found the success of our program speaks for itself. To advance this or go beyond it to any degree would be promotion. Many addicts who have yet to find us may do so through our work. Group conscience gives us a standard for selecting what is suitable for presenting N.A. and what is not. It is a means of determining if our service is attraction, not promotion. Our Basic Text

and literature can be used as authorities. They are examples of group 1 2 conscience. Just as our works are representative of N.A., press, radio, and 3 film are representatives of the public level our work is meant to touch. 4 They are the media through which we hope to carry our message to the 5 When the existence of a spiritual program of recovery from 6 addiction known as Narcotics Anonymous is common knowledge, we will 7 be fulfilling our responsibility. 8 It is a saying in our Fellowship, "Anonymity is the sacrifice of 9 Personal anonymity is our surrender and personal ambition." 10 participation in the spiritual foundation of our Fellowship, the ties that 11 12 bind us together, recovery. "Our message is hope and the promise of freedom" (Basic Text p. 13 14 68). 15 *********** 16 I wonder if in "It Works" there isn't a contradiction between "N.A. 17 has no need for promotion," followed by "The media may be utilized to 18 let the community know that N.A. exists." 19 I am concerned about the rudimentary nature of these questions. 20 We do not promote because addicts seeking recovery as we know it in 21 N.A. would be put off by sensationalism. They might well respond but 22 the elements of surrender, faith and trust would be missing. 23 things are essential for recovery. Promotion requires funding and brings 24 financial motivation, and the means for promotion are missing. 25 Our public information committees specialize in letting people 26 know N.A. recovery is available. How else could addicts seeking 27 recovery find us? Much time and care is taken to ensure that our PI

efforts are attractive to addicts seeking recovery, and the elements of sensationalism and promotion are avoided. Where is the contradiction?

I assumed I wouldn't get into things covered by the N.A. Public Information Handbook. There's lots of ambiguity expressed about promotion. Some thought may be required on how to handle-for instance, whether it's okay for N.A. members to identify themselves as such on television. Also, lots of overlap between Traditions Eleven and Twelve.

First, it is my hope that the Traditions material in "It Works, How and Why" will contain enough pertinent material to satisfy the need of our Fellowship to understand our Traditions. So many items have been shelved and the decisions deferred in the past that we have needlessly suffered.

The genesis for this material lies in the recovery of members who focus their lives and full-time involvement on the well-being of Narcotics Anonymous. So many of these issues have been up in the air so long. All that is required is to think, feel and pray through a certain topic until a clear pattern forms which is agreeable to members and find a firm but not harsh way of clearly stating the truth. Most of these questions are basically simple. What makes them seem hard is the reluctance of our members to come out and really say what they feel. Maybe, in some cases, they haven't really thought about it. Remember, Narcotics Anonymous is going through its historical period right now (written in 1986), not five years ago. Fundamental and basic considerations are being made and that is what makes a writer's position, assigned to the material, difficult. We also know how that feels. We wrote our Book.

Today's 'hot question' will seem 'milk toast' tomorrow! Of course, it's not OK for a member to identify themselves as such on television. It

is implicit in the wording of the Tradition. The Eleventh Tradition material should get into the general principles. Within a year or two of approval, the material will start to show its loopholes. Let's do all we can today to avoid as many as possible.

Does the overlap between Traditions Eleven and Twelve involve anonymity? If it does, my recommendation is to bear in mind that it is the same principle but with different perspective or backdrop. Maybe a literary emphasis can help resolve the clarity of each point in question.

In the Eleventh Tradition, anonymity is addressed in very real terms which relate directly to situations which are occurring more frequently as we grow. It is easier to have many addicts identified in the media as such if we have two hundred thousand members than when we have twenty. The threat here is more to the member breaking spiritual contact with the Fellowship than any injury which might reflect badly on N.A. There have been quite a few violations of this sort in the last few years and we're doing fine! Are the members who wittingly or unwittingly broke their anonymity doing fine? Are they still clean? Can they go into meetings as addicts seeking recovery or are they, at least in their conscience, violators?

When members do service work in hospitals and institutions, is this "promotion" rather than "attraction"? There also seems to be a difference of opinion about the use of last names at meetings, or on television, etc.

First, what is meant by "service work"? It sounds like members working for nothing to benefit a hospital. If this is the case, then I don't see how it can be related to N.A. It would be well within an individual member's freedom of action and if it benefited people so much the better.

Where would the promotion be?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

At any rate, the way the question is phrased, a question is raised in my mind if the term service work is being applied in the N.A. sense or not. We serve the needs of our members including our groups. One of the beauties of N.A. is that since we charge nothing for our message, we cannot be accused of bartering love for money...

We are only interested in being available to those who want to do something about their problem. It is their pain that creates the attraction, not anything promotional.

Last names at meetings is each member's option. For all we know, our members use pseudonyms. TV is media and we maintain anonymity at the level of the press, radio and films. This leaves no room for doubt.

If something can help our Fellowship's understanding and application of the Twelve Traditions, it should be addressed. Differences of opinion, relative to this material, can be remedied in several ways. Phrases like "most members feel...... while some are concerned about ..." allow our material to be complete and yet flexible. Crucial questions often will need definite statements and the Board of Trustees will be looking hard at these in our review of the material prior to the Fellowship review and approval process. We have a need for clear definite statements because they can be used by members to resolve difficult issues in their local communities.

| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | TRADITION 12 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | "Anonymity is the spiritual foundation of all our traditions, ever | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | reminding us to place principles before personalities." | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | Outline | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | I. | I. What is anonymity? | | | | | | | |
| 10 | II. | II. How anonymity applies in the case of each of the 12 Traditions. | | | | | | | |
| 11 | III. | III. Principles of the Traditions. | | | | | | | |
| 12 | A. Humility. | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | 1. | Removes fear. | | | | | |
| 14 | | | 2. | Setting aside differences allows us to be part of the | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | whole. | | | | | |
| 16 | | | 3. | Can't take credit for recovery. | | | | | |
| 17 | | | 4. | Dependence on a power greater than ourselves. | | | | | |
| 18 | | B. Equality. | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | 1. | Message, not the messenger. | | | | | |
| 20 | | C. | Com | mon welfare. | | | | | |
| 21 | | | 1. | Personal judgment and criticism have no place in | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | violation of anonymity. | | | | | |
| 23 | | | 2. | Principles before personalities. | | | | | |
| 24 | | D. | Imp | ortance of Steps to being able to apply principles. | | | | | |
| 25 | | E. | Nee | d opportunity to give without recognition. | | | | | |
| 26 | IV. | Why | perso | nalities come second in N.A. | | | | | |
| 27 | | A. | Prop | per role of personalities. | | | | | |
| 28 | | | 1. | Anonymity makes possible autonomy. | | | | | |

| 1 | | B. | How to deal with problem of personal understanding or | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | perspective. | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | C. | Communications. | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | V. | Application to members, groups and N.A. as a whole. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Blue Review Book Draft

The Twelve Traditions of Narcotics Anonymous complement each other and are bound together by the principle of anonymity. Anonymity is a basic guiding principle of Narcotics Anonymous. It is truly the foundation of all our traditions. In order to survive, we have to set aside our differences and become a part of a greater whole. What is anonymity? It is an opportunity to give without recognition. We find that giving in this way allows us to feel good about ourselves as we learn to practice the N.A. principles. We see an attitude of anonymity in throughout each of the Twelve Traditions.

The principle of anonymity is based on honesty and humility. We honestly acknowledge that we can't take credit for our recovery or the things which become possible through recovery. The awakening of humility in each of us occurs when we give up trying to manage our lives and begin to depend on a Power greater than ourselves. Anonymity tells us to listen to the message, not the messenger.

Anonymity is the principle whereby we become willing to place our egos and personalities second to both recovery and group unity. All of us have egos, and it is normal to seek our "strokes" for a job well done. However, most of us got to N.A. because we had problems with our egos; constant praise and recognition severely pollute the humility which we require for recovery. In recovery, we have found that humility is a spiritual tool to be nourished and cherished.

Developing humility through living the Twelve Steps is the channel which makes anonymity possible. We have experienced great personal regard in giving of ourselves without seeking or expecting recognition. This principle helps us to establish our priorities, with

recovery in its proper perspective at the head of the spectrum. As we experience ongoing recovery, we begin to realize that all of our needs are somehow met. Humbly aware and grateful for this prosperity, we become able to give to others.

Anonymity within the Fellowship is important. Gossip and criticism of our fellow addicts destroys the unity of our Fellowship. Have you ever been told that a fellow addict had relapsed, only to find them still clean? Or have you ever sat down for coffee after a meeting, only to hear another member's inventory being taken for them? N.A. is a Fellowship of recovering addicts. We are all growing and we all have character defects. Acceptance of other addicts, including their character defects, is love. As recovering addicts, we need an atmosphere of love and support in which to grow. Members, especially newcomers, need to feel safe within our Fellowship. An atmosphere of trust allows members to share openly. We can help each other by keeping what is shared in a group, or on a one-to-one basis, to ourselves. In N.A., a desire for recovery helps us keep principles before personalities.

In our recovery, we need to open our minds and practice anonymity in sharing the message. We try not to cloud the message by placing expectations or limitations on what we hear, based on any messenger's length of clean time, sex, color or our feelings about that particular person. A God of our understanding can work through any of us in sharing recovery. If we are able to look past the false pride and ego which have cut us off from others so often in our past, we can listen openly to other people's points of view. Rarely do we fail to benefit in some way from our ability to listen anonymously.

The principle of anonymity is the key to understanding the Twelfth Tradition and how it is the foundation of all our traditions. The First Tradition talks about common welfare and N.A. unity. The placing

of common welfare before personal welfare in the group setting is a direct application of anonymity. We surrender our own self-will and place the welfare of Narcotics Anonymous first, because our lives depend on it.

The Second Tradition focuses on one Ultimate Authority. No single person, no personality, has N.A. authority. This is vested in a loving God to whom we have turned over our wills and lives. We trust this God, expressed through the group conscience, to guide our affairs. Group conscience is different from group opinion, which can be influenced by contending personal views. This type of conflict is contrary to the spirit of anonymity, and often leads to controversy. As members of a group, we can sense the presence or absence of spiritual direction. When we feel it is lacking, we turn to the God of our understanding to act as our Ultimate Authority.

The anonymity of a trusted servant typifies our method of leadership. Each recovering addict is important, but none is so important as the group or N.A. as a whole. Without our servants, willing and worthy, there could be no N.A. Fellowship. We need to bear carefully in mind the principle of anonymity which guides us to serve selflessly. Our names are not important; it is what we are able to do to help others that counts.

The Third Tradition is a statement of anonymity. We do not define who our members may or may not be. We only require that they have a desire to stop using. Nothing else matters. This desire is the one requirement for membership in the N.A. program. We either come with it or develop it before the program will work for us. If we do not surrender to the principle of anonymity but cling instead to our delusions of uniqueness, we are unable to begin the steps, and thus we prevent our

own recovery. However, as our understanding of anonymity increases, we find that membership in N.A. and recovery become possible.

Anonymity makes possible the autonomy of our Fourth Tradition. How does anonymity relate to the right of an N.A. group to have the format and style of its choosing? The Fourth Tradition gives each group the freedom to develop its own personality as a spiritual entity. However, the principle of anonymity, which reminds us to place principles before personalities, puts the personality of our groups in check - the personality of a group must not affect Narcotics Anonymous as a whole. Each group, like each individual, is but a small part of a greater whole. Much of our freedom results from this balance. We experience freedom when we respect the freedom of others. Group autonomy gives interest to our meetings, while maintaining the spiritual qualities of N.A.

Without the principle of anonymity, each group could set itself up as something unique from the others. However, no N.A. group may dictate or set standards for other groups. Without anonymity, our groups might begin competing with each other for members or for recognition. The resulting loss of unity would divert us from our primary purpose and eventually destroy N.A. Because anonymity applies to groups as well as individuals, carrying the message rises above the personality of our groups.

Our Fifth Tradition says that each group has but one primary purpose, to carry its message to the addict who still suffers. This unity of purpose is the tie that binds our groups together. Our groups are not truly different; each has the same spiritual aim. Our individual and group anonymity is the key to maintaining the atmosphere of recovery found in our groups. This atmosphere of anonymous recovery makes it possible for any addict to find help in Narcotics Anonymous.

Tradition Six tells us that we ought never endorse, finance. or lend the N.A. name to any facility or outside enterprise. To violate this Tradition would be to lose our anonymity by placing other consideration ahead of our spiritual aims. Just as individuals and groups practice anonymity, so does this principle apply to Narcotics Anonymous as a whole. Our name is safeguarded and is not linked to any other facility or We refuse to lend our name to anyone or endorse organization. The principle of anonymity reminds us to maintain our anything. integrity rather than to endorse or associate with anything or anyone other than N.A. The suggestion that money, property, or prestige have anything to do with personal recovery in N.A. is contrary to all our beliefs and principles. As addicts, we have probably been involved in the past with persons and/or institutions who, despite their money, property, and prestige, were unable to keep us clean. If we do not practice anonymity, problems of money, property, and prestige would surely divert us from our primary purpose.

Our Seventh Tradition guarantees N.A. members the right and privilege to share in the support of Narcotics Anonymous. Each of us is given the equal opportunity to help anonymously. We also do not allow members to contribute more than their share; to do so would be to encourage the loss of their anonymity. Another way we practice anonymity is in regard to funding from sources outside the N.A. community. Regardless of the identity or intent of an outside source, we do not accept financial contributions, so that the freedom and integrity of our groups are maintained.

In regard to our Eighth Tradition, we do not single out our members as "professionals." Professionals are defined by their education, background and experience. N.A. members are defined by a desire to stop using. The principle of anonymity ensures that every N.A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

member has an equal opportunity to experience personal growth in
recovery.

Anonymity further applies to the hiring of our special workers. We do not discriminate against addicts or non-addicts in employing people to serve the needs of the Fellowship. As with any organization, we try to get the best person for the job to ensure the best services. These special workers are skilled and qualified to do things for our Fellowship on a business-like basis. This frees our members in service to focus on carrying the message of N.A., which requires experience and wisdom that can be gained only through recovery. Non-addict special workers provide a special service by performing certain duties addicts could not undertake due to the necessity of safeguarding their anonymity.

Our Ninth Tradition makes possible the creation and operation of service boards and committees. These boards and committees provide services beyond what individuals and single groups can do. We see the principle of anonymity in that these committees are not responsible to any particular individual, but rather are accountable to the groups and members of N.A. By practicing anonymity, our service boards and committees are protected from individual power struggles.

Another way anonymity applies to our service boards and committees is that although our actions are surely influenced by our personalities, we are directly responsible to those we serve. The work we do for N.A. is important, and it is here that we see the necessity of applying the Twelfth Tradition. We are able to be of assistance because we are guided by the principle of helping others. When personalities get in the way of carrying the message, our Twelfth Tradition has been violated. A service committee does not reflect the opinion(s) of an

individual member, but rather the collective conscience of many
anonymous members.

Anonymity applies to members of service boards and committees because none of them has a greater or lesser voice in a Narcotics Anonymous meeting. For example, an elected trusted servant, when attending an N.A. meeting, is not acknowledged in the meeting for his/her position in service, but rather for a desire to stay clean.

The Tenth Tradition limits the growth of powerful personalities and safeguards anonymity by having no opinion on outside issues. Individual members practice anonymity by not representing themselves as spokespersons for N.A. If we maintain our anonymity at the public level, it is impossible to thrust our Fellowship into public controversy. When controversy exists, people take sides and personalities come forward; as this happens, anonymity disintegrates. The Tenth Tradition prevents this, thus ensuring unity.

In our Eleventh Tradition, we find that the way we relate to society in general is by practicing personal anonymity. By maintaining our personal anonymity at the level of press, radio and films, none of us is singled out. No one of us represents N.A., for to do so would be to place ourselves above our common welfare. Our relationship with the public is based on attraction rather than promotion. In this way, we avoid both setting the individual up in the public eye as a spokesperson for N.A., and the self-glorification which could result. In N.A., the recovery and well-being of the members are placed ahead of everything else.

We have discussed how the principle of anonymity is the foundation of all our traditions. We see now that this principle is a guiding force in our spiritual and emotional growth, and in our interactions with others. The Twelfth Tradition reminds us to place

1 principles before personalities. When we see other members

2 experiencing difficulties or upset, we may be tempted to judge.

However, we realize that the recovery process is different for everyone,

and the members' upset may be perfectly reasonable and appropriate.

Judgment and criticism have no place in our program or our services.

We are all imperfect instruments of a loving God of our understanding. While studying and learning spiritual principles may be a lot of work, we develop a willingness to go to any lengths to find lasting remedies for the various difficulties we encounter as we grow. When we become involved in conflicts or disagreements, we apply the principles of the traditions, using a group conscience which looks to the heart of matters, without the influence of personalities.

Anonymity embraces our Fellowship and is woven throughout our traditions. It is one of the basics of recovery. The principle of anonymity protects us from our defects of personality and character. Where anonymity exists, personalities and differences have no power. This immunity from personality clashes is the first shelter most addicts have ever known.

Our lives are reconstructed through the Twelve Steps, with the protection of the Twelve Traditions. Lives once racked with confusion and pain are slowly transformed. A peace of mind and sense of direction come to us as a result of applying N.A.'s Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions. We are grateful to those who have gone before us and to the members clean in N.A. today. We do our part to make recovery available to any addict who wants it. We acknowledge the power of a loving God in our lives who provides the strength and guidance we so desperately need. These gifts are ours to keep and enhance, as long as we keep giving them away the N.A. way.

1986/1987 Unpublished Draft

At first reading many of us misunderstood the intent of the Twelfth Tradition. We thought the emphasis on anonymity mainly concerned keeping our identities secret from a prying and possibly unsympathetic outside world. While anonymity does prevent others from finding out we're addicts unless we choose to make that fact known, the term as used in this Tradition goes far deeper. There's a saying among us, "An addict alone is in bad company." What this obviously means is that addiction is a disease of isolation. Isolated, alone, we get into trouble. Within the Fellowship we find the warmth and support we need to pursue our program of recovery. Within the Fellowship we find an atmosphere of safety. We know that our fellow N.A. members will never reveal who we are. We know that our groups will do their best to make us feel cared for and protected. Recovery from the disease of addiction is a form of healing, and feeling safe is a vital element in that healing process.

The meaning of anonymity as stressed in the Twelfth Tradition goes even deeper. We're told that anonymity is the "spiritual foundation of all our Traditions." In this sense being anonymous amounts to being equal. Among our members no individual is better than another, no person's status is superior to any other's. In a spiritual context anonymity means setting aside our egos. When we were active addicts, our egos saw the world almost wholly from a self-serving perspective. Our thoughts and words were dominated by "I want this, I'm going to do that." Self-will, rather than spiritual values, guided our actions. Exposure to N.A., its values and principles, triggers a dramatic change from the old egotistical ways. We no longer think in terms of "I" but in

terms of "we". As one member put it, "There are many ways of looking
at anonymity. Practical ways like not giving our names to the media.

But to me anonymity at its deepest level is letting go of all those things

we use to separate and isolate ourselves from other people--all the things

we do to make ourselves different and special. In N.A. we have to stop

being different so as to become part of a greater whole-and survive."

Spiritual anonymity is a recurrent theme in each and every one of our traditions. The First Tradition talks about common welfare and N.A. unity. When we place common welfare before personal welfare in the group setting it's a direct application of spiritual anonymity. We surrender our self-will to God's will and to the welfare of Narcotics Anonymous. It's not "my" welfare, it's "our" welfare; by stressing our similarities rather than our differences we emphasize our unity. But we also realize that unity doesn't mean uniformity. Surrendering our egos in no way requires us to give up our individuality. On the contrary, when we embrace the spiritual principle of anonymity that so powerfully guides us towards recovery, we become more fully individual than was ever possible when addiction held us in its grip and straightjacketed our lives.

The Second Tradition states that for our group purpose there's but one Ultimate Authority. No N.A. member--no man or woman or trusted servant--has N.A.'s authority. This is vested in a loving God to whom we have turned over our wills and our lives. We trust this God as He may express Himself through our group conscience, to guide our affairs. Here is another example of letting go, becoming anonymous. We let go of our personal opinions, our special ideas, which can only bring us in conflict with each other. We work together to bring about group conscience.

The Third Tradition, which says the only requirement for membership is a desire to stop using, underscores our anonymity. We don't define who our members may or may not be. Their sex doesn't matter. Their age doesn't matter. Their skin color and religion don't matter. Their politics and economic status don't matter. In accordance with the Third Tradition we let go of everything that makes us different and could easily divide us. We surrender to anonymity and focus on the one overriding thing we have in common—the desire to stop using.

The Fourth Tradition gives each N.A. group the right to develop the style and format of its choosing. How does this relate to anonymity? The Fourth Tradition encourages our groups to exercise creative freedom in order to best meet the needs of its members, but also stresses the limits to that freedom of expression. No group should set itself up as unique from the others. No group should dictate to, set standards for, or compete with other groups. All of our groups are part of the same Godgiven program, all have the same primary purpose. This is also a letting go, a becoming anonymous: as different as our groups may individually be, in a larger sense they submerge their distinct personalities for the good of N.A. as a whole.

Our Fifth Tradition says that each group has but one primary purpose--to carry the message to the addict who still suffers. This, too, talks to our unity of purpose. Our groups do not go off in ten or fifteen different directions, each having its special goals. We let go of all goals but the one that fulfills our spiritual aim. In this sense we blend in-become anonymous--as we pursue our primary purpose.

The Sixth Tradition tells us we ought never endorse, finance, or lend the N.A. name to any facility or outside enterprise. To violate this tradition would be to lose our anonymity by placing other considerations ahead of our spiritual aims. Just as individuals and groups practice

1 anonymity, so does this principle apply to Narcotics Anonymous as a whole. Our name is safeguarded and kept anonymous, and is not linked 2 to any facility or organization. The principle of anonymity reminds us to 3 maintain our integrity rather than endorse or associate with anything or 4 5 anyone other than N.A. Endorsements come from people and organizations deemed special. We all know from painful personal 6 experience the sorrows that befall us when we succumb to feeling special 7 8 and superior. Unfortunate events would befall our groups, and the whole Fellowship as well, if we thrust them into the public spotlight. 9 Similarly, the principle of anonymity reminds us that money, property or 10 11 prestige have nothing to do with personal recovery in N.A. Our past experiences as addicts amply illustrate the problems associated with 12 money, property and prestige; we know how easily these can divert us 13 14 from our primary purpose.

The Seventh Tradition guarantees members the right and privilege to contribute to the support of Narcotics Anonymous. No member is expected to do more than the other members; we share in the responsibility of supporting our Fellowship with money, time, and skills. Service contributions of whatever kind don't make one member better than another; we're all equal. We all have an equal opportunity to help support N.A. and to do so anonymously insofar as possible.

We also practice anonymity in our refusal to accept funding from outside sources. If we accepted outside funding we'd be allying ourselves with those funding sources, endorsing them, just as we would if we funded them. This would make us special. We would no longer be operating anonymously, and therefore we would lose both the freedom and the integrity so necessary to us.

The Eighth Tradition states that we don't employ professionals in our groups. Professionals are defined by their education, background and

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

2627

28

experience. N.A. members are defined by a desire to stop using. If some of us were professionals and others "just" members, we'd no longer all be the same. There would be a hierarchy among members, with some more important--"more equal"--than others. Everyone would know who was who. This would destroy our anonymity and, hence, our unity. The principle of anonymity ensures that every N.A. member has an equal chance to experience personal growth in recovery.

Anonymity further applies to the hiring of our special workers. We don't discriminate against addicts or non-addicts in employing people to serve the needs of our Fellowship. We don't say, "Addicts come first in our hiring procedures regardless of qualifications." We don't say, "Addicts shouldn't work for N.A." Either way would make addicts special, different, and take away from their anonymity. We simply try to get the best person for the job.

Our Ninth Tradition states that N.A. as such may not organize, but makes possible the creation of service boards and committees. Anonymity is at work here in several ways. We don't structure our Fellowship in such a way that certain members--"presidents," "directors," or whatever--have more power than others. If we did, it would make some members superior to others, destroy their anonymity and damage our unity, which is based on equality.

Furthermore, our boards and committees aren't "above the law"-they must operate within the framework of our Twelve Traditions and
are responsible to the membership they serve. In carrying out their
tasks, they don't reflect the opinions of individual members but are
guided by the collective conscience of many members. Trusted servants
who serve on boards and committees aren't special in relation to the
membership as a whole; when they attend regular N.A. meetings they're
simply recovering addicts working the program along with everyone else.

The Tenth Tradition keeps us, as N.A. members and N.A. groups, from voicing opinions on outside issues. Members practice anonymity by not representing themselves as spokespersons for N.A. As a Fellowship, we maintain our anonymity by not making public pronouncements. If we did express opinions on outside issues as N.A. members or groups we would surely be drawn into public controversy. Public controversy would shatter our anonymity, tear us apart from within, and alienate addicts who might otherwise seek recovery with us. The Tenth Tradition also limits controversy within N.A. by defining all issues other than recovery as "outside issues."

The Eleventh Tradition speaks very directly to anonymity, cautioning us to maintain it on the level of the mass media. In this way none of us is singled out for special attention. The Eleventh Tradition also stresses that our relationship with the world is based on attraction rather than promotion. We simply say, "Here we are, this is how our program has helped us, you're welcome to take it for yourself." Thus do we avoid dangerous self-glorification, which is the very opposite of spiritual anonymity..

The Twelfth Tradition affirms the vital role that anonymity plays in our spiritual program. Anonymity is at the core of all the Twelve Traditions largely because it's the most powerful principle we have in countering the egotistical impulses so symptomatic of our disease.

The Twelfth Tradition also addresses the all-important role of anonymity in our day-to-day relationships within N.A. We may, if we wish, give only our first names at open meetings. Some members do the opposite, they make a point of giving their last names at all meetings-maybe as a way of saying, "I trust you all," maybe as a way of showing some bravado, maybe as a way of saying they don't care about possibly adverse consequences, or for other reasons. Motives vary. Sometimes

it's appropriate to reveal our identities, sometimes not. We should endeavor to understand our motives in this respect--why we might want to be open about who we are, why not. Depending on the circumstances. sometimes discretion is best. We must, in all situations, strive to set our 5 egos aside.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Ego and recovery are incompatible. Ego and selfless service are incompatible. By contrast, anonymity and recovery, and anonymity and selfless service, go hand-in-hand. For many of us this wasn't an easy lesson to learn. When we came into N.A. we were of two minds. We had outsized egos but we also felt a strong sense of worthlessness. We'd let our addiction make a mess of our lives and we wanted desperately to feel good about ourselves, to get praise, pats on the back. So right away some of us wanted credit for not using and for being diligent in working the program. We wanted to be told how great we were for no longer trying to destroy ourselves. As a member said, "Here I was for the first time in years and years doing things I could be proud of, and I wanted to get the applause. My sponsor said, 'It's not you doing it, it's God--you're just another addict trying to get clean and the woods are full of them.' It took me a long time to learn that it's dangerous for me to think too much of myself."

Another member started taking on more service work--manning a hotline, serving on an H&I committee. This member, who in the past had never done anything that wasn't for money, was very proud of the way he gave in N.A. He went around feeling extremely good about himself and wanting other members to feel good about him, too. Finally, his sponsor asked him to spend a couple of months performing an exercise, which consisted of doing small favors for other people. The catch was, he was to do them anonymously, not in his name but in God's. At first it was hard; he yearned so for thank you's and was

1 frustrated. But in time the most unexpected thing happened: he got to 2 enjoy doing things for others quietly, without fanfare. "It was," he said, "a humbling experience." It also felt good because he knew he was doing 4 the right things for the right reasons.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Anonymity is the principle whereby we become willing to place our egos and personalities second both to recovery and to group unity. Because we all have egos, it's normal and understandable to seek "strokes" for a job well done. However, constant praise and recognition severely pollute the humility which we require for recovery. In recovery we found that humility is a spiritual tool to be nourished and cherished. Credit for what we have achieved goes to the God of our understanding, not to us personally. We're the channels for God's love.

When we practice anonymity we can't take credit for carrying the message, reaching out lovingly to a newcomer with one day clean, or doing any of the service work that furthers our primary purpose. Though it's our energy that stuffs the envelopes, makes sure the meeting schedule gets printed, plugs in the coffee pot and accomplishes committee work, that energy comes from a Power greater than us.

When we practice spiritual anonymity our groups serve as channels of God's love in the same way individual members do. We perform our group functions without needing credit for it. We don't see our own groups as special or superior to other groups. We don't fight, group against group, for members; we work cooperatively within the Fellowship for our common welfare.

When we practice spiritual anonymity, we honor other members' anonymity and fully respect their right to privacy. Therefore, we refrain from gossiping about our fellow members, and refuse to listen to gossip about others. We refrain from taking other members' inventories for them, realizing that that's exclusively their task as taking our own

inventories is exclusively ours. We refrain from reporting to a member's sponsor something a member has said in a meeting, rigorously adhering to the principle that "what is said in meetings stays in meetings."

In sum, we refrain from playing games with the personalities of others--we stick to our principles.

In N.A. we hear the phrase, "principles before personalities" so often it has almost become a cliche. But the repetition underscores how important those words are. They're a powerful reminder that we must not let personality squabbles spoil our unity or detract from our common welfare.

Many of us have very strong personalities. We're quick to judge, to exercise control over others, to take offense. We have to struggle to shed these character defects. Sometimes it takes a long time before we do so and sometimes they resurface just when we think we're done with them. Obviously, we can't like everyone equally well, especially at first meetings, before we get to know someone better. And the better we get to know some people, the less we may like them. But we do have a choice. We can react with impatience, anger, disgust, contempt or other negative feelings, letting those feelings take over and dictate our response--or we can step back, allowing the feelings to drain away, at which time we may respond with compassion and love.

We come to realize that none of us is in a position to judge others, lest our own failings be taken into account. For instance, we must-individually and collectively, as groups—try not to judge members who may be going through great upset or difficulty; we realize the recovery process is different for everyone, and a member's upset may be reasonable and appropriate under the circumstances. We realize that we all need time to work the Twelve Steps so that they become integral to our day-to-day functioning. Therefore, we try not to dismiss a new or old

- 1 member as too bullying or too wimpish or too arrogant or too whatever.
- 2 We recognize that all of us were led to N.A. for our own specific reasons,
- 3 and that we come at whatever state of spiritual development we may be.
- 4 We recognize that when we judge someone we're focusing on differences
- 5 rather than on similarities--which has the effect of separating, not
- 6 unifying, us.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24 25

2627

28

29

We also come to realize that personality squabbles are in direct opposition to anonymity and can scare newcomers and others away from our meetings. If we chase newcomers out their recovery may be thrown into jeopardy and their lives lost. Hostility ruins the atmosphere of recovery and its emphasis on the common welfare which we all desperately need.

There's yet another important aspect to principles before personalities. It means that when issues affecting our Fellowship arise at meetings or in any other circumstance we judge those issues on their own merits. We don't react positively because a comment or suggestion has been made by a member we like; we don't react negatively simply because an idea comes from somebody we dislike. We listen to the message rather than the messenger. We know that a Higher Power may work through any of us as we share recovery.

The effort to place principles before personalities can bring about a real leap in our spiritual growth. One member, a trusted servant, was locked in a power struggle with some other trusted servants over the direction of a certain project. This member knew she was right. She knew that the other approach was harmful to N.A., but couldn't budge the other members. There were personality clashes galore. In the long run she was proved right and eventually her point of view prevailed.

Later, however, she could look back at this incident and say, "I don't know what's right, only God does. In retrospect I can look back

and see that the whole thing came out the way it was supposed to come out at that particular time. Not the way I thought it was supposed to, but the way it was supposed to".

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

When we engage in power struggles or personality clashes we're basically engaged in attempts to assert our egos. Winning the fight becomes more important than resolving the issue. Ironically, though, in such situations no one is truly the winner because resentments linger and fester--and erupt in more conflict later on. Too, none of us has the right to tell another person, "You're right," or, "You're wrong". Any attempt to do so means casting aside humility and arrogantly assuming the ability to see into the future. We can't see into the future. We can't take the place of God and don't really know how things will or should work out. What we can and must do in line with our spiritual principles is to surrender to God's will. When we surrender, and the members with whom we have been in conflict also surrender, and we all remain faithful to our Twelve Traditions, we will come through the experience having done "right." As recovering addicts we need an atmosphere of love, compassion and support in which to grow. When we insist upon principles before personalities we help create that atmosphere.

As our weeks in N.A. stretch into months, and months into years, we come to be seen as long-term members. Many of us have taken on increasing visibility. We come to be known for having this many or that many years' clean time. We come to be known, too, for the growing amounts of work we do in support of N.A.'s service structure. It's far more difficult then to practice spiritual anonymity, but no less important that we do so, lest we fall into the traps our egos set for us.

A member with three years' clean time recalled being in meetings in which he was the only person there in that position; at best the other members present had three months' clean time and some were

intermittently still using. At first he had a hard time relating to those other members. He felt impatient with them. He felt lonely in their midst. He wanted to get everybody there to stop using and he wasn't succeeding.

Later he understood the problem. The problem wasn't theirs, it was his. He'd made himself conspicuous by his relatively long clean time and unconsciously played on that to be the guru, the wise one. He'd let ego and personality get in the way of service and recovery. After a few similar experiences he went to meetings as just another member, not the one who knows all the answers and whose ideas have to be accepted. At that point he was able to get much more out of the meetings than before-and the quiet advice he gave, when asked for, had much more impact.

Another member, many years clean, and with a gift for sensitive communication was often in demand as a speaker. Over time, however, he noticed a distance growing between himself and other members-most of whom had much less clean time than he. He realized he'd become a star, a myth, and meditation helped him to know how to find his way back to the humility he needed and wanted. The next time he spoke, he shared an experience in which he talked at length about himself, as He worked the program no differently than other nothing special. members, he said. He went further; in a heartfelt way he admitted to having been wrong in a lot of the things he'd conveyed to members over the years. Right after the talk he felt a little embarrassed and humiliated. It made him feel uncomfortable. But then one of the male members in the audience came up, hugged him, and said, "I've heard you talk before but I've always been afraid to come up to you afterwards because you had all this status and knew so much more than I did. I'd felt inferior to you. But I feel so much better about you, and about myself, now that I know you're vulnerable."

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

The former "star" never forgot that incident. As he concluded, "Being a big shot and helping other people just don't go together."

The longer our clean time, and the better known we are in the Fellowship, the more we need to work with a sponsor, and work the steps. We need to attend meetings with humility--sharing as if we were newcomers, admitting it when we hurt. We need to resist feeling special or being made to feel special. Being special means being different, and being different almost killed us. None of us is really special. All of us suffered terribly in our addiction. All of us had to have a loving God bring us to recovery--and all of us still do.

Regardless of how visible we are in the Fellowship, when we're finally able to practice true spiritual anonymity we're in for a surprise. We feel as if a burden has been lifted. The burden was real; we recognize it as our ever hungry egos, always demanding admiration, recognition, appreciation, and the right to be the center of the universe. When we drop that burden the relief can be enormous. As one member said, "When it happened I no longer had to live up to anything. I could just be me." The more we practice anonymity, we discover, the easier it becomes to respond that way. The response becomes intuitive and, eventually, effortless--a statement of our gratitude at being in recovery.

For all these reasons spiritual anonymity embraces our Fellowship and is woven throughout our Twelve Traditions. It helps guard us from our defects of character and personality. When we practice anonymity in our one-to-one relationships with other members and in our groups, personalities and differences have no power. Protected by anonymity, we can be free and open with each other, giving to and living with each other. As one long-time member said, "No matter what problem I have, or what secret I have, I always feel comfortable talking about it to anyone in this room, whether I know you or don't know you, because I

know you anyway as vehicles of recovery, and I know that you embrace the concept of anonymity in selfless and loving service to other people."

Our lives are reconstructed by the Twelve Steps, which we work under the protection of the Twelve Traditions. Confusion, pain, and anger give way to a sense of direction and peace of mind. We're grateful to those members of N.A. who found recovery before us and those in our Fellowship who are clean today. We do our part to make recovery available to any addict who wants it. We acknowledge the power of a loving God in our lives who provides the strength and guidance we so desperately need. These are gifts--ours to keep and enhance, as long as we keep giving them to others the N.A. way.

We know, though we might occasionally deny knowing, all the things that would tear us apart--self-will, self-centeredness, run away ego, the hunger for power and prestige. We know, with equal certainty, the ties that bind us together--love and compassion, a striving for unity and for the common welfare, a commitment to our spiritual path. As long as the ties that bind us together are stronger than those that would tear us apart, all will be well.

General Input

The basic text approval of our N.A. "White Book" gives a dictionary definition of anonymity as "the state of bearing no name." I found a similar definition in my dictionary and another definition that said, "without individuality." So anonymity is when "I" becomes "we" and spiritual principles are more important than any one person (including myself), or any one group.

Anonymity is visible humility. We relinquish our natural desire for personal distinction and subordinate our wills to the spiritual strength of the group conscience.

 This tradition leaves no room for pride or prejudice. When I came into N.A., I made a lot of judgments of other members, mainly on the grounds of clothes and grammar. I couldn't see for example, how someone who wore a purple sweater with a green shirt could possibly have anything to say to me, who thought those two colors clashed. Gratefully, I am learning not to discount the message because I don't like the messenger. I think another reminder in "principles before personalities" is that we are all equal and, of ourselves, powerless. No one belongs on a pedestal.

Finally, in keeping with our anonymity, what we hear in meetings and who we see here is privileged information. We can do great harm to others and/or N.A. as a whole, by carelessly repeating what was said in the trust and confidence of a meeting. There is an old Sanskrit test which can be used before saying anything about another person: "Is it true? Is it kind? Is it necessary?"

Recovery is of equal importance to each and every one of us. In keeping with this the "I" becomes "We." The spiritual foundation becomes more important than any one particular group or individual" (Basic Text, p. 70). "By working together for our common welfare we achieve the spirit of anonymity" (Basic Text, p. 70). "True spiritual principles are always in harmony". What brings the harmony about is the foundation which all of us seeking recovery have acquired in some degree. It is what we bring to and share in group conscience, what we use to tell the World about our Fellowship. It is recovery being the message, hope and the promise of freedom being fulfilled, coming to believe and making decisions, accepting responsibility and fulfilling

obligations. It is the common knowledge we share for our common welfare.

With the acceptance of anonymity, character defects are minimized and do not influence our work. The principles of recovery are in control and we are exactly where we are supposed to be. We do the best we can with our projects, practice the principles of Narcotics Anonymous on them. The addict who still suffers, the people who work with them, and all who are exposed to our message are attracted, introduced to us by N.A. recovery.

Honesty, open mindedness and willingness are the foundation of cooperation and good organization. They are fulfilled with this principle. Love, tolerance, patience and humility, exist together in anonymity. They become obvious in effort, and with vigilance the power of example is ever present. With the acceptance of the principles of Narcotics Anonymous into our lives, anonymity becomes intuitive and effortless, a statement of gratitude. Our work becomes a statement of recovery, and recovery in itself serves.

"Anonymity in itself makes it impossible for personalities to come before principles" (Basic Text, p. 70).

One of N.A.'s esteemed members claims, in effect, that in meetings everyone's supposed to be equal, but that it doesn't work out that way—that oldtimers are "special" in ways that separate them. He says this violates the Traditions. Something to explore?

He is a dear friend of mine and my mentor in world services, so I think I know where he's coming from on this. Oldtimers are addicts still and sometimes they need the same help accorded more usually to our newcomers. Through sponsorship, regular attendance at a home group

and the close friendships we form over the years, this is a somewhat rare occurrence. Our Twelve Steps help us deal with or eliminate most of the attributes and habit patterns which result in our getting in tight places.

He would more likely be talking about the "oldtimer mystique". I believe that this is more the fault of the oldtimer than the membership, if it can be considered a fault. The phenomenon is where you ask a question and the oldtimer will draw up their face and knit their brows, treating the question and questioner with undue heaviness. Members come to accept these mannerisms which may have grown up gradually over a period of time. The result is separation from their Fellows.

Come to think of it, there is a fairly common occurrence where a member with only three to six years resides in a small community where they are put on a pedestal and not allowed to have problems. Through travel and regular communication with the N.A. friends, the feeling of "separateness" is reduced to a more balanced level. This most likely is what my friend is bringing up and if it isn't, I'll bring it up now! I believe that problems like this are rooted in human nature as opposed to the disease of addiction. Our leaders have to accept certain impositions which go with the territory.

Our literature writing process is a good example of how anonymity works. No one person, or group of people, can claim to have written our pamphlets or books. On our writing, reviewing, input and discussion process, any addict in our Fellowship can participate in writing our literature. All the wisdom, experience and principles we write about comes from our Higher Power through many voices. All that we know is

shared by all of us, available to all of us. When we carry the message anonymously the information is not drowned out by an individual voice.

Carrying the message anonymously clearly is "an addict" rather than a personality. We refer projects to "the Literature Committee". whose membership changes yearly, rather than to individuals by name.

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5

1 2

3

4

We have all heard the phrase, "principles before personalities," over and over again, in and out of meetings, but how often do we stop and reflect on what that means?

This tradition is asking us to lay aside old (or new) prejudices, to treat each other with dignity. We are all equals here-we have to be because addiction is no respecter of age, race, sex, personality or status.

We learn to hear the message and disregard the messenger, to listen without judgment. Even if the speaker has only one day clean, or has relapsed countless times, he or she can teach us something, and has the right to be heard. Time in the Program is no guarantee of healthy recovery, and this is not a personality contest, so when electing trusted servants, let us consider the way members apply the twenty-four spiritual principles of N.A. in their lives.

The first part of Tradition Twelve, "Anonymity is the spiritual foundation of all our Traditions" often gets lost or forgotten in the cliche'of the second half.

"Anonymity" is defined as a state of bearing no name, or as applied to us, "giving without personal recognition." This, we are told, is the very basis of our Program. This is the principle that underlies all our traditions, and remaining anonymous will help us grow spiritually.

We are all imperfect instruments of our Higher Power. By ignoring the

character defects of members around us, we render personality
differences powerless.

To do this takes an act of will. At least it does not come naturally to me, nor I expect to many other addicts. To forgive and forget an injustice is difficult. No, I want to tell the whole Fellowship that so and so was rude to me or whatever. In other words, I want revenge.

Next to slinging blame at others, it is most natural for us to want to take credit for what we have done. Neither of these acts of egotism is conducive to humility--the humility required to practise anonymity on a personal level. I am not responsible for my coming to the Program; nor am I responsible for anybody else's recovery. My clean time is a gift from my Higher Power, a gift He has entrusted to my care. Recognition of that fact is the beginning of humility.

Tradition Twelve also reminds us that each member has the right to privacy. Who and what I see and hear in meetings must remain confidential. I may tell everybody that I am a member of N.A., but I do not have the right to say that you are.

When I first came around the Program, I was very hurt and angry that people had told my sister that they had seen me in meetings. I wasn't sure I could stay clean, nor if I even wanted to, so I very carefully went to the meetings I know she didn't attend. I was afraid of disappointing her, but even more I was building a surprise for her first year cake: I was going to give her the realization of her cherished hope: that I would be clean before her first birthday. To those who told her they'd seen me in meetings it was probably just a casual remark, but to me it was a big betrayal.

In conclusion, I would like to say we are, after all, human beings striving for growth. Some of our greatest tests will come from other

| 1 | members. | In | the | spirit | of | all | the | traditions, | let's | learn | to | practice |
|---|-------------|-------|------|--------|----|-----|-----|-------------|-------|-------|----|----------|
| 2 | uncondition | al lo | ove. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |



THE TWELVE STEPS OF NARCOTICS ANONYMOUS.

- 1. We admitted that we were powerless over our addiction, that our lives had become unmanageable.
- 2. We came to believe that a Power greater than ourselves could restore us to sanity.
- 3. We made a decision to turn our will and our lives over to the care of God as we understood Him.
- 4. We made a searching and fearless moral inventory of ourselves.
- 5. We admitted to God, to ourselves, and to another human being the exact nature of our wrongs.
- 6. We were entirely ready to have God remove all these defects of character.
- 7. We humbly asked Him to remove our shortcomings.
- 8. We made a list of all persons we had harmed, and became willing to make amends to them all.
- 9. We made direct amends to such people wherever possible, except when to do so would injure them or others.
- 10. We continued to take personal inventory and when we were wrong promptly admitted it.
- 11. We sought through prayer and meditation to improve our conscious contact with God as we understood Him, praying only for knowledge of His will for us and the power to carry that out.
- 12. Having had a spiritual awakening as a result of these steps, we tried to carry this message to addicts, and to practice these principles in all our affairs.

Reprinted for adaptation by permission of Alcoholics Anonymous World Services, Inc.

